COSTA MESA LITIGATION STATUS REPORT

Second Quarter 2019

(Updated through 06/30/19)

Steve Bernede v. City of Costa Mesa; Karen Shaefer

Case Name		nede v. City of Costa	Case Number	30-2019-01041552			
	<u> </u>	en Shaefer					
Judge	Hon. Lind	a Marks	Venue	Superior Court of California,			
				County of Orange			
Attorney(s) for City	Gary S. K	ranker	Opposing	Seth Davidson			
			Attorney(s)	Law Office of Seth			
				Davidson			
Date of Loss	06/08/201	8	Complaint Filed	01/02/2019			
Legal Fees and Costs	\$4,253.27						
Incurred through							
06/30/19							
Causes of Action	1. Neglig	gence					
	2. Dangerous Condition of Public Property						
Summary		This matter arises out of a June 8, 2018 bicycle accident on a City street. Plaintiff claims					
	that uneve	even, uplifted, cracked asphalt caused him to crash. Plaintiff also claims that the					
	shadow of	f a large tree caused him to not be able to see the condition.					
Status		The case is in the discovery phase.					
Next Hearing Date	July 8, 20	July 8, 2019 – Case Management Conference					
Trial Date	Not yet se	t yet set.					
Docket	01/02/19	Complaint Filed					
	01/22/19	First Amended Compla					
	03/08/19	City's Answer to Amer	nded Complaint Filed				
	04/22/19	Demurrer to Amended					
	04/22/19	Request for Judicial No	otice Filed				
	05/06/19		Demurrer to Amended Complaint Filed				
Written Discovery	04/30/19	Schaefer's Requests for Production (RFPS) to Plaintiff, Set One					
	04/30/19	Schaefer's Form (ROGS) to Plaintiff, Set One					
	05/06/19		Schaefer's RFPS to City, Set One				
	05/06/19	Schaefer's Form ROGS	S to City, Set One				
	05/06/19	Plaintiff's Form ROGS	to City, Set One				

Antonio Bevacqua v. Joe Manuel Gomez; City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	Antonio Bevacqua v. City of	Case Number	30-2019-01057487		
	Costa Mesa				
Judge	Not yet assigned.	Venue	Superior Court of		
			California, County of		
			Orange		
Attorney(s) for City	Melissa M. Ballard	Opposing Attorney(s)	Ryan C. Blake		
			Avrek Law Firm		
Date of Loss	03/18/2018	Complaint Filed	03/13/2019		
Legal Fees and Costs	\$107.50				
Incurred through					
06/30/19					
Causes of Action	The complaint pleads no causes of action, but makes allegations of negligence against				
	the City and defendant Joe Gomez (a third party).				
Summary	This matter arises out of a ty	This matter arises out of a two-car motor vehicle accident on March 18, 2018, at the			
	intersection of southbound Newport Boulevard and westbound Paularino Avenue in Costa				
	Mesa.				
Status	The case is in the pleading stage.				
Next Hearing Date	September 9, 2019 – Case Management Conference				
Trial Date	Not yet set.				
Docket	03/31/19 Complaint Filed				
Written Discovery	Not yet exchanged.				

County of Orange, Orange County Flood Control District; City of Costa Mesa; City of Orange; and City of Anaheim v. California Department of Public Health; Karen L. Smith, Director; Orange County Needle Exchange Program

Case Name	County of Orange, Orange County Flood Control District; City of Costa Mesa; City of Orange; and City of Anaheim v. California Department of Public Health; Karen L. Smith, Director; Orange County Needle Exchange Program	Case Number	37-2018-00039176			
Judge	Hon. Joel R. Wohlfeil	Venue	Superior Court of California, County of San Diego			
Attorney(s) for City	James R. Touchstone Gary S. Kranker Krista MacNevin Jee	Opposing Attorney(s)	Jennifer M. Kim Chara L. Crane Office of the Attorney General of California Carrie Hempel Robert Solomon UCI Law School Community & Economic Development Clinic			
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	Complaint Filed	08/03/2018			
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 06/30/19	\$125,410.44					
Causes of Action	 Violation of California Waste Management Act Writ of Mandate Declaratory Relief Public Nuisance Writ of Mandate re Violation of California Environmental Quality Act 					
Summary	Action by County, Costa Mesa, and other cities challenging approval by State Department of Public Health of Mobile Needle Exchange Program to operate within County, including within Costa Mesa.					
Status	Defendant OCNEP filed a cross-complaint against the cities of Anaheim, Costa Mesa, and Orange. Against Costa Mesa, OCNEP has a cause of action alleging that the City's ordinance banning needle exchange programs is unconstitutional. The City filed a demurrer to the cross-complaint.					
Next Hearing Date	September 13, 2019 – Hearing on	City's Demurrer				
Trial Date	March 30, 2020					
Docket	08/03/18 Complaint Filed 08/15/18 First Amended Compla 08/21/18 Motion for Preliminary 08/31/18 Stipulation for Continu	Injunction Filed				

09/05/18	California Department of Public Health's Opposition Filed
09/07/18	Plaintiffs' Reply to California Department of Health's Opposition Filed
09/17/18	OCNEP's Opposition Filed
09/17/18	Stipulation to Extend Time for OCNEP to Respond to Plaintiffs' First
	Amended Complaint Filed
09/21/18	California Department of Public Health's Answer Filed
09/21/18	County's Reply to Opposition Filed
09/21/18	City's Joinder to Reply to Opposition Filed
09/21/18	City's Reply to Opposition Filed
09/21/18	City's Request for Judicial Notice in Support of Reply to Opposition to
07/21/10	Motion for Preliminary Injunction Filed
10/01/18	Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Injunction
10/01/18	Court's Tentative Ruling on Motion for Preliminary Injunction Published
10/01/18	OCNEP's Answer Filed
10/12/18	Motion to Stay Discovery and Quash Notice of Deposition Filed by OCNEP
10/24/18	Proposed Order Filed by OCNEP
10/24/18	<u> </u>
	City's Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time Filed
10/30/18	Hearing on Ex Parte Application
10/30/18	Stipulation to Move Dates of Evidentiary Hearing Filed by OCNEP
11/08/18	Plaintiffs' Notice and Request for Hearing Pursuant to Public Resources
11/00/10	Code section 21167.4(a) Filed
11/08/18	State's Evidentiary Hearing Brief Filed
11/09/18	County's Evidentiary Hearing Brief Filed
11/09/18	OCNEP's Evidentiary Hearing Brief Filed
11/14/18	Evidentiary Hearing
11/15/18	Evidentiary Hearing
11/27/18	Court's Statement of Decision Filed
12/20/18	OCNEP's Case Management Statement Filed
12/20/18	Plaintiffs' Case Management Statement Filed
12/26/18	California Department of Public Health's Case Management Statement Filed
01/11/19	Trial Setting Conference
01/28/19	Notice of Appeal Filed
01/28/19	Notice of Motion and Motion to Stay Enforcement of Preliminary Injunction
02/06/19	of the OCNEP Pending Appeal and Writ of Prohibition Filed
	Stipulation to Allow OCNEP Leave to Amend Its Answer Filed
02/06/19	OCNEP's Amended Answer Filed
02/25/19	Orange County's and OCFCD's Opposition to Motion to Stay Enforcement
	of Preliminary Injunction Filed
03/04/19	Notice of Withdrawal of Motion to Stay Enforcement of Preliminary
04/16/19	Injunction Order Pending Appeal and Writ of Prohibition Filed by OCNEP
04/29/19	OCNEP's Abandonment of Appeal Filed
05/31/19	OCNEP's Motion for Leave to File Cross Complaint Filed
05/31/19	City of Orange's Opposition to OCNEP's Motion for Leave to File Cross
	Complaint Filed
05/31/19	City of Costa Mesa's Opposition to OCNEP's Motion for Leave to File
	Cross Complaint Filed
06/03/19	City of Costa Mesa's Request for Judicial Notice Filed
06/03/19	City of Anaheim's Opposition to OCNEP's Motion for Leave to File Cross
	Complaint Filed
06/06/19	City of Anaheim's Request for Judicial Notice Filed
	v 1

	06/07/19	OCNEP's Reply to Opposition Filed
Written Discovery	05/03/19	State's Requests for Production (RFPS) to Anaheim, Set One
	05/03/19	State's RFPS to City of Orange, Set One
	05/03/19	State's RFPS to Costa Mesa, Set One
	05/03/19	State's RFPS to County of Orange, Set One
	05/03/19	State's RFPS to OC Flood Control, Set One
	06/10/19	State's Special Interrogatories (ROGS) to Anaheim, Set One
	06/10/19	State's Special ROGS to City of Orange, Set One
	06/10/19	State's Special ROGS to Costa Mesa, Set One
	06/10/19	State's Special ROGS to County of Orange, Set One
	06/10/19	State's Special ROGS to OC Flood Control, Set One

Jason Gardner v. City of Costa Mesa

Case Name		dner v. City of Costa	Case Number	30-2018-01013117		
	Mesa					
Judge	Hon. Deb	orah Servino	Venue	Superior Court of California,		
			<u> </u>	County of Orange		
Attorney(s) for City	Melissa M	I. Ballard	Opposing	Pro per		
Date of Loss	11/16/201	7	Attorney(s) Complaint Filed	08/20/2018		
Legal Fees and Costs	\$17,364.4	9				
Incurred through	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
06/30/19						
Causes of Action	Not specif	ied in complaint, but ap	pears to be for false imp	risonment.		
Summary	Plaintiff,	in pro per, alleges he v	vas falsely imprisoned	by Costa Mesa Fire Department		
	personnel.	<u>-</u>		-		
Status	The case i	s in the discovery phase				
Next Hearing Date	January 2	1, 2020 – Trial				
Trial Date	January 2	1, 2020				
Docket	08/20/18	Complaint Filed				
	10/03/18	Plaintiff's Case Manag	ement Statement Filed			
	10/10/18	City's Demurrer to Co	mplaint Filed			
	11/01/18	Plaintiff's Opposition to Demurrer Filed				
	11/21/18	City's Reply to Opposition Filed				
	11/28/18	Plaintiff's Reply Filed				
	11/30/18	Hearing on City's Den				
	12/04/18	Plaintiff's Case Manag				
	12/12/18	City's Case Manageme				
	12/14/18	City's Answer to Com	-			
	12/21/18	Case Management Cor				
	01/11/19	Plaintiff's Demurrer to				
	01/23/19	City's Notice of Relate				
		1 1	nd Order re Demurrer H	earing Filed		
	03/15/19	City's Case Manageme		72.1		
	03/18/19		laintiff's Demurrer to Ar	iswer Filed		
***	04/03/19	City's Notice of Ruling				
Written Discovery	02/13/19		oduction (RFPS) to Plain	· ·		
	02/13/19		mission (RFAS) to Plain			
	02/13/19	Š	ories (ROGS) to Plaintif	t, Set One		
	02/15/19		o City's RFAS, Set One	4.0		
	03/22/19	Plaintiff's Responses t	o City's Form ROGS, Se	et Une		

Gayle Hickey v. City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	Gayle Hickey v. City of Costa	Case Number	30-2017-00951064		
	Mesa				
Judge	Hon. Craig Griffin	Venue	Superior Court of		
			California, County of		
			Orange		
Attorney(s) for City	Melissa M. Ballard	Opposing Attorney(s)	Orlando J. Castaño, Jr.		
			Law Offices of Orlando J.		
			Castaño, Jr. Inc.		
Date of Loss	10/20/2016	Complaint Filed	10/20/2017*		
		-	*City served on 05/02/2018		
Legal Fees and Costs	\$25,563.94				
Incurred through					
06/30/19					
Causes of Action	1. Negligence				
	2. Willful Failure to Warn				
	3. Dangerous Condition of Public Property				
Summary	This lawsuit arises out of an alleged trip and fall on a City sidewalk. Plaintiff Hickey sued				
	the City for a dangerous condition of public property, and Plaintiff Burke sued the City for				
	loss of consortium. Mr. Burke agreed to dismiss his claims against the City since his claim				
	was untimely.		-		
Status	The parties settled the matter.				

<u>Huntington Glazing, Inc. v. Horizons Construction Company International; City of Costa Mesa; Old Republic Surety Company; Wesco Insurance Company</u>

Case Name	Huntingto	n Glazing, Inc. v.	Case Number	30-2019-01046176		
	Horizons	Construction Company				
		nal; City of Costa				
		l Republic Surety				
		; Wesco Insurance				
	Company	,				
Judge	Hon. Gler	n Salter	Venue	Superior Court of California,		
				County of Orange		
Attorney(s) for City	Gary S. K	ranker	Opposing	Andrew C. Carlton		
			Attorney(s)	Edward Alberola		
				Carlton & Alberola		
Date of Loss	Not applic		Complaint Filed	01/23/2019		
Legal Fees and Costs	\$3,036.00					
Incurred through						
06/30/19						
Causes of Action		n of Contract (Against H	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		n of Oral Agreement (Ag				
				ed Price (Against Horizons)		
		on Count for Work, Lab	or, and Services Render	ed – Reasonable Value		
		nst Horizons)				
		on Stop Notice (Against				
		6. Unjust Enrichment (Against Horizons)				
		. Claim on Payment Bond (Against Horizons, Sureties)				
		on License Bond (Agair				
Summary	This matter arises out of construction work that was performed by a subcontractor on Costa					
			=	se of action regarding an alleged		
	-	ce that was served on the				
Status		s in the discovery phase.				
Next Hearing Date	1	gs are scheduled at this t	ime.			
Trial Date	Not yet se					
Docket	01/23/19	1				
	02/19/19	Horizons Construction'				
	02/19/19		's Cross-Complaint Filed	d		
	02/25/19	City's Answer to Comp				
	03/25/19		Answer to Cross-Compla			
	04/25/19		Case Management Stater	nent Filed		
	04/25/19	City's Case Manageme				
	05/09/19		Case Management Stat	ement Filed		
	06/13/19	Case Management Con	ference			
Written Discovery		Not yet exchanged.				

Shahrzad Kayvan v. City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	Shahrzad Costa Me	Kayvan v. City of sa	Case Number	30-2018-01038733		
Judge	Hon. Geo	ffrey Glass	Venue	Superior Court of California, County of Orange		
Attorney(s) for City	Gary S. K	ranker	Opposing Attorney(s)	Seth Davidson Law Office of Seth Davidson		
Date of Loss	03/28/201	7	Complaint Filed	12/14/2018* *City served on 03/19/2019		
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 06/30/2019	\$3,311.00		1	1 224		
Causes of Action	 Neglig Dange 	gence rous Condition of Publ	ic Property			
Summary		This matter arises out of an alleged trip and fall that occurred on March 28, 2017. Plaintiff eeks recovery of medical expenses, wage loss and loss of earning capacity, and pain and suffering				
Status	The case i	case is in the discovery phase.				
Next Hearing Date	April 3, 20	020 – Mandatory Settle	ement Conference			
Trial Date	May 4, 20	020				
Docket	12/14/18 03/28/19 04/15/19 04/25/19 04/30/19 05/06/19 05/24/19	Complaint Filed Plaintiff's Case Management Statement Filed Case Management Conference City's Answer to Complaint Filed Plaintiff's Case Management Statement Filed City's Case Management Statement Filed				
Written Discovery	05/30/19 05/30/19 05/30/19 05/30/19	Plaintiff's Special PR Plaintiff's Requests for	rogatories (ROGS) to Ci OGS to City, Set One or Production (RFPS) to or Admission (RFAS) to	City, Set One		

LAB Holding, LLC v. City of Costa Mesa; Costa Mesa City Council

Case Name	LAB Holding, LLC v. City of	Case Number	30-2018-01021810		
	Costa Mesa				
Judge	Hon. John Gastelum	Venue	Superior Court of		
			California, County of		
			Orange		
Attorney(s) for City	Gary S. Kranker	Opposing Attorney(s)	Peter J. Howell		
	Krista MacNevin Jee		Rutan & Tucker		
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	Complaint Filed	09/28/2018		
Legal Fees and Costs	\$3,337.00				
Incurred through					
06/30/19					
Causes of Action	1. Writ of Mandate				
Summary	Plaintiff seeks a peremptory writ	of mandate directing the Ca	ity and the City Council to set		
	aside City Council's decision to reverse the Planning Commission's approval of a mixed-				
	use development project proposed at 765-767 Baker Street and 2972 Century Place.				
Status	Petitioner filed a revised development application with the City, which will take a few				
	months to process.				
Next Hearing Date	October 25, 2019 – Case Management Conference				
Trial Date	Not yet set.				
Docket	09/28/18 Complaint Filed				
	11/01/18 Proposed Stipulation and Order Filed				
	11/06/18 Stipulation and Order Filed				
	04/08/19 Stipulation and Order Filed				
Written Discovery	Not yet exchanged.				

Arthur Lopez v. Costa Mesa Police Department; City of Costa Mesa; Christopher Walk; Isidro Gallardo

Case Name	Arthur Lo	pez v. Costa Mesa Police	Case Number	District Court: 8:17-cv-00297			
		nt; City of Costa Mesa;					
	Christoph	er Walk; Isidro Gallardo		Court of Appeals: 18-55520			
Judge	Hon. Vale	erie Baker Fairbank	Venue	District Court: United States			
	Magistrat	e: Hon. Michael R.		District Court for Central			
	Wilner			District of California			
				Court of Appeals: Ninth			
				Circuit Court of Appeals			
Attorney(s) for City	Carmen V		Opposing	Pro per			
	James R.	Touchstone	Attorney(s)				
Date of Loss	02/19/201	5	Complaint Filed	02/17/17*			
				*City served on 04/10/2017			
Legal Fees and Costs	\$108,220.	97					
Incurred through							
06/30/19							
Causes of Action			-	nent, and Fourteenth Amendment			
Summary	-	ez alleges his Fourth, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendment rights were violated by					
C4-4		Ţ	Valk and Gallardo during a traffic stop that occurred on February 19, 2015. Z appealed the district court's judgment granting the City's motion for summary				
Status	_	z appeared the district cou The parties are awaiting the		-			
Next Hearing Date		gs are scheduled at this tim	1.1	runing.			
Court of Appeals	04/20/18	Court Docketed Cause an		ulo			
Docket	06/18/18	Appellant's Motion for E					
DUCKET	06/19/18			tend Time to File Opening Brief			
	09/19/18	Appellant's Motion to Ex					
	10/03/18	* *	-	Time to File Opening Brief			
	10/09/18	Appellant's Motion to Ap		1 0			
	11/23/18	Appellant's Motion to Ex					
	11/27/18	Court's Order Denying M		•			
	12/19/18	_		unsel and Establishing Briefing			
		Schedule	11				
	02/06/19	Appellant's Opening Brie	ef Filed				
	02/15/19	City's Request for Extens		Answering Brief Filed			
	02/15/19	Court's Order Approving					
	03/18/19			ena and Take Judicial Notice Filed			

Victor Moreno v. City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	Victor Moreno v. City of Costa Mesa	Case Number	30-2019-01051904			
Judge	Hon. Randall Sherman	Venue	Superior Court of			
			California, County of			
			Orange			
Attorney(s) for City	Melissa M. Ballard	Opposing Attorney(s)	Michael Lee Gilmore			
			Law Office of Michael Lee			
			Gilmore			
Date of Loss	07/24/2018	Complaint Filed	02/14/2019			
Legal Fees and Costs	\$473.00					
Incurred through						
06/30/19						
Causes of Action	1. Negligence					
Summary	This matter arises out of an alleged trip and fall that occurred on a City-owned catch basin					
-	cover on Fair Drive.					
Status	The parties settled the matter.					

Paramount Tile, Inc. v. Elite Flooring Concepts, John Oberlin, Tami Rondero, Horizons Construction Company, City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	Paramount Tile, Inc. v. E Flooring Concepts, John Tami Rondero, Horizons Construction Company, Costa Mesa	Oberlin,	30-2018-01036966		
Judge	Hon. Frederick Horn	Venue	Superior Court of California, County of Orange		
Attorney(s) for City	Gary S. Kranker	Opposing Attorney(s)	Christopher J. Koorstad		
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	Complaint Filed	12/06/2018		
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 06/30/19	\$2,503.00				
Causes of Action		Payment Notice or Stop Payment			
Summary	with construction of the r Flooring Concepts, and t	alleges Plaintiff provided labor, service, equipment, and material in connection struction of the new Fire Station 1 pursuant to written e-mail agreement with Elite Concepts, and that Elite failed to pay Plaintiff for the work. As against the City, seeks enforcement of its stop payment notice.			
Status	The case is in the discover	v 1			
Next Hearing Date		August 29, 2019 – Case Management Conference			
Trial Date	Not yet set.				
Docket	01/18/19 Horizons Condot 01/18/19 Cross-Complate 02/06/19 City's Answer 02/07/19 Plaintiff's Cast 02/20/19 City's Case Model 03/05/19 Horizons Condot 03/05/19 Case Manage 03/12/19 Plaintiff's Research 04/08/19 Summons Issue 04/18/19 Case Manage 04/18/19 Plaintiff's No 04/18/19 Plaintiff's Am 06/25/19 Horizons Condot 06/27/19 Case Manage	Complaint Filed Horizons Construction's Answer to Complaint Filed Cross-Complaint Filed by Horizons Construction City's Answer to Complaint Filed Plaintiff's Case Management Statement Filed City's Case Management Statement Filed Horizons Construction's Case Management Statement Filed			
Written Discovery	05/22/19 Paramount's l 05/22/19 Paramount's l 05/22/19 Paramount's l 05/22/19 Paramount's l	Form Interrogatories (ROGS) to Ci Requests for Production (RFPS) to Form ROGS to Elite, Set One Form ROGS to Horizon Constructi RFPS to Horizon Construction, Set Form ROGS to Roy Rosenthal, Set	City, Set One on, Set One One		

05/22/19	Paramount's Form ROGS to Tami Ann Rondero, Set One

Cecil Patterson v. Michael Beltran; City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	Cecil Patt	erson v. Michael Beltran;	Case Number	30-2017-00954620		
Judge	Hon. Crai		Venue	Superior Court of California, County of Orange		
Attorney(s) for City	Melissa M	I. Ballard	Opposing Attorney(s)	Pro per		
Date of Loss	09/24/201	6	Complaint Filed	11/08/2017*		
		*City served				
Legal Fees and Costs	\$17,664.6	5		-		
Incurred through 06/30/19						
Causes of Action	1. Genera	al Negligence				
		Vehicle Negligence				
Summary	Plaintiff v	aintiff was involved in an automobile collision with former City employee Michael				
	Beltran.	ran.				
Status		se is in the discovery phase.				
Next Hearing Date		2019 – Case Management	Conference			
Trial Date	Not yet se					
Docket	11/08/17	Complaint Filed				
	03/28/18		Summons Issued			
	04/06/18	Case Management Confe				
	04/27/18	City's Answer to Compla				
	06/28/18	City's Case Management				
	07/13/18	Plaintiff's Case Managen		12 2010)		
	07/13/18		rence (Continued to Octo	*		
	10/12/18	o e	rence (Continued to Janua	• '		
	01/11/19 03/29/19		rence (Continued to April	12, 2019)		
	03/29/19	City's Cross-Complaint I Summons Issued and File				
	03/29/19	City's Notice of Ruling F	5 5			
	05/22/19	Michael Beltran's Demui				
	05/22/19	Michael Beltran's Motion				
	05/30/19		Management Statement Fil	led		
	05/31/19	City's Case Management	•			
Written Discovery	09/18/18	,	ies (ROGS) to Plaintiff, Se	et One		
,	09/18/18	City's Special ROGS to I				
	09/18/18		action (RFPS) to Plaintiff,	Set One		

Ana Quiroz v. City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	Ana Quiroz v. City of Costa	Case Number	30-2019-01067531		
	Mesa				
Judge	Hon. Derek Hunt	Venue	Superior Court of		
			California, County of		
			Orange		
Attorney(s) for City	Melissa M. Ballard	Opposing Attorney(s)	Raymond Ghermezian		
Date of Loss	02/15/2019	Complaint Filed	05/02/2019		
Legal Fees and Costs	\$1,204.00				
Incurred through					
06/30/19					
Causes of Action	Causes of action against Costa M	lesa:			
	1. Dangerous Condition of Public Property				
	2. Vicarious Liability for Wrongful Acts of City Employee				
Summary	Lawsuit arises out of an alleged trip and fall that occurred at or near 799 W. 19th Street in				
	Costa Mesa. Plaintiff alleges the City maintained a dangerous condition of public property.				
	Plaintiff also alleges vicarious lia		2 2 2		
	hiring of employees. Plaintiff al	leges the same causes of	action against the County of		
	Orange.				
Status	The case is in the pleading stage.				
Next Hearing Date	September 9, 2019 – Case Management Conference				
Trial Date	Not yet set.				
Docket	05/02/19 Complaint Filed				
Written Discovery	Not yet exchanged.				

Yan Sui v. Wells Fargo Bank et al.

Case Name	Yan Sui v. Wells Fargo Bank et al.	Case Number	8:19-cv-00928		
Judge	Hon. John A. Kronstadt Magistrate: Hon. Frederick Mumm	Venue	United States District Court for the Central District of California		
Attorney(s) for City	Gary S. Kranker	Opposing Attorney(s)	Abby L. Bloetscher Marshall C. Wallace Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory and Natsis LLP		
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	Complaint Filed	03/28/2019*		
			*In state court. Removed to federal court by Wells Fargo on 05/16/2019.		
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 06/30/19	\$3,010.00				
Causes of Action	Plaintiff's complaint contains 22 of 1. Negligent Infliction of Emotion 2. Negligence 3. Promissory Estoppel 4. Aiding and Abetting	nissory Estoppel			
Summary	This lawsuit arises out of a 201	This lawsuit arises out of a 2015 eviction in connection with Plaintiff's bankruptcy proceedings. Plaintiff's complaint contains 22 causes of action, four of which are against			
Status	The City filed a request to strike t	he complaint.			
Next Hearing Date	No hearings are scheduled at this time.				
Trial Date	Not yet set.				
Docket	05/23/19 County's Notice of Mo 05/29/19 Court's Order Striking 05/29/19 Court's Order re Vexa 05/31/19 Plaintiff's Notice of M 06/05/19 City's Joinder Filed 06/05/19 City's Joinder in Requ 06/06/19 Plaintiff's Notice of Federal Causes of Acti 06/10/19 Plaintiff's Memorandu Request for Sanctions 06/11/19 Plaintiff's Request for	inder Filed to Strike Complaint Filed otion and Motion to Dismi Complaint tious Litigation Filing lotion and Motion to Disqu est to File Complaint Filed Dismissal without Prejuction Alleged ff's Motion to Disqualify am in Opposition to Notice Judicial Notice to Support	ss Filed		

	John A. Kronstadt Pursuant 28 U.S. Code Section	1 455(a)"
Written Discovery	Not yet exchanged.	

Juan Villeda v. David Sevilla; Slawek Luczkiewicz; City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	Juan Villeda v. David Sevilla;	Case Number	30-2017-00909844		
	Slawek Luczkiewicz; City of				
	Costa Mesa				
Judge	Hon. Gregory H. Lewis	Venue	Superior Court of		
			California, County of		
			Orange		
Attorney(s) for City	Melissa M. Ballard	Opposing Attorney(s)	Pro per		
Date of Loss	Not identified.	Complaint Filed	03/17/2017*		
			*Plaintiff has not properly		
			effectuated service of the		
			summons, so the Court		
			granted the City's motion		
			to quash service of the		
			summons.		
Legal Fees and Costs	\$6,451.50				
Incurred through					
06/30/19					
Causes of Action	1. Harassment				
	2. Violation of Civil Rights				
Summary	Plaintiff alleges civil rights violati	ons and harassment. Plaint	iff was arrested in July 2011		
	for possession of a controlled substance. He was found not guilty by a jury on January 26,				
	2012. The complaint is overly vague and potentially barred by the statute of limitations				
	(see below) and thus may be subject to a motion to dismiss if the City is properly served.				
Status	The Court dismissed the matter wi				

Yellowstone v. City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	Yellowstone v. City of Costa	Case Number	8:14-cv-01852		
Indaa	Mesa Hon. James Selna	Venue	United States District Court		
Judge	Magistrate: Hon. Jay Gandhi	venue	for the Central District of		
	Magistrate. Holl. Jay Gallulli		California		
Attamay(a) for City	James R. Touchstone	One a sing Attauracy(s)	Steven Polin		
Attorney(s) for City		Opposing Attorney(s)	Law Offices of Steven G.		
	Bruce A. Lindsay Monica Choi Arredondo				
			Polin		
	Jones & Mayer		Christanhan Duanasat		
	D. M. D. L.		Christopher Brancart		
	David Palmer		Elizabeth Brancart		
	Stradling Yocca Carlson &		Brancart & Brancart		
	Rauth		176		
	Jennifer L. Keller		Isaac Raymond Zfaty		
	Jesse Asher Gessin		Garrett M. Prybylo		
	Chase Scolnick		Zfaty Burns		
	Keller Anderle				
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	Complaint Filed	11/20/2014		
Legal Fees and	Jones & Mayer: \$1,600,072.8		11/20/2011		
Costs Incurred		•			
through 06/30/19	Keller Anderle: \$3,237,398.28	3			
Causes of Action	1. Violation of Fair Housing A		1.		
	2. Violation of Americans with		•		
	3. Violation of Rehabilitation		1		
	4. Violation of Civil Rights A	·	3, 1985(3) and 1986		
	5. Violation of California Fair				
	12627, and 12955, et seq.	1 1	,		
	6. Violation of Cal. Gov. Code	e §§ 11135 and 65008			
Summary			4-13, alleging that the ordinance		
·			nstitutions. Plaintiffs have added		
	allegations that the City's enact	tment of Ordinance No. 17-0	5 is a further effort by the City to		
	hinder sober living homes from	n locating and existing in the	e City. Over the objections of the		
	City, the Magistrate Judge in	the case recently ruled that	this later adopted Ordinance is		
	relevant to the discrimination	claims made by the Plaintif	fs and he has allowed Plaintiffs'		
	discovery to include inquiry regarding its enactment and implementation, including document				
	production required of the City and questioning of witnesses.				
Status			lete victory for the City with a		
	unanimous verdict on all causes of action. The parties are awaiting the Court's ruling on				
	Plaintiffs' motion for a judgment against the City for violation of Government Code section 65008.				

CA	SES	REING	HANDLED	BY OUTSIDE	COUNSEL
	לועולו		*	ID I (74) I (7417 1)	

Casa Capri Recovery, Inc. v. City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	Casa Capri Recovery, Inc. v. City of	Case Number	8:18-cv-00329	
T 1	Costa Mesa	₹7	Haita I Ctata a District	
Judge	Hon. Judge James Selna	Venue	United States District	
	Magistrate: Hon. Judge Patrick Walsh		Court for the Central	
			District of California	
Attorney(s) for City	Lead Counsel:	Opposing Attorney(s)	Isaac R. Zfaty	
	Seymour B. Everett		Garrett M. Prybylo	
	Everett Dorey LLP		Zfaty Burns	
	Co-Counsel:		Steven Polin	
	Bruce A. Lindsay		Law Offices of Steven G.	
	Jones & Mayer		Polin	
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	Complaint Filed	02/26/2018*	
			*City served on	
			05/07/2018	
Legal Fees and Costs	Jones & Mayer: \$145,689.21			
Incurred through				
06/30/19	Everett Dorey: \$40,366.35 ¹			
Causes of Action	1. Violation of the Federal Housing	Act		
	2. Violation of the Americans with D	Disabilities Act		
	3. Violation of the Rehabilitation Ac	t		
	4. Violation of the Civil Rights Act of	of 1871		
	5. Violation of California Fair Emplo			
	6. Violation of State Zoning Powers			
Summary	Plaintiff, a sober living home operator	or, alleges the City engage	es in a pattern or practice of	
·	discrimination against it on the basis			
	laws. Plaintiff further alleges that the			
	occupancy of dwellings for disabled p	•	-	
	denies housing because of disability.			
Status	The case is in the discovery phase.			
Next Hearing Date	No hearings are scheduled at this time.			
Trial Date	December 3, 2019			

 $^{^{1}}$ Overall Fees and Costs for Everett Dorey also include an additional \$70,545.40 for "General Matters."

City of Costa Mesa v. Casa Capri, LLC et al.

Case Name	City of Costa Mesa v. Casa	Case Number	30-2018-01006156		
	Capri, LLC et al.				
Judge	Hon. James Crandall	Venue	Superior Court of		
			California, County of		
			Orange		
Attorney(s) for City	Lead Counsel:	Opposing Attorney(s)	Isaac R. Zfaty		
	Seymour B. Everett		Garrett M. Prybylo		
	Everett Dorey LLP		Zfaty Burns		
	Co-Counsel:				
	Gary S. Kranker				
	Monica Choi Arredondo				
	Jones & Mayer				
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	Complaint Filed	07/17/2018		
Legal Fees and Costs	Jones & Mayer: \$16,763.40				
Incurred through					
06/30/19	Everett Dorey: \$13,241.20 ²				
Causes of Action	1. Public Nuisance				
	2. Maintenance of Public Nuisance by Violating Costa Mesa Municipal Code				
Summary	Nuisance abatement action agains	st sober living home operate	tor.		
Status	The parties are attempting to settle the matter.				
Next Hearing Date	September 20, 2019 – Mandatory	Settlement Conference			
Trial Date	October 21, 2019				

-

² Overall Fees and Costs for Everett Dorey also include an additional \$70,545.40 for "General Matters."

City of Costa Mesa v. Clean Path Recovery, LLC

Case Name	City of Costa Mesa v. Clean	Case Number	30-2018-01015745		
	Path Recovery, LLC				
Judge	Hon. Theodore Howard	Venue	Superior Court of		
			California, County of		
			Orange		
Attorney(s) for City	Lead Counsel:	Opposing Attorney(s)	Kroesche Schindler LLP		
	Seymour B. Everett				
	Everett Dorey LLP				
	Co-Counsel:				
	Gary S. Kranker				
	Monica Choi Arredondo				
	Jones & Mayer				
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	Complaint Filed	08/30/2018		
Legal Fees and Costs	Jones & Mayer: \$11,085.62				
Incurred through					
06/30/19	Everett Dorey: \$1,356.00 ³				
Causes of Action	1. Public Nuisance				
	2. Maintenance of Public Nuisance by Violating Costa Mesa Municipal Code				
Summary	Nuisance abatement action against sober living home operator.				
Status	The parties settled the matter.				

_

³ Overall Fees and Costs for Everett Dorey also include an additional \$70,545.40 for "General Matters."

National Therapeutic Services, Inc. dba Northbound Treatment Services v. <u>City of Costa Mesa</u>

Case Name	National Therapeutic Services,	Case Number	8:18-cv-01080	
	Inc. dba Northbound Treatment			
	Services v. City of Costa Mesa			
Judge	Hon. James Selna	Venue	United States District Court	
0	Magistrate: Hon. Alicia		for the Central District of	
	Rosenberg		California	
Attorney(s) for City	Lead Counsel:	Opposing Attorney(s)	Isaac R. Zfaty	
• • •	Seymour B. Everett		Garrett M. Prybylo	
	Everett Dorey LLP		Zfaty Burns	
	Co-Counsel:			
	Bruce A. Lindsay			
	Monica Choi Arredondo			
	Jones & Mayer			
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	Complaint Filed	06/15/2018	
Legal Fees and Costs	Jones & Mayer: \$65,070.01			
Incurred through				
06/30/19	Everett Dorey: \$28,709.25 ⁴			
Causes of Action		1. Violation of Fair Housing Act		
	 Violation of Americans with Disabilities Act Violation of Rehabilitation Act Violation of Civil Rights Act of 1871 Violation of California Fair Employment and Housing Act 			
	6. Discrimination in Use of State Zoning Powers			
Summary	Plaintiff, a sober living home operator, alleges the City engages in a pattern of discrimination against it on the basis of disability in violation of various federal and state			
	laws.			
Status	The case is in the discovery phase.			
Next Hearing Date	No hearings are scheduled at this	time.		
Trial Date	April 28, 2020			

-

⁴ Overall Fees and Costs for Everett Dorey also include an additional \$70,545.40 for "General Matters."

City of Costa Mesa v. Ohio House, LLC et al.

Case Name	City of Costa Mesa v. Ohio	Case Number	30-2018-01006173
	House, LLC et al.		
Judge	Hon. Craig Griffin	Venue	Superior Court of
			California, County of
			Orange
Attorney(s) for City	Lead Counsel:	Opposing Attorney(s)	Isaac R. Zfaty
	Seymour B. Everett		Garrett M. Prybylo
	Everett Dorey LLP		Zfaty Burns
	Co-Counsel:		Steven Polin
	Gary S. Kranker		Law Offices of Steven G.
	Monica Choi Arredondo		Polin
	Jones & Mayer		
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	Complaint Filed	07/17/2018
Legal Fees and Costs	Jones & Mayer: \$17,090.82		
Incurred through			
06/30/19	Everett Dorey: \$2,037.50 ⁵		
Causes of Action	1. Public Nuisance		
	2. Maintenance of Public Nuisance by Violating Costa Mesa Municipal Code		
Summary	Nuisance abatement action against sober living home operator.		
Status	The parties are attempting to settle the matter.		
Next Hearing Date	August 23, 2019 – Case Management Conference		
Trial Date	Not yet set.		

_

⁵ Overall Fees and Costs for Everett Dorey also include an additional \$70,545.40 for "General Matters."

Pacific Shores, LLC v. City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	Pacific Shores, LLC v. City of	Case Number	8:18-cv-01170
	Costa Mesa		
Judge	Hon. James Selna	Venue	United States District Court
	Magistrate: Hon. Patrick Walsh		for the Central District of
			California
Attorney(s) for City	Lead Counsel:	Opposing Attorney(s)	Isaac R. Zfaty
	Seymour B. Everett		Garrett M. Prybylo
	Everett Dorey LLP		Zfaty Burns
	Co-Counsel:		
	Bruce A. Lindsay		
	Monica Choi Arredondo		
	Jones & Mayer		
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	Complaint Filed	07/02/2018
Legal Fees and Costs	Jones & Mayer: \$44,500.20		
Incurred through			
06/30/19	Everett Dorey: \$17,653.75 ⁶		
Causes of Action	Violation of Fair Housing Act		
	2. Violation of Americans with Disabilities Act		
	3. Violation of Rehabilitation Act		
	4. Violation of Civil Rights Act of 1871		
	5. Violation of California Fair Employment and Housing Act		
	6. Discrimination in Use of State Zoning Powers		
Summary	Plaintiff, a sober living home operator, alleges the City engages in a pattern of		
	discrimination against it on the basis of disability in violation of various federal and state		
	laws.		
Status	The case is in the discovery phase.		
Next Hearing Date	No hearings are scheduled at this time.		
Trial Date	April 28, 2020		

-

⁶ Overall Fees and Costs for Everett Dorey also include an additional \$70,545.40 for "General Matters."

SoCal Recovery, LLC v. City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	SoCal Recovery, LLC v. City of	Case Number	8:18-cv-01304
Cube I fuille	Costa Mesa	Cube I (unit) Ci	
Judge	Hon. James Selna Magistrate: Hon. Patrick Walsh	Venue	United States District Court for the Central District of California
Attorney(s) for City	Lead Counsel: Seymour B. Everett Everett Dorey LLP	Opposing Attorney(s)	Isaac R. Zfaty Garrett M. Prybylo Zfaty Burns
	Co-Counsel: Bruce A. Lindsay Monica Choi Arredondo Jones & Mayer		
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	Complaint Filed	07/26/2018
Legal Fees and Costs	Jones & Mayer: \$38,550.39		
Incurred through			
06/30/19	Everett Dorey: \$21,324.75 ⁷		
Causes of Action	 Violation of Fair Housing Act Violation of Americans with Disabilities Act Violation of Rehabilitation Act Violation of Civil Rights Act of 1871 Violation of California Fair Employment and Housing Act Discrimination in Use of State Zoning Powers 		
Summary	Plaintiff, a sober living home operator, alleges the City engages in a pattern of discrimination against it on the basis of disability in violation of various federal and state laws.		
Status	The case is in the discovery phase	2.	
Next Hearing Date	No hearings are scheduled at this time.		
Trial Date	April 28, 2020		

 $^{^{7}}$ Overall Fees and Costs for Everett Dorey also include an additional \$70,545.40 for "General Matters."

City of Costa Mesa v. SoCal Recovery, LLC

Case Name	City of Costa Mesa v. SoCal	Case Number	30-2018-01022764
	Recovery, LLC		
Judge	Hon. Craig Griffin	Venue	Superior Court of
			California, County of
			Orange
Attorney(s) for City	Lead Counsel:	Opposing Attorney(s)	Isaac R. Zfaty
	Seymour B. Everett		Garrett M. Prybylo
	Everett Dorey LLP		Zfaty Burns
			_
	Co-Counsel:		
	Gary S. Kranker		
	Monica Choi Arredondo		
	Jones & Mayer		
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	Complaint Filed	10/02/2018
Legal Fees and Costs	Jones & Mayer: \$13,193.61		
Incurred through			
06/30/19	Everett Dorey: \$3,718.20 ⁸		
Causes of Action	1. Public Nuisance		
	2. Maintenance of Public Nuisance by Violating Costa Mesa Municipal Code		
Summary	Nuisance abatement action against sober living home operator.		
Status	The case is in the discovery phase.		
Next Hearing Date	September 6, 2019 – Mandatory Settlement Conference		
Trial Date	October 28, 2019		

 $^{^8}$ Overall Fees and Costs for Everett Dorey also include an additional \$70,545.40 for "General Matters."

Summit Coastal Living, Inc. v. City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	Summit Coastal Living, Inc. v. City of Costa Mesa	Case Number	8:18-cv-01369
Judge	Hon. James Selna Magistrate: Hon. Patrick Walsh	Venue	United States District Court for the Central District of California
Attorney(s) for City	Lead Counsel: Seymour B. Everett Everett Dorey LLP	Opposing Attorney(s)	Isaac R. Zfaty Garrett M. Prybylo Zfaty Burns
	Co-Counsel: Bruce A. Lindsay Monica Choi Arredondo Jones & Mayer		
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	Complaint Filed	08/03/2018
Legal Fees and Costs	Jones & Mayer: \$36,356.04		
Incurred through			
06/30/19	Everett Dorey: \$10,650.75 ⁹		
Causes of Action	 Violation of Fair Housing Act Violation of Americans with Disabilities Act Violation of Rehabilitation Act Violation of Civil Rights Act of 1871 Violation of California Fair Employment and Housing Act Discrimination in Use of State Zoning Powers 		
Summary	Plaintiff, a sober living home operator, alleges the City engages in a pattern of discrimination against it on the basis of disability in violation of various federal and state laws.		
Status	The case is in the discovery phase.		
Next Hearing Date	No hearings are scheduled at this time.		
Trial Date	April 28, 2020		

⁹ Overall Fees and Costs for Everett Dorey also include an additional \$70,545.40 for "General Matters."