

**COSTA MESA
LITIGATION STATUS REPORT
OCTOBER 2017**

AmeriCare MedServices, Inc. v. City of Costa Mesa and CARE Ambulance Services

Case Name	AmeriCare MedServices, Inc. v. City of Costa Mesa and CARE Ambulance Services	Case Number	District Court: 8:16-cv-01804-JLS-AFM Court of Appeals: 17-55565																												
Judge	Hon. Josephine L. Staton Magistrate: Hon. Alexander F. MacKinnon	Venue	District Court: United States District Court for Central District of California Court of Appeals: Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals																												
Attorney(s) for City	James R. Touchstone Melissa M. Ballard Bruce A. Lindsay Kendall H. MacVey – Best, Best & Krieger	Opposing Attorney(s)	Jarod Michael Bona Bona Law PC																												
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	Complaint Filed	09/28/2016																												
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/17	\$22,807.00																														
Causes of Action	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Monopolization – 15 U.S.C. § 2 2. Attempted Monopolization – 15 U.S.C. § 2 3. Conspiracy to Monopolize – 15 U.S.C. § 2 4. Conspiracy to Restrain Trade – 15 U.S.C. § 1 5. Declaration of Rights – Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 1060 6. Declaratory Judgment – 28 U.S.C. § 2201; 15 U.S.C. § 26 																														
Summary	Antitrust claim by AmeriCare MedServices that City created unlawful monopoly with CARE Ambulance Services.																														
Status	The case is on appeal to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals following the district court’s granting of defendants’ motions to dismiss.																														
Next Hearing Date	No hearings are scheduled at this time.																														
District Court Docket	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>09/28/16</td> <td>Summons and Complaint</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10/12/16</td> <td>Initial Standing Order of Judge Staton</td> </tr> <tr> <td>12/01/16</td> <td>Amended Complaint</td> </tr> <tr> <td>12/13/16</td> <td>Stipulation to Set Briefing Schedule and Procedure for Plaintiff’s Motion to Dismiss</td> </tr> <tr> <td>12/14/16</td> <td>Stipulation to Extend Time to Respond to Amended Complaint</td> </tr> <tr> <td>12/19/16</td> <td>Order to Show Cause; Order Granting Stipulations</td> </tr> <tr> <td>12/29/16</td> <td>City’s Response to Order to Show Cause re: Consolidation for Pre-trial</td> </tr> <tr> <td>12/30/16</td> <td>CARE’s Response to Order to Show Cause re: Consolidation</td> </tr> <tr> <td>12/30/16</td> <td>Plaintiff’s Response in Support of Order to Show Cause</td> </tr> <tr> <td>01/06/17</td> <td>City’s Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s Amended Complaint</td> </tr> <tr> <td>01/06/17</td> <td>[Proposed] Order Granting City’s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s Amended Complaint</td> </tr> <tr> <td>01/13/17</td> <td>CARE Ambulance Service’s Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint</td> </tr> <tr> <td>01/13/17</td> <td>[Proposed] Order Granting CARE Ambulance Service’s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint</td> </tr> <tr> <td>01/18/17</td> <td>Order Advancing Hearing re City’s Motion to Dismiss</td> </tr> </table>			09/28/16	Summons and Complaint	10/12/16	Initial Standing Order of Judge Staton	12/01/16	Amended Complaint	12/13/16	Stipulation to Set Briefing Schedule and Procedure for Plaintiff’s Motion to Dismiss	12/14/16	Stipulation to Extend Time to Respond to Amended Complaint	12/19/16	Order to Show Cause; Order Granting Stipulations	12/29/16	City’s Response to Order to Show Cause re: Consolidation for Pre-trial	12/30/16	CARE’s Response to Order to Show Cause re: Consolidation	12/30/16	Plaintiff’s Response in Support of Order to Show Cause	01/06/17	City’s Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s Amended Complaint	01/06/17	[Proposed] Order Granting City’s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s Amended Complaint	01/13/17	CARE Ambulance Service’s Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint	01/13/17	[Proposed] Order Granting CARE Ambulance Service’s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint	01/18/17	Order Advancing Hearing re City’s Motion to Dismiss
09/28/16	Summons and Complaint																														
10/12/16	Initial Standing Order of Judge Staton																														
12/01/16	Amended Complaint																														
12/13/16	Stipulation to Set Briefing Schedule and Procedure for Plaintiff’s Motion to Dismiss																														
12/14/16	Stipulation to Extend Time to Respond to Amended Complaint																														
12/19/16	Order to Show Cause; Order Granting Stipulations																														
12/29/16	City’s Response to Order to Show Cause re: Consolidation for Pre-trial																														
12/30/16	CARE’s Response to Order to Show Cause re: Consolidation																														
12/30/16	Plaintiff’s Response in Support of Order to Show Cause																														
01/06/17	City’s Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s Amended Complaint																														
01/06/17	[Proposed] Order Granting City’s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s Amended Complaint																														
01/13/17	CARE Ambulance Service’s Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint																														
01/13/17	[Proposed] Order Granting CARE Ambulance Service’s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint																														
01/18/17	Order Advancing Hearing re City’s Motion to Dismiss																														

	02/10/17	Plaintiff's Memorandum in Opposition of Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint
	02/17/17	City's Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss
	02/17/17	Plaintiff's Memorandum in Opposition to Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Case
	02/23/17	Motion for Leave to File Amicus Curiae Brief of Richard A. Narad in Support of Plaintiff
	02/27/17	Plaintiff's Response re City's Objection re Attachments to Declaration
	02/28/17	City's Objection to Richard A. Narad's Motion for Leave to File Amicus Curiae Brief in Support of Plaintiff
	03/03/17	Hearing on City's Motion to Dismiss
	03/10/17	CARE's Reply in Support of CARE's Motion to Dismiss
	03/28/17	Court's Order Consolidating Cases for Pretrial Purposes
	03/28/17	Court's Order Granting Motions to Dismiss
	03/31/17	Plaintiff's Motion to Strike CARE Arguments Raised on Reply and Objections to Request for Judicial Notice
	04/05/17	Defendant CARE's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Strike
	04/06/17	Order Taking Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Under Submission
	04/21/17	Court's Order Granting Defendant CARE's Motion to Dismiss
	04/24/17	Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal
Court of Appeals Docket	05/09/17	Plaintiff's Motion to Expedite Briefing and Hearing on Appeal
	05/15/17	Opposition to Motion to Expedite Briefing and Hearing on Appeal Filed by Cities of Anaheim, Laguna Beach, and Newport Beach
	05/17/17	City's Joinder to Opposition to Motion to Expedite Briefing and Hearing on Appeal Filed by Cities of Anaheim, Laguna Beach, and Newport Beach
	05/23/17	Plaintiff's Response to Oppositions to Motion to Expedite Briefing and Hearing on Appeal
	05/23/17	Plaintiff's Motion for Order Requiring Cities to File a Joint Brief on Appeal
	05/26/17	City's Response to Plaintiff's Motion for Order Requiring Cities to File a Joint Brief on Appeal
	06/02/17	Court's Order Denying Motion to Expedite and Motion for Order Requiring Cities to File a Joint Brief
	09/11/17	Plaintiff's Requested for Extension of Time to File Opening Brief Filed
	09/11/17	Court's Order Approving Plaintiff's Request for Extension of Time to File Opening Brief
Written Discovery		None exchanged.

Timothy Dadey v. City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	Timothy Dadey v. City of Costa Mesa	Case Number	30-2014-00757962-CU-CR-CJC
Judge	Hon. Sheila Fell	Venue	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
Attorney(s) for City	Monica Choi Arredondo Bruce A. Lindsay	Opposing Attorney(s)	Mark Erickson Matthew Costello Christopher Maciel Haynes and Boone Kenneth Babcock Lili Graham Richard Walker Public Law Center Navneet Grewal Stephanie Haffner S. Lynn Martinez Richard Rothschild Western Center on Law and Poverty
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	Complaint Filed	11/24/2014
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/17	\$928,377.00		
Causes of Action	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Land Use Discrimination – Cal. Gov’t Code § 65008 2. Disability Discrimination in Violation of the Fair Housing Act (FHA) – 42 U.S.C. § 3604 3. Disability Discrimination in Violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) – 42 U.S.C. § 12132 4. Disability Discrimination in Violation of the Fair Employment and Housing Act (FEHA) – Cal. Gov’t Code §§ 12920, 12927, 12955 5. Familial Status Discrimination in Housing in Violation of the FHA – 42 U.S.C. § 3604 6. Familial Status Discrimination in Housing in Violation of FEHA – Cal. Gov’t Code §§ 12920, 12927, 12955 7. Source of Income Discrimination in Violation of FEHA – Cal. Gov’t Code §§ 12920, 12927, 12955 8. Violation of Duty to Affirmatively Further Fair Housing – 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 3608(5) 9. Violation of Constitutional Right to Travel 10. Violation of Constitutional Right to Privacy 11. Violation of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 – 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 5301 <i>et seq.</i>, 24 C.F.R. §§ 570.600 <i>et seq.</i>, 24 C.F.R. §§ 42 <i>et seq.</i> 12. Violation of the California Relocation Assistance Act – Cal. Gov’t Code §§ 7260 <i>et seq.</i>, 25 C.C.R. § 6010 		
Summary	Plaintiffs challenge the City’s adoption of Ordinance No. 14-11. Plaintiffs allege discrimination based on mental/physical disability, income level, source of income, and/or		

	familial status under state and federal housing discrimination statutes, as well as related state and federal civil rights violations.	
Status	The parties are attempting to settle the case.	
Next Hearing Date	February 23, 2018 – Pre-Trial Conference	
Trial Date	February 26, 2018	
Docket	11/24/14	Summons and Complaint
	11/24/14	Plaintiffs’ Ex Parte Application; Proposed Order
	11/24/14	Plaintiffs’ Petition for Writ of Mandate
	11/25/14	City’s Opposition
	11/25/14	Hearing on Plaintiff’s Ex Parte Application
	11/26/14	Plaintiffs’ Peremptory Challenge Pursuant to CCP 170.6
	12/11/14	Peremptory Challenge Under CCP 170.6
	12/30/14	Plaintiffs’ First Amended Complaint
	01/08/15	Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction; Proposed Order
	01/08/15	Plaintiffs’ Ex Parte Application
	01/09/15	Hearing on Plaintiffs’ Ex Parte Application; Order
	02/04/15	City’s Demurrer; Request for Judicial Notice
	02/10/15	City’s Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction
	02/18/15	Plaintiffs’ Reply to City’s Opposition
	02/25/15	Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Injunction
	03/24/15	Order Granting Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction
	04/30/15	Plaintiffs’ Opposition to City’s Demurrer to Writ of Mandate
	05/06/15	City’s Reply to Plaintiffs’ Opposition
	05/13/15	Hearing on Demurrer to Complaint
	05/14/15	Notice of Ruling
	05/26/15	City’s Answer to Petition for Writ of Mandate
	05/28/15	City’s Answer to Plaintiffs’ Amended Complaint
	06/10/15	Plaintiffs’ Demurrer to City’s Answer
	06/10/15	Plaintiffs’ Demurrer to City’s Answer
	08/03/15	Plaintiffs’ Case Management Statement Filed
	08/04/15	City’s Case Management Statement Filed
	08/07/15	Plaintiffs’ Ex Parte Application
	08/07/15	Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel Deposition
	08/10/15	Hearing on Plaintiffs’ Ex Parte Application
	08/18/15	Case Management Conference
	08/28/15	City’s First Amended Answer
	09/14/15	Plaintiffs’ Case Management Statement Filed
	09/15/15	City’s Opposition to Motion to Compel
	09/29/15	Case Management Conference
	10/06/15	Report and Recommendation of Discovery Referee Filed
	10/26/15	Plaintiffs’ Case Management Statement Filed
	11/05/15	City’s Case Management Statement Filed
	11/10/15	Case Management Conference
	12/31/15	City’s Ex Parte Application
	01/04/16	Hearing on City’s Ex Parte Application
	01/04/16	Plaintiffs’ Opposition
	01/15/16	City’s Motion for Summary Judgment/Adjudication; Request for Judicial Notice

01/21/16	Report and Recommendation of Discovery Referee
02/02/16	City's Objection to Report and Recommendation of Discovery Referee
03/02/16	Dadey's Request for Dismissal with Prejudice
03/04/16	Rose's Request for Dismissal with Prejudice
03/07/16	City's Motion to Bifurcate
03/14/16	Stipulation and Protective Order Filed by Plaintiffs
03/18/16	City's Ex Parte Application to Continue Trial Date
03/21/16	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Ex Parte
03/21/16	Hearing on City's Ex Parte Application
03/21/16	Plaintiffs' Request for Dismissal with Prejudice as to Wimberly
03/22/16	Stay Order Filed by Fourth District Court of Appeal
03/25/16	City's Request for Judicial Notice
03/30/16	Plaintiffs' Opposition; Request for Judicial Notice
04/01/16	Order on Report and Recommendation of Discovery Referee
04/04/16	City's Ex Parte Application re Court Conference re Stay
04/05/16	Hearing on City's Ex Parte Application
04/06/16	Plaintiffs' Response to City's Objection
04/11/16	Court of Appeal's Order Clarifying Stay
11/18/16	Court of Appeal Opinion Filed
02/23/17	Plaintiffs' Status Conference Statement Filed
02/24/17	City's Status Conference Statement Filed
02/28/17	Status Conference
03/03/17	City's Amended Notice of Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative, Summary Adjudication
03/10/17	Stipulation and Proposed Order re Submission of Documents to Discovery Referee
03/20/17	Court's Entry of Order re Stipulation for Submission of Documents to Discovery Referee
04/10/17	Report and Recommendation of Discovery Referee
05/02/17	Notice of Continuance of Hearing on City's Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative, Summary Adjudication
06/07/17	Plaintiffs' Objections to City's Amended Notice of Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative, Summary Adjudication
06/07/17	Opposition of Plaintiffs to City's Motion for Summary Judgment
06/07/17	Plaintiffs' Request for Judicial Notice and Consideration of Certain Documents
06/16/17	City's Reply to Plaintiffs' Opposition to City's Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative, Summary Adjudication
06/16/17	City's Objections to Plaintiffs' Evidence Submitted in Opposition to City's Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative, Summary Adjudication
06/16/17	City's Objections to Plaintiffs' Evidentiary Objections Referenced Within Their Response to City's Separate Statement of Undisputed Facts in Support of City's Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative, Summary Adjudication
06/16/17	City's Notice of Motion and Motion to Sever/Trifurcate; Proposed Order
06/20/17	Court's Tentative Ruling, Denying Motion for Summary Judgment/Adjudication
06/22/17	City's Notice of Related Case
06/27/17	Plaintiffs' Response in Opposition to City's Notice of Related Case
06/30/17	City's Reply to Plaintiffs' Response in Opposition to City's Notice of Related Case
08/02/17	ADR Review Hearing

	08/03/17	Plaintiffs' Opposition
	08/08/17	City's Notice of Withdrawal of Motion
	08/15/17	City's Ex Parte Application for Temporary Stay of Proceedings; Proposed Order Plaintiffs' Opposition to City's Ex Parte Application
	08/16/17	Hearing on City's Ex Parte Application for Temporary Stay of Proceedings
	08/17/17	Plaintiffs' Notice of Court's Ruling Denying City's Ex Parte Application
	09/11/17	City's Ex Parte Application to Continue Trial
	09/12/17	Plaintiffs' Opposition to City's Ex Parte Application
	09/12/17	Hearing on City's Ex Parte Application
	09/14/17	Stipulation to Continue Trial; Proposed Order Filed
	10/10/17	Stipulation to Continue Trial; Proposed Order Filed
Written Discovery	03/27/15	Dadey's Form Interrogatories (ROGS) to City, Set One
	03/27/15	Dadey's Special ROGS to City, Set One
	03/27/15	Dadey's Requests for Admission (RFAS) to City, Set One
	03/30/15	Dadey's Requests for Production (RFPS) to City, Set One
	03/30/15	Dadey's Special ROGS to City, Set Two
	03/30/15	Christopher's Special ROGS to City, Set One
	03/30/15	Rose's Special ROGS to City, Set One
	03/30/15	Wimberly's Special ROGS to City, Set One
	06/24/15	CMMRA's Form ROGS to City, Set One
	11/13/15	City's RFAS to Christopher, Set One
	11/13/15	City's RFAS to Dadey, Set One
	11/13/15	City's Form ROGS to CMMRA, Set One
	11/13/15	City's Form ROGS to Christopher, Set One
	11/13/15	City's Form ROGS to Dadey, Set One
	11/13/15	City's Form ROGS to Rose, Set One
	11/13/15	City's Form ROGS to Wimberly, Set One
	11/13/15	City's RFAS to Dadey, Set One
	11/13/15	City's RFAS to Rose, Set One
	11/13/15	City's RFAS to Wimberly, Set One
	11/13/15	City's RFPS to CMMRA, Set One
	11/13/15	City's RFPS to Christopher, Set One
	11/13/15	City's RFPS to Dadey, Set One
	11/13/15	City's RFPS to Rose, Set One
	11/13/15	City's RFPS to Wimberly, Set One
	11/13/15	City's Special ROGS to CMMRA, Set One
	11/13/15	City's Special ROGS to Christopher, Set One
	11/13/15	City's Special ROGS to Dadey, Set One
	11/13/15	City's Special ROGS to Rose, Set One
	11/13/15	City's Special ROGS to Wimberly, Set One
	12/11/15	City's RFPS to Dadey, Set Two
	12/11/15	City's RFPS to Christopher, Set Two
	12/11/15	City's RFPS to Rose, Set Two
	12/11/15	City's RFPS to Wimberly, Set Two
	12/11/15	City's RFPS to CMMRA, Set Two
	12/11/15	City's Special ROGS to Dadey, Set Two
	12/11/15	City's Special ROGS to Christopher, Set Two
	12/11/15	City's Special ROGS to Rose, Set Two
	12/11/15	City's Special ROGS to Wimberly, Set Two

06/03/15	City's Responses to Dadey's Form ROGS, Set One
06/03/15	City's Responses to Dadey's Special ROGS, Set One
06/03/15	City's Responses to Dadey's Special ROGS, Set Two
06/03/15	City's Responses to Christopher's Special ROGS, Set One
06/03/15	City's Responses to Rose's Special ROGS, Set One
06/03/15	City's Responses to Wimberly's Special ROGS, Set One
06/03/15	City's Responses to Dadey's RFPS, Set One
06/03/15	City's Responses to Dadey's RFAS, Set One
07/20/15	City's Supplemental Responses to Dadey's Form ROGS, Set One
07/20/15	City's Supplemental Responses to Dadey's RFAS, Set One
07/20/15	City's Supplemental Responses to Dadey's RFPS, Set One
07/20/15	City's Supplemental Responses to Christopher's Special ROGS, Set One
07/20/15	City's Supplemental Responses to Dadey's Special ROGS, Set Two
07/20/15	City's Supplemental Responses to Rose's Special ROGS, Set One
07/20/15	City's Supplemental Responses to Wimberly's Special ROGS, Set One
07/28/15	City's Responses to CMMRA's Form ROGS, Set One
10/23/15	City's Amended Responses to CMMRA's Form ROGS, Set One
10/23/15	City's Further Supplemental Responses to Dadey's RFPS, Set One
12/24/15	Dadey's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
12/24/15	Dadey's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
12/24/15	Dadey's Responses to City's RFAS, Set One
12/24/15	Dadey's Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
12/24/15	Christopher's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
12/24/15	Christopher's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
12/24/15	Christopher's Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
12/24/15	Christopher's Responses to City's RFAS, Set One
12/24/15	Wimberly's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
12/24/15	Wimberly's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
12/24/15	Wimberly's Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
12/24/15	Wimberly's Responses to City's RFAS, Set One
12/24/15	CMMRA's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
12/24/15	CMMRA's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
12/24/15	CMMRA's Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
12/24/15	CMMRA's Responses to City's RFAS, Set One
12/30/15	Rose's Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
12/30/15	Rose's Responses to City's RFAS, Set One
12/30/15	Rose's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
12/30/15	Rose's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
01/13/16	Rose's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Two
01/13/16	Rose's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Two
01/13/16	Wimberly's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Two
01/13/16	Wimberly's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Two
01/13/16	CMMRA's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Two
01/13/16	CMMRA's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Two
01/13/16	Dadey's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Two
01/13/16	Dadey's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Two
01/13/16	Christopher's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Two
01/13/16	Christopher's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Two
01/13/16	CMMRA's Amended Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One

01/13/16	CMMRA's Amended Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
01/13/16	Dadey's Amended Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
01/13/16	Dadey's Amended Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set one
01/20/16	City's RFAS to CMMRA, Set Two
01/20/16	City's RFAS to Dadey, Set Two
01/20/16	City's RFAS to Christopher, Set Two
01/20/16	City's RFAS to Wimberly, Set Two
01/20/16	City's RFAS to Rose, Set Two
01/21/16	City's Form ROGS to CMMRA, Set Two
01/21/16	City's Form ROGS to Dadey, Set Two
01/21/16	City's Form ROGS to Christopher, Set Two
01/21/16	City's Form ROGS to Wimberly, Set Two
01/21/16	City's Form ROGS to Rose, Set Two
02/05/16	Dadey's RFPS to City, Set Two
02/05/16	CMMRA's Special ROGS to City, Set Two
02/05/16	CMMRA's RFAS to City, Set One
02/23/16	Dadey's Responses to City's RFAS, Set Two
02/23/16	Christopher's Responses to City's RFAS, Set Two
02/23/16	CMMRA's Responses to City's RFAS, Set Two
02/23/16	Rose's Responses to City's RFAS, Set Two
02/23/16	Wimberly's Responses to City's RFAS, Set Two
02/24/16	Wimberly's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set Two
02/24/16	Christopher's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set Two
02/24/16	Dadey's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set Two
02/24/16	CMMRA's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set Two
03/03/16	City's Special ROGS to CMMRA, Set Two
03/03/16	City's Special ROGS to Christopher, Set Three
03/03/16	City's Special ROGS to Dadey, Set Three
03/03/16	City's Special ROGS to Wimberly, Set Three
03/03/16	City's RFPS to CMMRA, Set Three
03/03/16	City's RFPS to Christopher, Set Three
03/03/16	City's RFPS to Dadey, Set Three
03/03/16	City's RFPS to Wimberly, Set Three
03/04/16	Dadey's Supplemental Amended Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
03/04/16	Dadey's Supplemental Amended Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
03/04/16	Dadey's RFPS to City, Set Three
03/04/16	Dadey's Supplemental RFPS to City
03/04/16	Christopher's Supplemental ROGS to City
03/04/16	Wimberly's Supplemental ROGS to City
03/04/16	CMMRA's Supplemental ROGS to City
03/07/16	City's Responses to Dadey's RFPS, Set Two
03/07/16	City's Responses to CMMRA's Form ROGS, Set Two
03/07/16	City's Responses to CMMRA's RFAS, Set One
03/07/16	City's Responses to CMMRA's Special ROGS, Set One
03/10/16	CMMRA's Supplemental Amended Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
03/10/16	CMMRA's Supplemental Amended Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
03/11/16	Christopher's Supplemental Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
03/11/16	Christopher's Supplemental Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Two
03/11/16	Christopher's Supplemental Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One

03/11/16	Dadey's Supplemental Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Two
03/31/17	CMMRA's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Three
03/31/17	CMMRA's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Two
03/31/17	Dadey's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Three
03/31/17	Dadey's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Three
04/04/17	Christopher's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Three
04/04/17	Christopher's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Three
04/04/17	City's Response to Dadey's RFPS, Set Three
04/04/17	City's Supplemental Response to Dadey's RFPS, Set Two
04/04/17	City's Supplemental Response to CMMRA's RFAS, Set One
04/04/17	City's Supplemental Response to CMMRA's Special ROGS, Set One
04/04/17	City's Amended Response to CMMRA's Form ROGS, Set Two
07/12/17	City's Amended Supplemental Response to Plaintiff CMMRA's RFAS, Set One (No. 4)

James Faulkner v. City of Costa Mesa; County of Orange; State of California

Case Name	James Faulkner v. City of Costa Mesa; County of Orange; State of California	Case Number	30-2017-00926083-CU-PO-CJC
Judge	Hon. Martha K. Gooding	Venue	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
Attorney(s) for City	Melissa M. Ballard	Opposing Attorney(s)	Steven L. Mazza Paul S. Zuckerman Carpenter, Zuckerman & Rowley, LLP
Date of Loss	05/22/2016	Complaint Filed	06/15/2017
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/17	\$16,808.00		
Causes of Action	1. Premises Liability 2. General Negligence		
Summary	Plaintiff alleges he tripped and fell in an empty tree well that is owned and maintained by the City. Plaintiff sued the City, the County of Orange, and the State of California.		
Status	Discovery is ongoing.		
Next Hearing Date	November 13, 2017 – Case Management Conference		
Trial Date	Not yet set.		
Docket	06/15/17	Summons and Complaint	
	07/18/17	City's Answer to Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial Filed	
	07/27/17	State's Answer to Complaint	
	08/03/17	Plaintiff's Request for Dismissal as to Defendant State of California	
	08/30/17	Case Management Statement Filed by Plaintiff	
	09/26/17	Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order; Proposed Order Filed	
	09/29/17	City's Case Management Statement Filed	
	10/16/17	Case Management Conference	
Written Discovery	07/03/17	Plaintiff's Form Interrogatories (ROGS) to City, Set One	
	07/03/17	Plaintiff's Special ROGS to City, Set One	
	07/03/17	Plaintiff's Requests for Admission (RFAS) to City, Set One	
	07/03/17	Plaintiff's Request for Production of Documents (RFPS) to City, Set One	
	07/03/17	Plaintiff's Form ROGS to County, Set One	
	07/03/17	Plaintiff's Special ROGS to County, Set One	
	07/03/17	Plaintiff's RFAS to County, Set One	
	07/03/17	Plaintiff's RFPS to County, Set One	
	08/14/17	City's Responses to Plaintiff's Form ROGS, Set One	
	08/14/17	City's Responses to Plaintiff's Special ROGS, Set One	
	08/14/17	City's Responses to Plaintiff's RFPS, Set One	
	08/14/17	City's Responses to Plaintiff's RFAS, Set One	
	08/23/17	City's Form ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One	
	08/23/17	City's Special ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One	
	08/23/17	City's RFPS to Plaintiff, Set One	
	10/02/17	Plaintiff's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One	
	10/02/17	Plaintiff's Responses to City's RFPS, Set One	

Paula Jameson v. Segerstrom Center for the Arts; City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	Paula Jameson v. Segerstrom Center for the Arts; City of Costa Mesa	Case Number	30-2016-00886449-CU-PO-CJC
Judge	Hon. Craig Griffin	Venue	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
Attorney(s) for City	Carmen Vasquez	Opposing Attorney(s)	Christopher E. Russell Russell & Lazarus
Date of Loss	03/22/2016	Complaint Filed	11/14/2016* *City served on 03/21/2017
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/17	\$26,136.00		
Causes of Action	1. Premises Liability 2. Negligence		
Summary	Plaintiff alleges she tripped and fell on an uneven sidewalk/walkway.		
Status	Discovery is ongoing.		
Next Hearing Date	November 3, 2017 – Case Management Conference		
Trial Date	Not yet set.		
Docket	11/14/16	Summons and Complaint	
	03/21/17	City Served with Complaint	
	03/28/17	Plaintiff’s Case Management Statement Filed	
	04/04/17	County of Orange’s Cross-Complaint Against City and Segerstrom Center for the Arts	
	04/10/17	City’s Case Management Statement Filed	
	04/10/17	City’s Cross-Complaint Against Segerstrom Center for the Arts	
	04/14/17	Case Management Conference	
	05/24/17	City’s Answer to County of Orange’s Cross-Complaint	
	06/16/17	City’s Amendment to Cross-Complaint	
	06/23/17	Cross-Complainant Center Tower Associates, LLC’s Answer Filed	
	06/23/17	Cross-Complainant Center Tower Associates, LLC’s Case Management Statement Filed	
	06/28/17	County of Orange’s Case Management Statement Filed	
	07/10/17	City’s Request for Dismissal of Cross-Complaint Against Segerstrom Center for the Arts	
	07/14/17	Case Management Conference	
	08/18/17	Plaintiff’s Case Management Statement Filed	
	08/21/17	City’s Case Management Statement Filed	
	08/22/17	County of Orange’s Case Management Statement Filed	
	09/01/17	Case Management Conference	
	09/14/17	Plaintiff’s Amended Complaint Filed	
Written Discovery	04/13/17	City’s Form Interrogatories (ROGS) to Plaintiff, Set One	
	04/13/17	City’s Special ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One	
	04/13/17	City’s Requests for Admission (RFAS) to Plaintiff, Set One	
	04/13/17	City’s Requests for Production (RFPS) to Plaintiff, Set One	
	05/09/17	Plaintiff’s RFAS to City, Set One	

05/09/17	Plaintiff's Form ROGS to City, Set One
05/09/17	Plaintiff's RFAS to Segerstrom, Set One
05/09/17	Plaintiff's Form ROGS to Segerstrom, Set One
05/09/17	Plaintiff's RFAS to County of Orange, Set One
05/09/17	Plaintiff's Form ROGS to County of Orange, Set One
06/06/17	Plaintiff's Responses to County of Orange's Form ROGS, Set One
06/06/17	Plaintiff's Responses to County of Orange's Special ROGS, Set One
06/06/17	Plaintiff's Responses to County of Orange's RFPS, Set One
06/16/17	County of Orange's Response to Plaintiff's Form ROGS, Set One
06/16/17	County of Orange's Response to Plaintiff's RFAS, Set One
06/22/17	Plaintiff's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
06/22/17	Plaintiff's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
06/22/17	Plaintiff's Responses to City's RFAS, Set One
06/22/17	Plaintiff's Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
06/22/17	Plaintiff's Objection to Declaration of Carmen Vasquez
06/29/17	City's Responses to Plaintiff's Form ROGS, Set One
06/29/17	City's Responses to Plaintiff's RFAS, Set One
07/06/17	Center Tower Associates' RFPS to City, Set One
07/06/17	Center Tower Associates' Special ROGS to City, Set One
08/23/17	City's Responses to Center Tower Associates' RFPS, Set One
08/23/17	City's Responses to Center Tower Associates' Special ROGS, Set One
09/19/17	City's Supplemental Responses to Center Tower Associates' Special ROGS, Set One

Arthur Lopez v. Costa Mesa Police Department; City of Costa Mesa; Christopher Walk; Isidro Gallardo

Case Name	Arthur Lopez v. Costa Mesa Police Department; City of Costa Mesa; Christopher Walk; Isidro Gallardo		Case Number	District Court: 8:17-cv-00297-VBF-MRW Court of Appeals: 17-55795
Judge	Hon. Valerie Baker Fairbank Magistrate: Hon. Michael R. Wilner		Venue	District Court: United States District Court for Central District of California Court of Appeals: Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals
Attorney(s) for City	Carmen Vasquez James R. Touchstone		Opposing Attorney(s)	Pro per
Date of Loss	02/19/2015		Complaint Filed	02/17/17* *City served on 04/10/2017
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/17	\$25,510.00			
Causes of Action	1. 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – Fourth Amendment, Fifth Amendment, and Fourteenth Amendment			
Summary	Plaintiff alleges his constitutional rights were violated during a traffic stop that occurred on February 19, 2015.			
Status	Discovery is ongoing.			
Next Hearing Date	No hearings are scheduled at this time.			
Trial Date	Not yet set.			
District Court Docket	02/17/17	Summons and Complaint		
	04/10/17	City Served with Complaint		
	05/02/17	Answer Filed on Behalf of City, Costa Mesa Police Department, Christopher Walk, and Isidro Gallardo		
	05/03/17	Court's Notice of Clerical Error re Notice of Assignment		
	05/04/17	Plaintiff's Motion Objecting to Clerical Error re Judges		
	05/05/17	Court's Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion Challenging Reassignment of Case		
	05/05/17	Court's Scheduling Order Issued		
	05/08/17	Plaintiff's Notice of Motion and Motion to Disqualify Presiding Judge and Magistrate Judge		
	05/10/17	Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion to Disqualify Presiding Judge and Magistrate		
	05/18/17	Joint Rule 26(f) Report Filed		
	05/31/17	Case Management Conference		
	05/31/17	Court's Scheduling Order Issued		
	06/02/17	Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal Filed		
	06/05/17	Notification from Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals re Case Number and Briefing Schedule		
	06/13/17	Order from Ninth Circuit Dismissing Appeal		
	06/30/17	Plaintiff's Notice of Motion and Motion to Amend Complaint		
	07/10/17	City's Opposition to Notice of Motion and Motion to Amend Complaint		
	07/17/17	Plaintiff's Request for Court to Serve Subpoena on California Department of		

	07/18/17	Motor Vehicles Legal Affairs Court's Notice of Discrepancy and Order Rejecting Plaintiff's Request for Service of Subpoena
	07/25/17	Plaintiff's Reply to City's Opposition
	07/27/17	Magistrate's Report and Recommendation re Notice of Motion and Motion to Amend Complaint Filed
	08/10/17	Plaintiff's Objection to Report and Recommendation Filed
	08/15/17	City's Reply to Plaintiff's Objection
	08/29/17	Court's Order Overruling Plaintiff's Objection, Adopting the Magistrate's Report and Recommendation, and Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Amend the Complaint
	09/15/17	Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal to Ninth Circuit re Order on Motion to Amend Filed
	09/18/17	Notification from Ninth Circuit re Case Number and Briefing Schedule
	10/04/17	Case Management Conference
	10/11/17	Order from Ninth Circuit Dismissing Appeal re Motion to Amend
Written Discovery	09/15/17	City's Request for Admissions (RFAS) to Plaintiff, Set One
	09/15/17	CMPD's RFAS to Plaintiff, Set One
	09/15/17	Officer Gallardo's RFAS to Plaintiff, Set One
	09/15/17	Officer Walk's RFAS to Plaintiff, Set One
	09/15/17	City's Requests for Production of Documents (RFPS) to Plaintiff, Set One
	09/15/17	CMPD's RFPS to Plaintiff, Set One
	09/15/17	Officer Gallardo's RFPS to Plaintiff, Set One
	09/15/17	Officer Walk's RFPS to Plaintiff, Set One
	09/15/17	City's Interrogatories (ROGS) to Plaintiff, Set One
	09/15/17	CMPD's ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One
	09/15/17	Officer Gallardo's ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One
	09/15/17	Officer Walk's ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One

Ivin Mood v. City of Costa Mesa; City of Newport Beach

Case Name	Ivin Mood v. City of Costa Mesa; City of Newport Beach	Case Number	8:15-cv-01154-SVW-KK
Judge	Hon. Stephen V. Wilson Magistrate: Hon. Kenly Kiya Kato	Venue	United States District Court for the Central District of California
Attorney(s) for City	James R. Touchstone Denise L. Rocawich Carmen Vasquez	Opposing Attorney(s)	Pro per
Date of Loss	04/05/2014	Complaint Filed	07/22/2015
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/17	\$72,471.00		
Causes of Action	1. 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – Fourth Amendment, Fourteenth Amendment		
Summary	Plaintiff alleges various incidents of false arrest and use of excessive force.		
Status	We are awaiting the Court’s ruling on the City’s Motion for Summary Judgment.		
Next Hearing Date	No hearings are scheduled at this time.		
Trial Date	Not yet set.		
Docket	07/22/15	Complaint	
	07/22/15	Request to Proceed in Forma Pauperis	
	07/24/15	Order Granting Request to Proceed in Forma Pauperis	
	07/27/15	Initial Civil Rights Case Order	
	07/27/15	Order Dismissing Complaint with Leave to Amend	
	08/24/15	First Amended Complaint	
	08/26/15	Order Dismissing First Amended Complaint with Leave to Amend	
	09/28/15	Second Amended Complaint	
	10/08/15	Order Dismissing Second Amended Complaint with Leave to Amend	
	10/15/15	Notice of Voluntary Dismissal of Causes of Action	
	10/16/15	Minute Order Granting Voluntary Dismissal of Claims	
	11/12/15	Plaintiff’s Request for Extension	
	11/13/15	Minute Order Granting Request for Extension of Time	
	01/26/16	City’s Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss	
	01/27/16	Case Management and Scheduling Order	
	02/05/16	Plaintiff’s Request for Extension of Time to File Opposition	
	02/08/16	Minute Order Granting Extension of Time	
	02/18/16	Plaintiff’s Request for Extension	
	02/22/16	Minute Order Granting Request of Extension of Time to File Opposition	
	03/31/16	Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s Second Amended Complaint	
	04/14/16	City’s Reply in Support of Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss	
	04/18/16	Judge Kato’s Report and Recommendation re Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss	
	05/18/16	City’s Joinder to Newport Beach’s Objection to Report and Recommendation	
	05/31/16	Plaintiff’s Response to Defendant’s Objections to Report and Recommendation	
	06/02/16	Judge Kato’s Final Report and Recommendation re Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss case	

06/27/16	City's Status Report
07/01/16	Plaintiff's Request for Extension of Time to File Status Report
07/05/16	Minute Order Granting Plaintiff's Request for Extension of Time to File Status Report
07/08/16	Plaintiff's Status Report
10/26/16	Plaintiff's Request for Order on Report and Recommendation and Revised Scheduling Order
11/22/16	Judge Wilson's Order Accepting Findings and Recommendations of Judge Kato and Denying City's Motion to Dismiss
11/30/16	City's Answer to Amended Complaint
02/23/17	Newport Beach's Notice of Motion and Motion to Compel Plaintiff's Answers to ROGS
03/07/17	Plaintiff's Opposition to Newport Beach's Motion to Compel
03/13/17	Newport Beach's Reply in Support of Motion to Compel
03/20/17	Order Granting Motion to Compel, Denying Request for Sanctions, and Vacating Hearing
04/11/17	Newport Beach's Motion to Compel Further Discovery Responses
04/27/17	Plaintiff's Opposition to Newport Beach's Motion to Compel Further Responses
05/01/17	Newport Beach's Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Motion to Compel
05/08/17	City's Status Report Filed
05/08/17	Newport Beach's Status Report Filed
05/18/17	Court's Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Compel
05/25/17	Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration re Sanctions
06/01/17	Court's Order re Briefing Schedule re Motion for Reconsideration
06/01/17	Newport Beach's Opposition to Plaintiff's Application for Review of Magistrate's Order
06/01/17	Notice of Defendants' Joint Motion and Motion to Compel Plaintiff's Deposition and Award of Monetary Sanctions
06/01/17	Defendants' Joint Stipulation re Motion to Compel Plaintiff's Deposition and Award of Monetary Sanctions
06/02/17	Newport Beach's Notice of Joinder and Joinder in Motion to Compel Plaintiff's Deposition and Award of Monetary Sanctions
06/08/17	Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Joint Stipulation to Compel Plaintiff to Further Responses at Deposition
06/13/17	Plaintiff's Interrogatories to City (Set Two)
06/14/17	Plaintiff's Request for Appointment of Counsel
06/14/17	Plaintiff's Reply in Support of Motion for Reconsideration
06/15/17	Reply in Support of Motion to Compel Plaintiff's Deposition
06/15/17	Court's Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel
06/15/17	Court's Order Striking Discovery Documents Filed by Plaintiff
06/22/17	Court's Order Granting Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiff's Deposition and Awarding Sanctions to City
06/22/17	Court's Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration
07/17/17	Plaintiff's Request for Extension of Time to File Stipulated Protective Order, Motion to Compel; Memorandum in Support of Motion for Protective Order
07/17/17	Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration
07/17/17	Court's Order Striking Documents from the Record
07/19/17	Court's Notice of Document Discrepancies and Order

	07/19/17	Court's Order Granting of Plaintiff's Request for Extension of Time to Pay Sanctions
	08/02/17	Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application for Extension of Discovery Cutoff and Extension of Time to Bring Motion to Compel
	08/03/17	Newport Beach's Opposition to Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application
	08/03/17	City's Joinder to Newport Beach's Opposition to Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application
	08/07/17	Court's Order Denying Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application for Extension of Discovery Cutoff and Extension of Time to Bring Motion to Compel
	08/09/17	City's Notice of Motion and Motion for Summary Judgment
	08/09/17	Newport Beach's Notice of Motion and Motion for Summary Judgment
	08/09/17	Court's Order re Motions for Summary Judgment Briefing Schedule and Notice re Summary Judgment Procedures
	08/17/17	Plaintiff's Request for Extension of Time to File Opposition to Newport Beach's Motion for Summary Judgment
	08/18/17	Court's Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time to File Oppositions
	09/25/17	Plaintiff's Opposition to City's Motion for Summary Judgment Filed
	09/25/17	Plaintiff's Opposition to Newport Beach's Motion for Summary Judgment Filed
	10/05/17	Newport Beach's Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition Filed
	10/06/17	City's Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition Filed
	10/06/17	City's Evidentiary Objections to Plaintiff's Declaration in Support of Opposition Filed
Written Discovery	08/26/16	Plaintiff's Interrogatories (ROGS) to City, Set One
	09/14/16	City's Objection to Plaintiff's ROGS, Set One
	09/30/16	Defendant Newport Beach's Responses to Plaintiff's ROGS, Set One
	12/20/16	Defendant Newport Beach's Initial Disclosures
	12/20/16	Defendant Newport Beach's Requests for Admissions (RFAS) to Plaintiff, Set One
	12/20/16	Defendant Newport Beach's Requests for Production (RFPS) to Plaintiff, Set One
	12/20/16	Defendant Newport Beach's Special ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One
	01/12/17	Plaintiff's RFPS to City, Set One
	02/07/17	Plaintiff's RFAS to City, Set One
	02/10/17	City's RFAS to Plaintiff, Set One
	02/10/17	City's RFPS to Plaintiff, Set One
	02/10/17	City's ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One
	02/13/17	Defendant Newport Beach's Responses to Plaintiff's RFPS, Set One
	02/14/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs RFPS, Set One
	03/08/17	City's Response to Plaintiff's RFAS, Set One
	03/10/17	Newport Beach's Responses to Plaintiff's RFAS, Set One
	03/21/17	Plaintiff's Objection to City's Responses to RFPS, Set One
	04/11/17	Plaintiff's Responses and Objections to City's RFAS, Set One
	05/09/17	Plaintiff's Supplemental Responses to Newport Beach's RFPS and Special ROGS
	05/12/17	Plaintiff's Supplemental Responses to City's ROGS
	05/16/17	Plaintiff's Amended Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
	05/19/17	Plaintiff's Supplemental Responses to Newport Beach's RFPS
	05/26/17	Plaintiff's Interrogatories to Newport Beach, Set Two
	06/12/17	Plaintiff's Interrogatories to City, Set Two

	06/28/17	Newport Beach's Responses to Plaintiff's Interrogatories, Set Two
	07/07/17	City's Response to Plaintiff's ROGS, Set Two

OneSource Distributors, LLC v. Old Republic Surety Company; City of Costa Mesa;
City of Buena Park

Case Name	OneSource Distributors, LLC v. Old Republic Surety Company; City of Costa Mesa; City of Buena Park	Case Number	30-2016-00884879-CU-BC-CJC
Judge	Hon. Nathan Scott	Venue	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
Attorney(s) for City	Gary S. Kranker	Opposing Attorney(s)	Pamela Scholefield Scholefield P.C.
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	Complaint Filed	11/03/2016
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/17	\$3,381.00		
Causes of Action	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Recovery on Stop Payment Notices 2. Recovery on Payment Bond 		
Summary	OneSource alleges Smart Tech, the former contractor for the City's Placentia Avenue Bicycle Signal Improvement Project, purchased electrical materials, equipment and services for the project and failed to pay OneSource for the materials.		
Status	The parties are attempting to settle the matter.		
Next Hearing Date	November 6, 2017 – Case Management Conference		
Trial Date	Not yet set.		
Docket	11/03/16 04/12/17 05/01/17 05/04/17 05/05/17 07/11/17 07/14/17 07/31/17	Summons and Complaint Case Management Statement Filed by OneSource Case Management Conference Answer to Complaint Filed by Old Republic Notice of Continued Case Management Conference Case Management Statement Filed by Old Republic Case Status Statement Filed by OneSource Distributors Case Management Conference	
Written Discovery	Not yet exchanged.		

Robertson's Ready Mix, Ltd. v. City of Costa Mesa; RRM Surety; Smart Tech Group Inc.

Case Name	Robertson's Ready Mix, Ltd. v. City of Costa Mesa; RRM Surety; Smart Tech Group Inc.	Case Number	30-2017-00897636-CL-MC-CJC
Judge	Not yet assigned.	Venue	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
Attorney(s) for City	Gary S. Kranker	Opposing Attorney(s)	Mervyn Y. Encarnacion Law Offices of Mervyn Y. Encarnacion
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	Complaint Filed	01/13/2017* *City served on 02/01/2017
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/17	\$1,257.00		
Causes of Action	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Breach of Contract 2. Common Counts 3. Enforcement of Public Works Stop Notice 4. Enforcement of Public Works Payment Bond 		
Summary	Robertson's alleges that Smart Tech, the former contractor for the City's Placentia Avenue Bicycle Signal Improvement Project, has failed to pay Robertson's sums due for ready mix concrete materials and other construction materials provided to Smart Tech in connection with the project.		
Status	Case was dismissed on September 28, 2017.		

Sanderson J. Ray Development v. City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	Sanderson J. Ray Development v. City of Costa Mesa	Case Number	30-2017-00930947-CU-CM-CJC
Judge	Hon. Martha K. Gooding	Venue	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
Attorney(s) for City	Krista MacNevin Jee Bruce A. Lindsay	Opposing Attorney(s)	D. Wayne Brechtel Worden Williams LLP Ronald R. Ball Law Offices of Ronald R. Ball
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	Complaint Filed	07/11/2017
City Employees Involved	None identified.		
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/17	\$4,387.00		
Causes of Action	1. Declaratory Relief		
Summary	Plaintiff seeks a judicial declaration that the provisions of Measure Y, which requires voter approval of certain development projects, cannot be retroactively applied to approvals it received to redevelop and improve a commercial site it owns.		
Status	The case is in the pleading stage.		
Next Hearing Date	November 8, 2017 – Case Management Conference		
Trial Date	Not yet set.		
Docket	07/11/17	Summons and Complaint for Declaratory Relief Filed	
	08/21/17	City's Answer to Complaint Filed	
Written Discovery		Not yet exchanged.	

Maria Santos De Lucas v. City of Costa Mesa; Ryan C. Pilato

Case Name	Maria Santos De Lucas v. City of Costa Mesa; Ryan C. Pilato	Case Number	30-2016-00880282-CU-PA-CJC
Judge	Hon. Ronald Bauer	Venue	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
Attorney(s) for City	James R. Touchstone Melissa M. Ballard	Opposing Attorney(s)	Stephen Fredkin Law Offices of Stephen Fredkin
Date of Loss	04/25/2016	Complaint Filed	10/11/2016
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/17	\$31,048.00		
Causes of Action	1. Motor Vehicle Negligence 2. General Negligence		
Summary	Plaintiff alleges defendants failed to properly and adequately inspect, service, maintain, and repair the brakes of a 2002 GMC truck; that Mr. Pilato, while within the course of his scope of employment, negligently and carelessly drove too close to the vehicles in front of him, and rear ended the vehicles in front of him, including plaintiff's silver Honda. Plaintiff alleges she sustained serious bodily injuries as a result of the defendants' negligence.		
Status	The parties are attempting to settle the case.		
Next Hearing Date	October 20, 2017 – Mandatory Settlement Conference		
Trial Date	November 20, 2017		
Docket	10/11/16	Summons and Complaint	
	11/22/16	City's Answer to Complaint	
	01/09/17	Plaintiff's Case Management Statement Filed	
	02/14/17	City's Case Management Statement Filed	
	02/24/17	Pilato's Answer to Complaint	
	02/27/17	City's Case Management Statement Filed	
	02/27/17	City's Cross-Complaint Against Connell Chevrolet Filed	
	02/28/17	Case Management Conference	
	03/02/17	City's Notice of Ruling re Case Management Conference	
	04/26/17	Connell Chevrolet's Answer to Cross-Complaint Filed	
Written Discovery	01/26/17	City's Form Interrogatories (ROGS) to Plaintiff, Set One	
	01/26/17	City's Special ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One	
	01/26/17	City's Requests for Production (RFPS) to Plaintiff, Set One	
	03/03/17	Plaintiff's Answers to Form ROGS	
	03/03/17	Plaintiff's Answers to Special ROGS, Set One	
	03/03/17	Plaintiff's Responses to RFPS, Set One	
	05/08/17	Plaintiff's Form ROGS to Officer Pilato, Set One	
	05/08/17	Plaintiff's Form ROGS to City, Set One	
	05/08/17	Plaintiff's Form ROGS to Connell Chevrolet, Set One	
	05/18/17	City's Form ROGS to Connell Chevrolet, Set One	
	05/18/17	City's Special ROGS to Connell Chevrolet, Set One	
	05/18/17	City's RFAS to Connell Chevrolet, Set One	
	05/18/17	City's RFPS to Connell Chevrolet, Set One	
	06/19/17	City's Response to Plaintiff's Form ROGS, Set One	
	06/19/17	Pilato's Response to Plaintiff's Form ROGS, Set One	
	06/27/17	Connell Chevrolet's Responses to Plaintiff's Form ROGS, Set One	

07/05/17	Connell Chevrolet's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
07/05/17	Connell Chevrolet's Responses to City's RFAS, Set One
07/05/17	Connell Chevrolet's Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
07/05/17	Connell Chevrolet's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
09/18/17	City's Supplemental RFPS to Connell Chevrolet, Set One
09/18/17	City's Supplemental ROGS to Connell Chevrolet, Set One
09/18/17	City's Supplemental RFPS to Plaintiff, Set One
09/18/17	City's Supplemental ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One

Roya Tabarzad v. Sephora USA, Inc. et al.

Case Name	Roya Tabarzad v. Sephora USA, Inc. et al.	Case Number	30-2016-00869348
Judge	Hon. Ronald L. Bauer	Venue	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
Attorney(s) for City	Carmen Vasquez David R. Demurjian James R. Touchstone	Opposing Attorney(s)	Pro per
Date of Loss	05/24/2016	Complaint Filed	08/12/2016* *CMPD served on 03/03/2017
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/17	\$29,857.00		
Causes of Action	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Violation of Bane Civil Rights Act, Cal. Civil Code § 52.1 2. Violation of Ralph Civil Rights Act, Cal. Civil Code § 51.7 3. False Imprisonment 4. Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1985(2) 5. Fraud (<i>Not as to Officer Tripp</i>) 		
Summary	Plaintiff alleges her constitutional rights were violated as a result of an alleged shoplifting incident that occurred at Sephora. Plaintiff has named as defendants Sephora Inc., Michele Lowrance, The Law Offices of Michael Ira Asen (Sephora's attorneys), Michael Asen, and Costa Mesa Police Officer Jonathan C. Tripp.		
Status	Discovery is ongoing.		
Next Hearing Date	January 26, 2018 – Mandatory Settlement Conference		
Trial Date	February 26, 2018		
Docket	<p>08/12/16 Summons and Complaint</p> <p>01/09/17 Plaintiff's Case Management Statement Filed</p> <p>01/10/17 Case Management Conference</p> <p>03/03/17 City Served with Complaint</p> <p>03/30/17 Defendants Sephora's and Lowrance's Notice of Removal to Federal Court Filed</p> <p>04/03/17 Officer Tripp's Answer Filed</p> <p>04/03/17 Officer Tripp's Case Management Statement Filed</p> <p>04/07/17 Defendant Asen's Answer Filed</p> <p>04/13/17 Court's Minute Order Remanding Case to State Court</p> <p>04/18/17 Defendant Lowrance's Answer Filed</p> <p>04/18/17 Defendant Sephora's Answer Filed</p> <p>05/11/17 Defendant Asen's Answer Filed</p> <p>05/31/17 Defendants Sephora's and Lowrance's Case Management Statement Filed</p> <p>06/06/17 Defendant Asen's Case Management Statement Filed</p> <p>06/12/17 Officer Tripp's Case Management Statement Filed</p> <p>08/11/17 Officer Tripp's Motion to Compel Answers to Special Interrogatories Filed</p> <p>08/11/17 Officer Tripp's Motion to Compel Answers to Form Interrogatories Filed</p> <p>08/11/17 Officer Tripp's Motion to Compel Answers to Request for Admissions Filed</p> <p>08/21/17 Defendant Sephora's and Defendant Lowrance's Notice of Motion and Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings; Proposed Order</p> <p>08/23/17 Defendant Lowrance's Motion to Compel Responses to Form ROGS; Motion for</p>		

	08/23/17	Monetary Sanctions; Proposed Order
	08/23/17	Defendant Lowrance's Motion to Compel Responses to RFPS; Motion for Monetary Sanctions; Proposed Order
	08/23/17	Defendant Lowrance's Motion to Have Answers Deemed Admitted; Motion for Monetary Sanctions; Proposed Order
	08/23/17	Defendant Sephora's Motion to Compel Responses to Form ROGS; Motion for Monetary Sanctions; Proposed Order
	08/23/17	Defendant Sephora's Motion to Compel Responses to Special ROGS; Motion for Monetary Sanctions; Proposed Order
	08/23/17	Defendant Sephora's Motion to Compel Responses to RFPS; Motion for Monetary Sanctions; Proposed Order
	08/23/17	Defendant Sephora's Motion to Have Answers Deemed Admitted; Motion for Monetary Sanctions; Proposed Order
	09/01/17	Notice of Non-Opposition Filed by Officer Tripp
	09/01/17	Notice of Non-Opposition Filed by Officer Tripp
	09/01/17	Notice of Non-Opposition Filed by Officer Tripp
	09/01/17	Notice of Non-Opposition Filed by Officer Tripp
	09/11/17	Hearing on Motions to Compel Discovery Responses
	09/12/17	Order Granting Motion to Compel Filed by Officer Tripp
	09/12/17	Order Granting Motion to Compel Filed by Officer Tripp
	09/12/17	Order Granting Motion to Compel Filed by Officer Tripp
	09/12/17	Order Granting Motion for Request for Admissions Filed by Officer Tripp
	09/12/17	Notice of Ruling re Motion to Compel Responses to Form ROGS and For Sanctions
	09/12/17	Notice of Ruling re Requests for Admissions Deemed Admitted and For Sanctions
	09/12/17	Notice of Ruling re Motion to Compel Responses to RFPS and For Sanctions
	09/12/17	Notice of Ruling re Motion to Compel Responses to Special ROGS and For Sanctions
	09/14/17	Notice and Statement of No Receipt of Opposition to Defendants' Sephora and Lowrance's Notice of Motion and Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings
	09/14/17	Notice of Non-Opposition Filed by Defendant Lowrance
	09/14/17	Notice of Non-Opposition Filed by Defendant Lowrance
	09/14/17	Notice of Non-Opposition Filed by Defendant Lowrance
	09/14/17	Notice of Non-Opposition Filed by Defendant Lowrance
	09/14/17	Notice of Non-Opposition Filed by Defendant Sephora
	09/14/17	Notice of Non-Opposition Filed by Defendant Sephora
	09/14/17	Notice of Non-Opposition Filed by Defendant Sephora
	09/14/17	Notice of Non-Opposition Filed by Defendant Sephora
	09/14/17	Notice of Ruling re Defendants' Sephora's and Lowrance's Motions to Compel
	09/25/17	Discovery Responses; Have Matters Deemed Admitted Filed; and For Judgment on the Pleadings
Written Discovery	03/19/17	Plaintiff's Form Interrogatories (ROGS) to Officer Tripp, Set One
	04/24/17	Officer Tripp's Responses to Plaintiff's Form ROGS, Set One
	05/12/17	Defendant Lowrance's Responses to Plaintiff's Form ROGS, Set One
	06/13/17	Defendant Lowrance's Special ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One
	06/13/17	Defendant Lowrance's Requests for Admissions (RFAS) to Plaintiff, Set One
	06/13/17	Defendant Sephora's Special ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One
	06/13/17	Defendant Sephora's Request for Production of Documents (RFPS)
	06/14/17	Defendant Lowrance's RFPS to Plaintiff, Set One
	06/14/17	Defendant Lowrance's Form ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One

	06/14/17	Defendant Sephora's RFPS to Plaintiff, Set One
	06/14/17	Defendant Sephora's Form ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One
	06/26/17	Officer Tripp's Form ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One
	06/26/17	Officer Tripp's RFAS to Plaintiff, Set One
	06/26/17	Officer Tripp's RFPS to Plaintiff, Set One
	06/26/17	Officer Tripp's Special ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One

Frank Anthony Ventrella, Jr., Lori Dee Ventrella, Sebrina Rae Ventrella v. City of Costa Mesa, Juan Vielma, Floridalma Vielma, Albertsons Companies, Inc.

Case Name	Frank Anthony Ventrella, Jr., Lori Dee Ventrella, Sebrina Rae Ventrella v. City of Costa Mesa, Juan Vielma, Floridalma Vielma, Albertsons Companies, Inc.	Case Number	30-2017-00919501-CU-PO- CJC
Judge	Hon. Frederick Horn	Venue	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
Attorney(s) for City	Gary S. Kranker	Opposing Attorney(s)	Phillip P. DeLuca Law Offices of Phillip P. DeLuca
Date of Loss	07/31/2016	Complaint Filed	05/10/2017
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/17	\$7,125.00		
Causes of Action	1. Wrongful Death 2. Dangerous Conditions of Public Government Property 3. General Negligence		
Summary	This is a wrongful death claim based upon the decedent riding a motorized bicycle on a City bike path.		
Status	Plaintiff will be dismissing the City from the lawsuit shortly.		

James Watkins v. California Department of Motor Vehicles; Franchise Tax Board; City of Costa Mesa; Orange County Toll Roads Agency; G&W Towing

Case Name	James Watkins v. California Department of Motor Vehicles; Franchise Tax Board; City of Costa Mesa; Orange County Toll Roads Agency; G&W Towing	Case Number	30-2017-00918371-CU-WM-CJC
Judge	Hon. Sheila Fell	Venue	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
Attorney(s) for City	Gary S. Kranker Krista MacNevin Jee	Opposing Attorney(s)	Pro per
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	Complaint Filed	05/04/2017
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/17	\$5,859.00		
Causes of Action	Exact causes of action are not clear from the petition.		
Summary	Petitioner filed a Petition for Writ of Mandate, naming as respondents the California Department of Vehicles, the Franchise Tax Board, the City of Costa Mesa, Orange County Toll Roads, and G&W Towing, after the Costa Mesa Police Department impounded plaintiff's vehicle pursuant to California Vehicle Code section 14602.6.		
Status	The Hearing on the Petition for Writ of Mandate is scheduled for November 15, 2017.		
Next Hearing Date/Trial Date	November 15, 2017 – Hearing on Petition for Writ of Mandate		
Docket	05/04/17	Petition for Writ of Mandate Filed	
	06/22/17	City's Answer Filed	
	06/29/17	Case Management Conference	
	06/29/17	Court Order Dismissing Orange County Toll Roads Agency	
	08/23/17	California DMV's Opposition to Petition for Writ of Mandate	
	08/25/17	City's Notice of No Briefing and Opposition to Petition	
	08/28/17	Notice of Continuance of Hearing on Petition for Writ of Mandate	
	08/29/17	City's Request for Judicial Notice in Support of City's No Briefing and Opposition to Petition	
	09/13/17	Hearing on Petition for Writ of Mandate (rescheduled to November 15, 2017)	
	09/13/17	Notice of Ruling Striking Petition for Writ of Mandate and Setting Status Conference	
	09/25/17	Petitioner's Ex Parte Motion for Order to Return Driver's License and Vehicle	
	09/26/17	Hearing on Petitioner's Ex Parte Motion	
	09/26/17	Notice of Court's Ruling Denying Petitioner's Ex Parte Motion	
Written Discovery		Not yet exchanged.	

Yellowstone v. City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	Yellowstone v. City of Costa Mesa	Case Number	8:14-cv-01852-JVS-JCG	
Judge	Hon. James Selna Magistrate: Hon. Jay Gandhi	Venue	United States District Court for the Central District of California	
Attorney(s) for City	James R. Touchstone Bruce A. Lindsay Monica Choi Arredondo	Opposing Attorney(s)	Steven Polin Law Offices of Steven G. Polin Christopher Brancart Elizabeth Brancart Brancart & Brancart	
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	Complaint Filed	11/20/2014	
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/17	\$798,693.00			
Causes of Action	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Violation of Fair Housing Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 3601 <i>et seq.</i> 2. Violation of Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12131 <i>et seq.</i> 3. Violation of Rehabilitation Act, 29 U.S.C. § 794 4. Violation of Civil Rights Act of 1871, 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985(3) and 1986 5. Violation of California Fair Employment and Housing Act, Cal. Gov. Code §§ 12626, 12627, and 12955, <i>et seq.</i> 6. Violation of Cal. Gov. Code §§ 11135 and 65008 			
Summary	Plaintiffs challenge the City's adoption of Ordinance No. 14-13, alleging that the ordinance violates state and federal law and the state and federal constitutions.			
Status	Discovery is ongoing.			
Next Hearing Date	No hearings are scheduled at this time.			
Trial Date	April 10, 2018			
Docket	<p>11/20/14</p> <p>01/16/15</p> <p>01/22/15</p> <p>03/13/15</p> <p>03/16/15</p> <p>03/17/15</p> <p>05/04/15</p> <p>05/15/15</p> <p>05/29/15</p> <p>06/15/15</p> <p>06/16/15</p> <p>06/29/15</p> <p>06/29/15</p> <p>06/29/15</p> <p>06/30/15</p>	<p>Summons and Complaint</p> <p>Application to Appear Pro Hac Vice</p> <p>Order Granting Leave for Christopher Brancart to Act as Local Counsel</p> <p>Initial Order Following Filing of Complaint</p> <p>Request for Order for Extending Time to Serve Complaint</p> <p>Order Granting Request for Order Extending Time for Service of Complaint</p> <p>Stipulation Extending Time to Answer Complaint</p> <p>Second Stipulation Extending Time to Answer Complaint</p> <p>Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Case Filed by City; Request for Judicial Notice</p> <p>First Application for Extension of Time to File Response to City's Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>Order Granting Application Extending Time to Respond to City's Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>Joint Application to Continue Scheduling Conference</p> <p>Notice of Motion and Motion to Amend Complaint</p> <p>Memorandum in Opposition to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>Order Continuing Scheduling Conference Pursuant to Joint Application of the Parties</p>		

07/07/15	Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Amend Complaint and Denying as Moot Defendant's Motion to Dismiss
07/07/15	First Amended Complaint Filed
07/08/15	Joint Stipulation for Extension of Time to File Response to First Amended Complaint and to Continue Scheduling Conference
07/09/15	Order re Joint Application and Stipulation for Extension of Time to File Response/Reply
07/17/15	Joint Stipulation to Continue Scheduling Conference
07/20/15	Order Continuing Scheduling Conference
08/03/15	Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint; Request for Judicial Notice
08/07/15	Stipulation for Extension of time to File Response as to Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint
08/07/15	Order Extending Time to Response to City's Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint
08/12/15	Ex Parte Application to Expedite Rule 26(f) Conference or Discovery
08/13/15	Opposition to Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application
08/18/15	Joint Application for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint and First Supplemental Complaint
08/18/15	Order Filing Second Amended and First Supplemental Complaint and Setting Date for Response
08/19/15	Order Denying Application to Compel Rule 26(f) Conference and Commencement of Discovery
08/19/15	Second Amended Complaint and First Supplemental Amended Complaint
08/24/15	Plaintiffs' Request for Judicial Notice
08/24/15	Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended and First Supplemental Complaint; Request for Judicial Notice
08/31/15	Objection Opposition re: Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended and First Supplemental Complaint
08/31/15	Opposition to Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended and First Supplemental Complaint
09/04/15	Reply in Support of Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended and First Supplemental Complaint
09/04/15	City's Response to Plaintiffs' Objection to City's Request for Judicial Notice re Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended and First Supplemental Complaint
09/21/15	Hearing on Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint and Motion to Dismiss Second Amended Complaint and First Supplemental Complaint
10/08/15	Order Granting Motion to Dismiss Second Amended Complaint and Denying as Moot Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint
10/22/15	First Application for Extension of Time to Amend
10/29/15	Order Extending Time to File Third Amended Complaint
11/13/15	Third Amended Complaint
11/30/15	Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Third Amended Complaint; Request for Judicial Notice
12/07/15	Plaintiffs' Request for Judicial Notice re Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint
12/07/15	Objection to Defendant's Request for Judicial Notice re: Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint

12/07/15	Opposition to Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint
12/10/15	Order to Show Cause Why Case Should Not Be Stayed
12/17/15	City's Brief in Support of Stay
12/17/15	Plaintiffs' Response to Order to Show Cause
12/18/15	Order Directing City to File a Response
12/23/15	City's Response to Order to Show Cause
01/05/16	Order Staying Action Pending Solid Landings Appeal
01/22/16	Order Removing Action from Active Caseload and Directing Parties to File Status Report
05/03/16	Joint Status Report
06/14/16	Joint Status Report
07/15/16	Joint Status Report
08/15/16	Status Report
09/02/16	Order Lifting Stay of Action and Setting Scheduling Conference
09/13/16	Status Report/Joint Scheduling Report
09/26/16	Opposition to Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint
10/03/16	Reply to Plaintiffs' Amended Opposition
10/03/16	City's Objection to Plaintiffs' Second Request for Judicial Notice in Opposition to City's Motion to Dismiss
10/03/16	Request for Judicial Notice and Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint
10/05/16	Plaintiffs' Response to City's Second Request for Judicial Notice
10/05/16	Plaintiffs' Request for Judicial Notice
10/10/16	Objection to Plaintiffs' Second Request for Judicial Notice and Confession of Error Filed in Opposition to City's re: Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint
10/17/16	Hearing on Motion to Dismiss Third Amended Complaint
10/20/16	Stipulation for Protective Order
10/25/16	Order Granting Stipulated Confidentiality Order
11/07/16	Fourth Amended Complaint
11/21/16	Answer to Amended Complaint/Petition
03/09/17	Stipulation to Continue Status Conference
03/10/17	Order Continuing Interim Status Conference
04/10/17	Plaintiffs' Status Report and Request to Continue Status Conference
04/11/17	Order Continuing Status Conference
05/10/17	Plaintiffs' Status Report and Request to Continue Status Conference
05/10/17	Order Continuing Status Conference
05/30/17	Stipulation to Continue Deadline for Completion of Settlement Discussions
06/01/17	Order Continuing Deadline to Complete Settlement Discussions
06/09/17	Joint Stipulation to Continue Trial, Pre-Trial Conference and Related Cut-Off Dates
06/09/17	Order Modifying Court's Scheduling Order to Continue Trial, Pre-Trial Conference and Related Cut-Off Dates
08/10/17	Joint Stipulation to Continue Deadline to Complete Settlement Discussions
08/11/17	Order Continuing Deadline to Complete Settlement Discussions
10/11/17	Joint Application and Stipulation to Amend Scheduling Order; Proposed Order Filed

	10/13/17	Court's Amended Scheduling Order Issued
Written Discovery	09/30/15	Plaintiffs' Interrogatories (ROGS) to City, Set One
	09/30/15	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Two
	10/01/15	Plaintiffs' Requests for Production (RFPS) to City, Set One
	11/20/15	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set One
	11/20/15	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Two
	11/23/15	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set One
	09/07/16	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Three
	09/20/16	City's ROGS to Plaintiff California Women's Recovery ("CWR"), Set One
	09/20/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff CWR, Set One
	09/20/16	City's ROGS to Plaintiff Sober Living Network ("SLN"), Set One
	09/20/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff SLN, Set One
	09/20/16	City's ROGS to Plaintiff Yellowstone, Set One
	09/20/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff Yellowstone, Set One
	09/20/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff CWR, Set Two
	09/29/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Two
	10/09/16	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Four
	10/09/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Three
	10/10/16	Plaintiffs' Initial Disclosures
	10/10/16	City's Initial Disclosures
	10/10/16	City's Supplemental Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set One
	10/10/16	City's Supplemental Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Two
	10/10/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Three
	10/10/16	City's Supplemental Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set One
	10/11/16	Plaintiffs' Requests for Admissions (RFAS), Set One
	10/16/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Four
	10/19/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Five
	10/28/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff SLN, Set Two
	10/28/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff Yellowstone, Set Two
	10/28/16	City's Supplemental Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Three
	10/28/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Six
	11/01/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Two
	11/03/16	Plaintiff CWR's Objections and Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
	11/03/16	Plaintiff CWR's Objections and Answers to City's ROGS, Set One
	11/03/16	Plaintiff SLN's Objections and Answers to City's ROGS, Set One
	11/03/16	Plaintiff SLN's Objections and Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
	11/03/16	Plaintiff Yellowstone's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
	11/03/16	Plaintiff Yellowstone's Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
	11/04/16	Plaintiffs' First Supplemental Disclosures
	11/06/16	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Five
	11/06/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Seven
11/14/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Four	
11/14/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set One	
11/14/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Three	
11/14/16	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Two	
11/14/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Eight	
11/17/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff CWR, Set Three	
11/17/16	City's ROGS to Plaintiff CWR, Set Two	
11/17/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff SLN, Set Three	

11/17/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff Yellowstone, Set Three
11/17/16	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Six
11/17/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Nine
11/21/16	Plaintiffs' Second Supplemental Disclosures
11/21/16	Plaintiffs' Amended RFAS, Set One
11/30/16	Plaintiff Yellowstone's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Two
11/25/16	Plaintiff CWR's Objections and Answers to City's ROGS
12/02/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Five
12/02/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Six
12/02/16	City's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set One
12/04/16	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Seven
12/04/16	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Three
12/14/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff SLN, Set Four
12/14/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff Yellowstone, Set Four
12/15/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Five
12/15/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Seven
12/17/16	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Eight
12/17/16	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Four
12/17/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Ten
12/23/16	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Nine
01/06/17	City's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Five
01/06/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Six
01/06/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Seven
01/06/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Two
01/06/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Three
01/06/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Eight
01/16/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Eight
01/16/17	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Ten
01/16/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Four
01/16/17	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Five
01/16/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Ten
01/16/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Eleven
01/19/17	City's RFPS to Plaintiff CWR, Set Four
01/19/17	City's ROGS to Plaintiff CWR, Set Three
01/20/17	Plaintiff Yellowstone's Supplemental Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
01/20/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Twelve
01/20/17	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Six
01/25/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Nine
02/10/17	City's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Eight
02/10/17	City's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Nine
02/10/17	City's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Two
02/10/17	City's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Three
02/10/17	City's Supplemental Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Six
02/10/17	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Eleven
02/10/17	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Seven
02/14/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Thirteen
02/21/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Eleven
02/21/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Five
02/21/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Ten

02/21/17	Plaintiff CWR's Responses to City's ROGS
02/24/17	City's ROGS to Plaintiff SLN, Set Two
02/24/17	City's RFPS to Plaintiff SLN, Set Five
02/25/17	Plaintiff SLN's Supplemental Responses to City's ROGS
03/03/17	City's RFAS to Yellowstone, Set One
03/03/17	City's RFPS to Yellowstone, Set Five
03/03/17	City's ROGS to Yellowstone, Set Two
03/16/17	Plaintiff CWR's Corrections to Responses to ROGS
03/17/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Seven
03/17/17	City's Responses to Plaintiff SLN's ROGS, Set Elevent
03/17/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Fourteen
03/17/17	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Twelve
03/20/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Thirteen
03/27/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Fifteen
04/05/17	Plaintiff Yellowstone's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Two
04/05/17	Plaintiff Yellowstone's Responses to City's RFAS, Set One
04/09/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Sixteen
04/11/17	City's RFPS to Yellowstone, Set Six
04/19/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Fourteen
04/19/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Twelve
04/25/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Seventeen
04/25/17	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Thirteen
05/01/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Fifteen
05/12/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Sixteen
05/15/17	Plaintiff Yellowstone's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Six
05/30/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Thirteen
05/30/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Seventeen
06/05/17	City's RFPS to Yellowstone, Set Seven
06/14/17	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Fourteen
06/16/17	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Fifteen
06/16/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Eighteen
07/17/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Fourteen
07/19/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Fifteen
07/19/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Eighteen
07/21/17	City's RFPS to Plaintiff SLN, Set Six
07/21/17	City's ROGS to Plaintiff SLN, Set Three
07/31/17	Plaintiffs' Supplemental Answers to City's ROGS
08/16/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Nineteen

CASES BEING HANDLED BY OUTSIDE COUNSEL

**City of Costa Mesa v. Michael Cohen in his official capacity as
Director of the State of California Department of Finance; Jan E. Grimes in her
official capacity as Orange County Auditor-Controller**

Case Name	City of Costa Mesa v. Michael Cohen in his official capacity as Director of the State of California Department of Finance; Jan E. Grimes in her official capacity as Orange County Auditor-Controller	Case Number	34-2013-80001675-CU-WM-GDS
Judge	Hon. Michael P. Kenny	Venue	Superior Court of California, County of Sacramento
Attorney(s) for City	David A. Robinson Benjamin P. Pugh Enterprise Counsel Group	Opposing Attorney(s)	Office of the Attorney General
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	Complaint Filed	10/28/2013
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred to Date	All attorneys' fees and costs were paid by the State of California as administrative expenses.		
Causes of Action	1. Declaratory Relief 2. Writ of Mandate		
Summary	City filed a lawsuit against the Director of the California Department of Finance (DOF) and the Orange County Auditor-Controller following the enactment of ABX1 26, which dissolved all redevelopment agencies (RDAs) effective October 1, 2011, prevented RDAs from engaging in new activities, and outlined a process for winding down a RDA's financial affairs, after the DOF disallowed two loan repayments from the former RDA to the City and ordered the City to repay these amounts to the Orange County Auditor-Controller.		
Status	Counsel for City obtained primary objective of lawsuit by reviving City's loan to its former redevelopment agency.		

The Kennedy Commission, et al. v. City of Costa Mesa, et al.

Case Name	The Kennedy Commission, et al. v. City of Costa Mesa, et al.	Case Number	30-2016-00832585
Judge	Hon. Mary H. Strobel, Dept. 86 (writ causes of action 1, 2, 3)	Venue	Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles
Petition/Complaint Filed	01/28/2016		
Petitioners/Plaintiffs	The Kennedy Commission, Mehrnoosh Barimani, Timothy Dadey, Denise Riddell and Anthony Wagner as successor-in-interest to Patricia Wagner (deceased) (together, “Petitioners”)	Attorneys for Petitioners/Plaintiffs	Jeremy D. Matz Julian C. Burns Bird, Marella, Boxer, Wolpert, Nessim, Drooks, Lincenberg & Rhow Richard Walker Public Law Center Lili V. Graham Legal Aid Society of Orange County Navneet K. Grewal Richard A. Rothschild Western Center on Law & Poverty Michael Rawson Deborah Collins Public Interest Law Project
Respondents/Defendants	City of Costa Mesa, Costa Mesa City Council (together, “Costa Mesa”)	Attorneys for Costa Mesa	Celeste Stahl Brady Allison E. Burns David C. Palmer Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, P.C. (“Stradling”)
Real Parties in Interest	Miracle Mile Properties, LP and Diamond Star Associates, Inc. (together, “RPIs”)	Attorneys for Real Parties in Interest	Elizabeth “Ellia” Thompson Allan Cooper Jeffrey Harlan Ervin Cohen & Jessup, LLP (“ECJ”)
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred 2/1/16-8/31/17	\$667,764.78—To date, all City legal fees and costs have been paid by Real Party in Interest, Miracle Mile Properties		
Causes of Action and Summary	Petition for Writ of Mandate challenged four land use actions by the City Council (together, “Development Approvals”): (1) General Plan Amendment (GP 14 04); (2) Rezone (R 14 04); (3) Zoning Code Amendment (CO-14-02); and		

	<p>(4) Master Plan (PA-14-27).</p> <p>Petition alleges the Development Approvals were adopted in violation of:</p> <p>(a) State Density Bonus Law (Government Code sections 65915 – 65917)</p> <p>(b) City’s General Plan, including the Housing Element;</p> <p>(c) Government Code section 65008 (alleged housing discrimination); and</p> <p>(d) State Relocation Assistance Act (CRAA, Gov’t Code section 7260, <i>et seq.</i>), which is the pending fourth cause of action.</p> <p>On May 11, the Court (i) ruled the Development Approvals were set aside <i>to the extent</i> development incentives or other density bonuses were provided in a manner inconsistent with the state density bonus law ((a) above); and, (ii) the Court denied the petition in all other respects ((b) and (c) above) including that no housing discrimination occurred since development of the subject commercial property was not intended to be protected by Government Code section 65008, and (iii) Petitioners’ CRAA claim ((d) above) was transferred to an individual calendar court (Dept. 71) because Petitioners had not shown they were entitled to a writ of mandate for the CRAA claim and that claim was not proper in the writ department.</p>																																																						
Status	At a status conference on September 12, 2017, Judge Kalin set the trial date on the fourth cause of action re the CRAA for September 11, 2018, Dept. 71 LASC.																																																						
Trial Date	September 11, 2018																																																						
Summary of Proceedings/Docket	<table border="0"> <tr><td>01/28/16</td><td>Petition Filed in Orange County Superior Court</td></tr> <tr><td>02/03/16</td><td>Order Transferring Case to Los Angeles County Superior Court</td></tr> <tr><td>04/01/16</td><td>Answer to Petition/Complaint Filed by RPIs</td></tr> <tr><td>04/04/16</td><td>Answer to Petition/Complaint Filed by Costa Mesa</td></tr> <tr><td>04/26/16</td><td>Petitioners’ Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (TRO)</td></tr> <tr><td>04/28/16</td><td>Hearing on TRO (Denied)</td></tr> <tr><td>05/05/16</td><td>Petitioners’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction</td></tr> <tr><td>05/11/16</td><td>RPI’s Opposition to Petitioners’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction</td></tr> <tr><td>05/11/16</td><td>Costa Mesa’s Opposition to Petitioners’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction</td></tr> <tr><td>05/13/16</td><td>Petitioners’ Reply Brief in Support of Preliminary Injunction</td></tr> <tr><td>05/18/16</td><td>First Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Injunction</td></tr> <tr><td>06/05/16</td><td>Petitioner’s Amended Reply to Opposition</td></tr> <tr><td>06/08/16</td><td>Second Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Injunction</td></tr> <tr><td>06/08/16</td><td>Petitioners’ Request for Judicial Notice</td></tr> <tr><td>06/22/16</td><td>Costa Mesa and MMP’s Opposition to Request for Judicial Notice</td></tr> <tr><td>06/22/16</td><td>Petitioners’ Amended Reply to Opposition</td></tr> <tr><td>06/22/16</td><td>Third Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Injunction (Granted)</td></tr> <tr><td>07/29/16</td><td>Petitioners’ Motion for Order to Stop MMP from Vacating Motel</td></tr> <tr><td>07/29/16</td><td>Hearing on Motion for Order to Stop MMP Vacating Motel (Denied)</td></tr> <tr><td>07/29/16</td><td>Costa Mesa’s Request for Correction</td></tr> <tr><td>07/29/16</td><td>Petitioners’ Opposition to Motion for Correction</td></tr> <tr><td>08/12/16</td><td>Petitioners’ Motion for Second Preliminary Injunction</td></tr> <tr><td>08/12/16</td><td>Costa Mesa’s and RPIs’ Opposition to Second Preliminary Injunction</td></tr> <tr><td>08/12/16</td><td>Hearing on Motion for Second Preliminary Injunction (Denied)</td></tr> <tr><td>08/15/16</td><td>Order Denying Petitioners’ Motion for Second Injunction</td></tr> <tr><td>08/19/16</td><td>Costa Mesa and MMP’s Notices of Appeal of Preliminary Injunction Filed with Court of Appeal</td></tr> <tr><td>08/22/16</td><td>Petitioners’ Notice of Appeal of Denial of Second Preliminary Injunction</td></tr> </table>	01/28/16	Petition Filed in Orange County Superior Court	02/03/16	Order Transferring Case to Los Angeles County Superior Court	04/01/16	Answer to Petition/Complaint Filed by RPIs	04/04/16	Answer to Petition/Complaint Filed by Costa Mesa	04/26/16	Petitioners’ Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (TRO)	04/28/16	Hearing on TRO (Denied)	05/05/16	Petitioners’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction	05/11/16	RPI’s Opposition to Petitioners’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction	05/11/16	Costa Mesa’s Opposition to Petitioners’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction	05/13/16	Petitioners’ Reply Brief in Support of Preliminary Injunction	05/18/16	First Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Injunction	06/05/16	Petitioner’s Amended Reply to Opposition	06/08/16	Second Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Injunction	06/08/16	Petitioners’ Request for Judicial Notice	06/22/16	Costa Mesa and MMP’s Opposition to Request for Judicial Notice	06/22/16	Petitioners’ Amended Reply to Opposition	06/22/16	Third Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Injunction (Granted)	07/29/16	Petitioners’ Motion for Order to Stop MMP from Vacating Motel	07/29/16	Hearing on Motion for Order to Stop MMP Vacating Motel (Denied)	07/29/16	Costa Mesa’s Request for Correction	07/29/16	Petitioners’ Opposition to Motion for Correction	08/12/16	Petitioners’ Motion for Second Preliminary Injunction	08/12/16	Costa Mesa’s and RPIs’ Opposition to Second Preliminary Injunction	08/12/16	Hearing on Motion for Second Preliminary Injunction (Denied)	08/15/16	Order Denying Petitioners’ Motion for Second Injunction	08/19/16	Costa Mesa and MMP’s Notices of Appeal of Preliminary Injunction Filed with Court of Appeal	08/22/16	Petitioners’ Notice of Appeal of Denial of Second Preliminary Injunction
01/28/16	Petition Filed in Orange County Superior Court																																																						
02/03/16	Order Transferring Case to Los Angeles County Superior Court																																																						
04/01/16	Answer to Petition/Complaint Filed by RPIs																																																						
04/04/16	Answer to Petition/Complaint Filed by Costa Mesa																																																						
04/26/16	Petitioners’ Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (TRO)																																																						
04/28/16	Hearing on TRO (Denied)																																																						
05/05/16	Petitioners’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction																																																						
05/11/16	RPI’s Opposition to Petitioners’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction																																																						
05/11/16	Costa Mesa’s Opposition to Petitioners’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction																																																						
05/13/16	Petitioners’ Reply Brief in Support of Preliminary Injunction																																																						
05/18/16	First Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Injunction																																																						
06/05/16	Petitioner’s Amended Reply to Opposition																																																						
06/08/16	Second Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Injunction																																																						
06/08/16	Petitioners’ Request for Judicial Notice																																																						
06/22/16	Costa Mesa and MMP’s Opposition to Request for Judicial Notice																																																						
06/22/16	Petitioners’ Amended Reply to Opposition																																																						
06/22/16	Third Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Injunction (Granted)																																																						
07/29/16	Petitioners’ Motion for Order to Stop MMP from Vacating Motel																																																						
07/29/16	Hearing on Motion for Order to Stop MMP Vacating Motel (Denied)																																																						
07/29/16	Costa Mesa’s Request for Correction																																																						
07/29/16	Petitioners’ Opposition to Motion for Correction																																																						
08/12/16	Petitioners’ Motion for Second Preliminary Injunction																																																						
08/12/16	Costa Mesa’s and RPIs’ Opposition to Second Preliminary Injunction																																																						
08/12/16	Hearing on Motion for Second Preliminary Injunction (Denied)																																																						
08/15/16	Order Denying Petitioners’ Motion for Second Injunction																																																						
08/19/16	Costa Mesa and MMP’s Notices of Appeal of Preliminary Injunction Filed with Court of Appeal																																																						
08/22/16	Petitioners’ Notice of Appeal of Denial of Second Preliminary Injunction																																																						

		filed with Court of Appeal
09/02/16		Petitioners' Motion to Court of Appeal for Emergency Stay, TRO and Second Preliminary Injunction
09/07/16		Costa Mesa's Opposition to Petitioners/Appellants' Motion for Emergency Stay, TRO and Second Preliminary Injunction
09/07/16		Order by Court of Appeal with Denial of Petitioners' Motion for Emergency Stay
09/20/16		Petitioners' Additional Application for Second Injunction
10/03/16		Costa Mesa and RPI's Opposition to Second Injunction
10/13/16		Hearing on Petitioners' Motion for Second Injunction (Denied)
11/14/16		Parties' and Court Stipulation re Briefing Schedule and Date for Hearing on Petitioners' Writ of Mandate of May 11, 2017
01/24/17		Parties' Stipulation to Dismiss Appeals Pending at Court of Appeal
02/03/17		Petitioners' Opening Brief Filed
03/03/17		Costa Mesa and RPI's Opposition Brief Filed
03/23/17		Stipulation and Order to Set Aside and Vacate Order On Petitioners' Ex Parte Application for Stay Pending Appeal and to Enter a New Order Filed
03/24/17		Petitioners' Reply Brief Filed
04/03/17		Conference with Judge Strobel re Joint Appendix
04/10/17		Joint Appendix Filed
04/26/17		Ex Parte Notice of Motion by Petitioners to Substitute and Add as a Plaintiff/Petitioner "Patricia Wagner, deceased, appearing by her son and legal successor-in-interest, Anthony Wagner (CCP 377.70)"
05/02/17		Motion Resolved by Filing Joint Stipulation
05/11/17		Trial/Writ Hearing; Court's Decision Entered as to First Three Causes of Action; As to Fourth Cause of Action, Case Transferred from Dept. 86 Writ Department
06/06/17		Notice from Court that Judge Rescheduled Mandatory Status Conference (MSC) from June 15 to August 10, in Dept. 71
06/22/17		Notice of Related Case Filed in <i>Dadey v. City</i> (Rule 3.300(f))
06/27/17		Plaintiffs' Counsel in <i>Dadey v. City</i> Filed Opposition to Notice of Related Case
06/30/17		Jones & Mayer Filed Reply to Plaintiffs' Opposition to Notice of Related Case
08/10/17		Status conference Judge Kalin, Dept. 71; notice of related case and dates; status conference continued to 09/12/17
09/12/17		Status Conference Dept. 71, case set for trial 09/11/18

City of Costa Mesa v. David William Palmblade and Judith Darlene Palmblade

Case Name	City of Costa Mesa v. David William Palmblade and Judith Darlene Palmblade	Case Number	30-2016-00841782-CU-BC-CJC
Judge	Hon. James Crandall	Venue	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
Attorney(s) for City	Celeste Stahl Brady Allison E. Burns Colin A. Hendricks Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, P.C. (“Stradling”)	Opposing Attorney(s)	David S. Henshaw Henshaw & Henry, P.C. (“Henshaw”) In April 2017, Henshaw filed a motion to withdraw as defense counsel, which the Court granted on May 11, 2017. Thereafter, City was informed that Mr. and Mrs. Palmblade each decided to represent themselves.
Date of Loss	10/14/15	Complaint Filed	03/18/16
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred 03/18/16-08/31/17	\$101,765.84		
Causes of Action	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Breach of Contract 2. Breach of Promissory Note 		
Summary	<p>The City of Costa Mesa (“City”) filed a complaint against defendants David William Palmblade and Judith Darlene Palmblade (collectively, “Palmblades”) alleging breach of a certain loan agreement and corresponding promissory note securing a loan of \$35,000 that the Palmblades received from the City to pay for certain rehabilitation work on the Palmblades’ former single-family home in Costa Mesa (“Property”). The Loan Agreement and Promissory Note required the Palmblades to pay off the loan (and two other Costa Mesa loans) in full upon sale of the Property; the Palmblades sold the Property on October 14, 2015, but failed to repay one of the three loans as required by the Loan Agreement and Promissory Note. The Complaint seeks damages in the amount of \$38,500, plus accruing default interest, plus legal costs and attorneys’ fees incurred in the litigation.</p>		
Status	<p>On July 11 and 12, 2017, individually and respectively, Mr. Palmblade and Mrs. Palmblade conceded their liabilities to the City by each signing a Stipulation for Entry of Judgment in favor of the City, so the trial scheduled for July 17, 2017 was cancelled. The Court approved both Stipulations and entered Judgment in favor of the City of Costa Mesa on July 24, 2017 for \$38,500, plus \$5,715.64 default interest, plus all costs and attorneys’ fees as ordered by the Court after hearing, which is presently scheduled for November 2, 2017 in the OCSC. An Abstract of Judgment was recorded in the Official Records, County of Orange on August 17, 2017.</p>		
Next Court Date	November 2, 2017 – OCSC motion for attorneys’ fees and costs award to City		
Docket	03/18/16	Summons and Complaint	
	04/12/16	Answer (General Denial) Filed by David Palmblade	

04/12/16	Answer (General Denial) Filed by Judith Palmblade
06/09/16	Case Management Statement Filed by City
06/13/16	Case Management Statement Filed by David Palmblade
06/15/16	Court Case Management Conference
08/10/16	Case Management Statement Filed by City
10/27/16	City's Motion for Summary Judgment/Adjudication ("MSJ"); Request for Judicial Notice; Proposed Order
01/26/17	City's Motions to Compel Responses by Palmblades' to City's Discovery and for Order Deeming Answers Admitted and Interrogatories Answered Without Objection by Palmblades
01/30/17	City's Motion to Advance Hearing on Motion to Compel (Granted)
01/30/17	Palmblades' Opposition Filed to City's Motion for MSJ
02/02/17	City's Reply Brief Filed on Motion for MSJ
02/09/17	Hearing on MSJ-Granted as to Summary Adjudication of Issues that Palmblades Breached Loan Agreement and Breached Promissory Note
02/10/17	Settlement Conference
02/16/17	Hearing on City's Motions to Compel Discovery for Palmblades' Responses to Admissions and Interrogatories (Granted)
03/02/17	Pre-Trial Issues Conference (Cancelled by Henshaw/Palmblades)
04/18/17	Motion Filed by Henshaw to Withdraw as Defendants' Counsel
05/02/17	OSC and Trial Rescheduled to July 17, 2017
05/11/17	Court Hearing on Henshaw's Motion to Withdraw as Palmblades' Counsel (Court instructs Palmblades to retain new defense counsel and confirms new trial date of July 17, 2017.)
07/14/17	Filed Stipulation for Entry of Judgment Signed by Mr. Palmblade
07/14/17	Filed Stipulation for Entry of Judgment Signed by Mrs. Palmblade
07/15/17	Trial date of July 17, 2017 Off-Calendar Based on Filing of Stipulations for Entry of Judgment
07/24/17	Judgment Entered in favor of City
08/17/17	Abstract of Judgment Recorded in Official Records
09/22/17	Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs to Plaintiff City of Costa Mesa filed with Court and hearing set for on November 2, 2017