COSTA MESA LITIGATION STATUS REPORT

December 2017

AmeriCare MedServices, Inc. v. City of Costa Mesa and CARE Ambulance Services

Case Name	AmeriCare MedServices, Inc. v. City of Costa Mesa and CARE Ambulance Services		Case Number	District Court: 8:16-cv-01804- JLS-AFM Court of Appeals: 17-55565	
Judge	Hon. Josephine L. Staton Magistrate: Hon. Alexander F. MacKinnon		Venue	District Court: United States District Court for Central District of California Court of Appeals: Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals	
Attorney(s) for City	James R. Touchstone Melissa M. Ballard Bruce A. Lindsay Kendall H. MacVey – Best, Best & Krieger		Opposing Attorney(s)	Jarod Michael Bona Bona Law PC	
Date of Loss	Not applic		Complaint Filed	09/28/2016	
Legal Fees and	\$26,434.9		Complaint Filed	03/20/2010	
Costs Incurred through 12/31/17	Ψ20,13119	•			
Causes of Action	1. Mono	polization – 15 U.S.C. §	} 2		
	 Attempted Monopolization – 15 U.S.C. § 2 Conspiracy to Monopolize – 15 U.S.C. § 2 Conspiracy to Restrain Trade – 15 U.S.C. § 1 Declaration of Rights – Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 1060 Declaratory Judgment – 28 U.S.C. § 2201; 15 U.S.C. § 26 				
Summary	Antitrust claim by AmeriCare MedServices that City created unlawful monopoly with CARE Ambulance Services.				
Chahaa		The case is on appeal to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals following the district court's			
Status	granting of defendants' motions to dismiss.				
Next Hearing Date	No hearings are scheduled at this time.				
District Court	09/28/16 Summons and Complaint				
Docket	10/12/16	e	of Judge Staton		
	12/01/16	Amended Complaint			
	12/13/16	Stipulation to Set Brief Dismiss	fing Schedule and Procedu	are for Plaintiff's Motion to	
	12/14/16	Stipulation to Extend 7	Time to Respond to Amend	ded Complaint	
	12/19/16		Order Granting Stipulation		
	12/29/16		der to Show Cause re: Con		
	12/30/16		Order to Show Cause re: C		
	12/30/16		Support of Order to Show		
	01/06/17 01/06/17		n and Motion to Dismiss in the City's Motion to Dism	Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	
	01/00/17	Complaint	iting City's Motion to Disi	miss Flamum's Amended	
	01/13/17	1			
	01/13/17	*			
	01/18/17	•			

	02/10/17	Plaintiff's Memorandum in Opposition of Notice of Motion and Motion to
		Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint
	02/17/17	*
	02/17/17	Plaintiff's Memorandum in Opposition to Notice of Motion and Motion to
		Dismiss Case
	02/23/17	Motion for Leave to File Amicus Curiae Brief of Richard A. Narad in Support of
		Plaintiff
	02/27/17	Plaintiff's Response re City's Objection re Attachments to Declaration
	02/28/17	City's Objection to Richard A. Narad's Motion for Leave to File Amicus Curiae
		Brief in Support of Plaintiff
	03/03/17	
	03/10/17	CARE's Reply in Support of CARE's Motion to Dismiss
	03/28/17	
	03/28/17	
	03/31/17	Plaintiff's Motion to Strike CARE Arguments Raised on Reply and Objections to
		Request for Judicial Notice
	04/05/17	Defendant CARE's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Strike
	04/06/17	Order Taking Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Under Submission
	04/21/17	e
	04/24/17	Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal
Court of Appeals	05/09/17	Plaintiff's Motion to Expedite Briefing and Hearing on Appeal
Docket	05/15/17	Opposition to Motion to Expedite Briefing and Hearing on Appeal Filed by Cities
	05/15/15	of Anaheim, Laguna Beach, and Newport Beach
	05/17/17	City's Joinder to Opposition to Motion to Expedite Briefing and Hearing on
	05/22/17	Appeal Filed by Cities of Anaheim, Laguna Beach, and Newport Beach
	05/23/17	Plaintiff's Response to Oppositions to Motion to Expedite Briefing and Hearing
	05/23/17	on Appeal Plaintiff's Motion for Order Requiring Cities to File a Joint Brief on Appeal
	05/25/17	City's Response to Plaintiff's Motion for Order Requiring Cities to File a Joint
	03/20/17	Brief on Appeal
	06/02/17	Court's Order Denying Motion to Expedite and Motion for Order Requiring Cities
	00/02/17	to File a Joint Brief
	09/11/17	Plaintiff's Requested for Extension of Time to File Opening Brief Filed
	09/11/17	Court's Order Approving Plaintiff's Request for Extension of Time to File
	03/11/1/	Opening Brief
	11/01/17	Appellant's Opening Brief Filed
	11/07/17	Amicus Brief for Review and Motion to Become Amicus Curiae Filed by
		California Emergency Medical Services Authority
	11/08/17	Amicus Brief for Review and Motion to Become Amicus Curiae Filed by
		Emergency Medical Services Administrators Association of California
	12/05/17	City Appellees' Joint Request for Extension of Time to File Answering Briefs
		Filed
	12/05/17	Care Ambulance's Motion for Extension of Time to File Answering Brief Filed
	12/07/17	Court's Order Granting Extension of Time to File Answering Briefs
Written Discovery		None exchanged.

Timothy Dadey v. City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	Timothy Dadey v. City of Costa	Case Number	30-2014-00757962-CU-CR-			
	Mesa		CJC			
Judge	Hon. Sheila Fell	Venue	Superior Court of California,			
			County of Orange			
Attorney(s) for City	Monica Choi Arredondo	Opposing Attorney(s)	Mark Erickson			
	Bruce A. Lindsay		Matthew Costello			
		Christopher Maciel				
			Haynes and Boone			
			Kenneth Babcock			
			Lili Graham			
			Richard Walker			
			Public Law Center			
			Navneet Grewal			
			Stephanie Haffner			
			S. Lynn Martinez			
			Richard Rothschild			
			Western Center on Law and			
			Poverty			
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	Complaint Filed	11/24/2014			
Legal Fees and	\$1,006,953.89	1	1			
Costs Incurred	41,000,722.07					
through 12/31/17						
Causes of Action	1. Land Use Discrimination – Ca	ll. Gov't Code § 65008				
	2. Disability Discrimination in Violation of the Fair Housing Act (FHA) – 42 U.S.C. §					
	3604					
	3. Disability Discrimination in Violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) –					
	42 U.S.C. § 12132					
	4. Disability Discrimination in Violation of the Fair Employment and Housing Act					
	(FEHA) – Cal. Gov't Code §§ 12920, 12927, 12955					
	5. Familial Status Discrimination in Housing in Violation of the FHA – 42 U.S.C. § 3604					
	6. Familial Status Discrimination in Housing in Violation of FEHA – Cal. Gov't Code §§					
	12920, 12927, 12955					
	7. Source of Income Discrimination in Violation of FEHA – Cal. Gov't Code §§ 12920,					
	12927, 12955 8. Violation of Duty to Affirmatively Further Fair Housing – 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 3608€(5)					
	9. Violation of Constitutional Right to Travel					
	10. Violation of Constitutional Right to Privacy					
	11. Violation of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 – 42 U.S.C. §§					
	11. Violation of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 – 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 5301 et seq., 24 C.F.R. §§ 570.600 et seq., 24 C.F.R. §§ 42 et seq.					
	1985, 5501 et seq., 24 C.F.R. §§ 570.000 et seq., 24 C.F.R. §§ 42 et seq. 12. Violation of the California Relocation Assistance Act – Cal. Gov't Code §§ 7260 et seq.,					
	25 C.C.R. § 6010					
Summary	Plaintiffs challenge the City's adoption of Ordinance No. 14-11. Plaintiffs allege					
Summar y	discrimination based on mental/physical disability, income level, source of income, and/or					
	uiscimmanon vascu on mental/p	nysicai disability, ilicollie	iever, source of meome, and/of			

	familial status under state and federal housing discrimination statutes, as well as related state and federal civil rights violations.		
Status	The parties are attempting to settle the case.		
Next Hearing Date	February 23, 2018 – Pre-Trial Conference		
	<u> </u>		
Trial Date	February 26, 2018		
Docket	11/24/14 Summons and Complaint		
	11/24/14 Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application; Proposed Order		
	11/24/14 Plaintiffs' Petition for Writ of Mandate		
	11/25/14 City's Opposition		
	11/25/14 Hearing on Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application		
	11/26/14 Plaintiffs' Peremptory Challenge Pursuant to CCP 170.6		
	12/11/14 Peremptory Challenge Under CCP 170.6		
	12/30/14 Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint		
	01/08/15 Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction; Proposed Order		
	01/08/15 Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application		
	01/09/15 Hearing on Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application; Order		
	02/04/15 City's Demurrer; Request for Judicial Notice		
	02/10/15 City's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction		
	02/18/15 Plaintiffs' Reply to City's Opposition		
	02/25/15 Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Injunction		
	03/24/15 Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction		
	04/30/15 Plaintiffs' Opposition to City's Demurrer to Writ of Mandate		
	05/06/15 City's Reply to Plaintiffs' Opposition		
	05/13/15 Hearing on Demurrer to Complaint		
	05/14/15 Notice of Ruling 05/26/15 City's Answer to Petition for Writ of Mandate		
	05/26/15 City's Answer to Petition for Writ of Mandate 05/28/15 City's Answer to Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint		
	06/10/15 Plaintiffs' Demurrer to City's Answer		
	06/10/15 Plaintiffs' Demurrer to City's Answer		
	08/03/15 Plaintiffs' Case Management Statement Filed		
	08/04/15 City's Case Management Statement Filed		
	08/07/15 Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application		
	08/07/15 Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Deposition		
	08/10/15 Hearing on Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application		
	08/18/15 Case Management Conference		
	08/28/15 City's First Amended Answer		
	09/14/15 Plaintiffs' Case Management Statement Filed		
	09/15/15 City's Opposition to Motion to Compel		
	09/29/15 Case Management Conference		
	10/06/15 Report and Recommendation of Discovery Referee Filed		
	10/26/15 Plaintiffs' Case Management Statement Filed		
	11/05/15 City's Case Management Statement Filed		
	11/10/15 Case Management Conference		
	12/31/15 City's Ex Parte Application		
	01/04/16 Hearing on City's Ex Parte Application		
	01/04/16 Plaintiffs' Opposition		
	01/15/16 City's Motion for Summary Judgment/Adjudication; Request for Judicial Notice		
	01/21/16 Report and Recommendation of Discovery Referee		

02/02/16	City's Objection to Report and Recommendation of Discovery Referee
03/02/16	Dadey's Request for Dismissal with Prejudice
03/04/16	Rose's Request for Dismissal with Prejudice
03/07/16	City's Motion to Bifurcate
03/14/16	Stipulation and Protective Order Filed by Plaintiffs
03/18/16	City's Ex Parte Application to Continue Trial Date
03/21/16	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Ex Parte
	Hearing on City's Ex Parte Application
03/21/16	Plaintiffs' Request for Dismissal with Prejudice as to Wimberly
03/22/16	Stay Order Filed by Fourth District Court of Appeal
03/25/16	City's Request for Judicial Notice
03/30/16	Plaintiffs' Opposition; Request for Judicial Notice
04/01/16	Order on Report and Recommendation of Discovery Referee
04/04/16	City's Ex Parte Application re Court Conference re Stay
	Hearing on City's Ex Parte Application
04/06/16	Plaintiffs' Response to City's Objection
04/11/16	1 0
	Court of Appeal Opinion Filed
	Plaintiffs' Status Conference Statement Filed
02/24/17	City's Status Conference Statement Filed
02/28/17	Status Conference
03/03/17	City's Amended Notice of Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative,
	Summary Adjudication
03/10/17	Stipulation and Proposed Order re Submission of Documents to Discovery
	Referee
03/20/17	Court's Entry of Order re Stipulation for Submission of Documents to Discovery
00, 00, 0	Referee
04/10/17	Report and Recommendation of Discovery Referee
05/02/17	Notice of Continuance of Hearing on City's Motion for Summary Judgment, or
	in the Alternative, Summary Adjudication
06/07/17	Plaintiffs' Objections to City's Amended Notice of Motion for Summary
	Judgment, or in the Alternative, Summary Adjudication
06/07/17	Opposition of Plaintiffs to City's Motion for Summary Judgment
06/07/17	Plaintiffs' Request for Judicial Notice and Consideration of Certain Documents
06/16/17	City's Reply to Plaintiffs' Opposition to City's Motion for Summary Judgment,
0 0, 0 0, 0	or in the Alternative, Summary Adjudication
06/16/17	City's Objections to Plaintiffs' Evidence Submitted in Opposition to City's
0 0, 0 0, 0	Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative, Summary Adjudication
06/16/17	City's Objections to Plaintiffs' Evidentiary Objections Referenced Within Their
	Response to City's Separate Statement of Undisputed Facts in Support of City's
	Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative, Summary Adjudication
06/16/17	City's Notice of Motion and Motion to Sever/Trifurcate; Proposed Order
06/20/17	Court's Tentative Ruling, Denying Motion for Summary Judgment/Adjudication
06/22/17	City's Notice of Related Case
06/27/17	Plaintiffs' Response in Opposition to City's Notice of Related Case
06/30/17	City's Reply to Plaintiffs' Response in Opposition to City's Notice of Related
2 2. 2 3. 11	Case
08/02/17	ADR Review Hearing
08/03/17	Plaintiffs' Opposition
	·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

	09/09/17	City's Nation of Withdrawal of Mation
	08/08/17	City's Notice of Withdrawal of Motion
	08/15/17	City's Ex Parte Application for Temporary Stay of Proceedings; Proposed Order
	08/15/17	Plaintiffs' Opposition to City's Ex Parte Application
	08/16/17	Hearing on City's Ex Parte Application for Temporary Stay of Proceedings
	08/17/17	Plaintiffs' Notice of Court's Ruling Denying City's Ex Parte Application
	09/11/17	City's Ex Parte Application to Continue Trial
	09/12/17	Plaintiffs' Opposition to City's Ex Parte Application
	09/12/17	Hearing on City's Ex Parte Application
	09/14/17	Stipulation to Continue Trial; Proposed Order Filed
	10/10/17	Stipulation to Continue Trial; Proposed Order Filed
Written Discovery	03/27/15	Dadey's Form Interrogatories (ROGS) to City, Set One
•	03/27/15	Dadey's Special ROGS to City, Set One
	03/27/15	Dadey's Requests for Admission (RFAS) to City, Set One
	03/30/15	Dadey's Requests for Production (RFPS) to City, Set One
	03/30/15	Dadey's Special ROGS to City, Set Two
	03/30/15	Christopher's Special ROGS to City, Set One
	03/30/15	Rose's Special ROGS to City, Set One
	03/30/15	Wimberly's Special ROGS to City, Set One
	06/24/15	CMMRA's Form ROGS to City, Set One
	11/13/15	City's RFAS to Christopher, Set One
	11/13/15	City's RFAS to Dadey, Set One
	11/13/15	City's Form ROGS to CMMRA, Set One
	11/13/15	City's Form ROGS to Christopher, Set One
	11/13/15	City's Form ROGS to Dadey, Set One
	11/13/15	
	11/13/15	City's Form ROGS to Wimberly, Set One
	11/13/15	City's RFAS to Dadey, Set One
	11/13/15	
	11/13/15	
	11/13/15	
	11/13/15	
	11/13/15	
	11/13/15	City's RFPS to Rose, Set One
	11/13/15	
	11/13/15	7
	11/13/15	
	11/13/15	J 1 37
	11/13/15	City's Special ROGS to Rose, Set One
	11/13/15	City's Special ROGS to Wimberly, Set One
	12/11/15	City's RFPS to Dadey, Set Two
	12/11/15	City's RFPS to Christopher, Set Two
	12/11/15	City's RFPS to Rose, Set Two
	12/11/15	
	12/11/15	City's RFPS to CMMRA, Set Two
	12/11/15	
	12/11/15	
	12/11/15	City's Special ROGS to Rose, Set Two
	12/11/15	
	06/03/15	
	00/03/13	1 chy a recoposition to Duney a rolling to Got, but one

06/03/15	City's Responses to Dadey's Special ROGS, Set One
06/03/15	City's Responses to Dadey's Special ROGS, Set Two
06/03/15	City's Responses to Christopher's Special ROGS, Set One
06/03/15	City's Responses to Rose's Special ROGS, Set One
06/03/15	City's Responses to Wimberly's Special ROGS, Set One
06/03/15	City's Responses to Dadey's RFPS, Set One
06/03/15	City's Responses to Dadey's RFAS, Set One
07/20/15	City's Supplemental Responses to Dadey's Form ROGS, Set One
07/20/15	City's Supplemental Responses to Dadey's RFAS, Set One
07/20/15	City's Supplemental Responses to Dadey's RFPS, Set One
07/20/15	City's Supplemental Responses to Christopher's Special ROGS, Set One
07/20/15	City's Supplemental Responses to Dadey's Special ROGS, Set Two
07/20/15	City's Supplemental Responses to Rose's Special ROGS, Set One
07/20/15	City's Supplemental Responses to Wimberly's Special ROGS, Set One
07/28/15	City's Responses to CMMRA's Form ROGS, Set One
10/23/15	City's Amended Responses to CMMRA's Form ROGS, Set One
10/23/15	City's Further Supplemental Responses to Dadey's RFPS, Set One
12/24/15	Dadey's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
12/24/15	Dadey's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
12/24/15	Dadey's Responses to City's RFAS, Set One
12/24/15	Dadey's Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
12/24/15	Christopher's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
12/24/15	Christopher's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
12/24/15	Christopher's Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
12/24/15	Christopher's Responses to City's RFAS, Set One
12/24/15	Wimberly's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
12/24/15	Wimberly's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
12/24/15	Wimberly's Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
12/24/15	Wimberly's Responses to City's RFAS, Set One
12/24/15	CMMRA's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
12/24/15	CMMRA's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
12/24/15	CMMRA's Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
12/24/15	CMMRA's Responses to City's RFAS, Set One
12/30/15	Rose's Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
12/30/15	Rose's Responses to City's RFAS, Set One
12/30/15	Rose's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
12/30/15	Rose's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
01/13/16	Rose's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Two
01/13/16	Rose's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Two
01/13/16	Wimberly's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Two
01/13/16	Wimberly's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Two
01/13/16	CMMRA's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Two
01/13/16	CMMRA's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Two
01/13/16	Dadey's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Two
01/13/16 01/13/16	Dadey's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Two Christopher's Passages to City's Special POGS. Set Two
	Christopher's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Two Christopher's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Two
01/13/16 01/13/16	CMMRA's Amended Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
01/13/16	CMMRA's Amended Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
01/13/10	Civilvina 8 Amended Responses to City 8 Form ROOS, Set One

01/13/16	Dadey's Amended Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
01/13/16	Dadey's Amended Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set one
01/20/16	City's RFAS to CMMRA, Set Two
01/20/16	City's RFAS to Dadey, Set Two
01/20/16	City's RFAS to Christopher, Set Two
01/20/16	City's RFAS to Wimberly, Set Two
01/20/16	City's RFAS to Rose, Set Two
01/21/16	City's Form ROGS to CMMRA, Set Two
01/21/16	City's Form ROGS to Dadey, Set Two
01/21/16	City's Form ROGS to Christopher, Set Two
01/21/16	City's Form ROGS to Wimberly, Set Two
01/21/16	City's Form ROGS to Rose, Set Two
02/05/16	Dadey's RFPS to City, Set Two
02/05/16	CMMRA's Special ROGS to City, Set Two
02/05/16	CMMRA's RFAS to City, Set One
02/23/16	Dadey's Responses to City's RFAS, Set Two
02/23/16	Christopher's Responses to City's RFAS, Set Two
02/23/16	CMMRA's Responses to City's RFAS, Set Two
02/23/16	Rose's Responses to City's RFAS, Set Two
02/23/16	Wimberly's Responses to City's RFAS, Set Two
02/24/16	Wimberly's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set Two
02/24/16	Christopher's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set Two
02/24/16	Dadey's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set Two
02/24/16	CMMRA's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set Two
03/03/16	City's Special ROGS to CMMRA, Set Two
03/03/16	City's Special ROGS to Christopher, Set Three
03/03/16	City's Special ROGS to Dadey, Set Three
03/03/16	City's Special ROGS to Wimberly, Set Three
03/03/16	City's RFPS to CMMRA, Set Three
03/03/16	City's RFPS to Christopher, Set Three
03/03/16	City's RFPS to Dadey, Set Three
03/03/16	
03/04/16	Dadey's Supplemental Amended Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
03/04/16	Dadey's Supplemental Amended Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
03/04/16	Dadey's RFPS to City, Set Three
03/04/16	Dadey's Supplemental RFPS to City
03/04/16	Christopher's Supplemental ROGS to City
03/04/16	Wimberly's Supplemental ROGS to City
03/04/16	CMMRA's Supplemental ROGS to City
03/07/16	City's Responses to Dadey's RFPS, Set Two
03/07/16 03/07/16	City's Responses to CMMRA's Form ROGS, Set Two
03/07/16	City's Responses to CMMRA's RFAS, Set One
	City's Responses to CMMRA's Special ROGS, Set One
03/10/16 03/10/16	CMMRA's Supplemental Amended Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One CMMRA's Supplemental Amended Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
03/10/16	Christopher's Supplemental Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
03/11/16	Christopher's Supplemental Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One Christopher's Supplemental Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Two
03/11/16	Christopher's Supplemental Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set Two Christopher's Supplemental Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
03/11/16	Dadey's Supplemental Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Two
02/11/10	Dadey's Supplemental Responses to City's Special ROOS, Set I wo

03/31/17	CMMRA's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Three
03/31/17	CMMRA's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Two
03/31/17	Dadey's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Three
03/31/17	Dadey's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Three
04/04/17	Christopher's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Three
04/04/17	Christopher's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Three
04/04/17	City's Response to Dadey's RFPS, Set Three
04/04/17	City's Supplemental Response to Dadey's RFPS, Set Two
04/04/17	City's Supplemental Response to CMMRA's RFAS, Set One
04/04/17	City's Supplemental Response to CMMRA's Special ROGS, Set One
04/04/17	City's Amended Response to CMMRA's Form ROGS, Set Two
07/12/17	City's Amended Supplemental Response to Plaintiff CMMRA's RFAS, Set One
	(No. 4)

James Faulkner v. City of Costa Mesa; County of Orange; State of California

Case Name	James Faulkner v. City of Costa Mesa; County of Orange; State of		Case Number	30-2017-00926083-CU-PO- CJC
	California			
Judge	Hon. Martha K. Gooding		Venue	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
Attorney(s) for City	Melissa M. Ballard		Opposing Attorney(s)	Steven L. Mazza Paul S. Zuckerman Carpenter, Zuckerman & Rowley, LLP
Date of Loss	05/22/201	6	Complaint Filed	06/15/2017
Legal Fees and	\$25,144.1	4		
Costs Incurred through 12/31/17				
Causes of Action	2. Gener	ses Liability al Negligence		
Summary	City. Plain	ntiff sued the City, the Co	in an empty tree well that ounty of Orange, and the St	is owned and maintained by the tate of California.
Status	· · · · · ·	is ongoing.		
Next Hearing Date	•		e Management Conference	
Trial Date	Not yet se			
Docket	06/15/17 07/18/17 07/27/17 08/03/17 08/30/17 09/26/17 09/29/17 10/16/17 11/06/17 11/13/17 11/13/17 11/16/17 11/20/17 11/27/17 12/14/17 12/18/17	Summons and Complaint City's Answer to Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial Filed State's Answer to Complaint Plaintiff's Request for Dismissal as to Defendant State of California Case Management Statement Filed by Plaintiff Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order; Proposed Order Filed City's Case Management Statement Filed Case Management Conference Demurrer Filed by County of Orange City's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order Filed County of Orange's Cross-Complaint Against City Filed Plaintiff's Reply to City's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order Filed Case Management Conference Plaintiff's Amendment to Complaint Filed Hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order – Motion Denied Request for Dismissal of County of Orange Filed by Plaintiff Request for Dismissal of Cross-Complaint Filed by County of Orange		
Written Discovery	07/03/17 07/03/17 07/03/17 07/03/17 07/03/17 07/03/17	Plaintiff's Form Interrogatories (ROGS) to City, Set One Plaintiff's Special ROGS to City, Set One Plaintiff's Requests for Admission (RFAS) to City, Set One Plaintiff's Request for Production of Documents (RFPS) to City, Set One Plaintiff's Form ROGS to County, Set One		

07/03/17	Plaintiff's RFAS to County, Set One
07/03/17	Plaintiff's RFPS to County, Set One
08/14/17	City's Responses to Plaintiff's Form ROGS, Set One
08/14/17	City's Responses to Plaintiff's Special ROGS, Set One
08/14/17	City's Responses to Plaintiff's RFPS, Set One
08/14/17	City's Responses to Plaintiff's RFAS, Set One
08/23/17	City's Form ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One
08/23/17	City's Special ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One
08/23/17	City's RFPS to Plaintiff, Set One
10/02/17	Plaintiff's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
10/02/17	Plaintiff's Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
10/13/17	Plaintiff's Supplemental RFPS to City, Set One
10/13/17	Plaintiff's Supplemental RFPS to County, Set One
10/13/17	Plaintiff's Supplemental ROGS to City, Set One
10/13/17	Plaintiff's Supplemental ROGS to County, Set One
10/31/17	County's RFAS to Plaintiff, Set One
10/31/17	County's RFPS to Plaintiff, Set One
10/31/17	County's Form ROGS to City, Set One
10/31/17	County's Form ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One
10/31/17	County's RFAS to City, Set One
10/31/17	County's RFPS to City, Set One
11/01/17	County's Amended RFAS to City, Set One
11/01/17	County's Amended RFAS to Plaintiff, Set One
11/01/17	County's Special ROGS to City, Set One
11/01/17	County's Special ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One
11/09/17	County's Responses to Plaintiff's Supplemental RFPS, Set One
11/09/17	County's Responses to Plaintiff's Supplemental ROGS, Set One
11/13/17	City's Further Responses to Form ROGS, Set One
11/17/17	City's Responses to Plaintiff's RFPS, Set One
11/17/17	City's Responses to Plaintiff's ROGS, Set One
12/14/17	Plaintiff's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
12/14/17	Plaintiff's RFPS to West Coast Arborists, Set One
12/14/17	Plaintiff's Special ROGS to West Coast Arborists, Set One
12/14/17	Plaintiff's RFAS to West Coast Arborists, Set One
12/15/17	Plaintiff's Form ROGS to West Coast Arborists, Set One

Paula Jameson v. Segerstrom Center for the Arts; City of Costa Mesa

Case Name		eson v. Segerstrom the Arts; City of Costa	Case Number	30-2016-00886449-CU-PO- CJC		
Judge	Hon. Crai	g Griffin	Venue	Superior Court of California, County of Orange		
Attorney(s) for City	Carmen V	asquez	Opposing Attorney(s)	Christopher E. Russell Russell & Lazarus		
Date of Loss	03/22/201	6	Complaint Filed	11/14/2016* *City served on 03/21/2017		
Legal Fees and	\$28,776.5	2				
Costs Incurred through 12/31/17						
Causes of Action	1. Premise	es Liability				
Causes of fiction	2. Neglige	-				
Summary			l on an uneven sidewalk/v	valkway.		
Status	Discovery	is ongoing.		·		
Next Hearing Date	August 17	, 2018 – Mandatory Sett	lement Conference			
Trial Date	Not yet se					
Docket	11/14/16	1				
	03/21/17					
	03/28/17	E				
	04/04/17	County of Orange's Cross-Complaint Against City and Segerstrom Center for the Arts				
	04/10/17					
	04/10/17					
	04/14/17					
	05/24/17					
	06/16/17					
	06/23/17	<u> </u>				
	06/23/17	*				
	06/28/17		se Management Statement	Filed		
	07/10/17			Against Segerstrom Center for		
		the Arts				
	07/14/17	Case Management Cont	ference			
	08/18/17					
	08/21/17	,				
	08/22/17					
	09/01/17					
	09/14/17	1				
	10/18/17	, , ,				
	10/26/17					
	10/31/17	1				
	11/01/17 11/08/17	1				
	11/08/1/	County of Orange's Notice of Motion and Motion for Summary Judgment, or, in				

		the Alternative, Summary Adjudication	
Written Discovery	04/13/17	City's Form Interrogatories (ROGS) to Plaintiff, Set One	
	04/13/17	City's Special ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One	
	04/13/17	City's Requests for Admission (RFAS) to Plaintiff, Set One	
	04/13/17	City's Requests for Production (RFPS) to Plaintiff, Set One	
	05/09/17	Plaintiff's RFAS to City, Set One	
	05/09/17	Plaintiff's Form ROGS to City, Set One	
	05/09/17	Plaintiff's RFAS to Segerstrom, Set One	
	05/09/17	Plaintiff's Form ROGS to Segerstrom, Set One	
	05/09/17	Plaintiff's RFAS to County of Orange, Set One	
	05/09/17	Plaintiff's Form ROGS to County of Orange, Set One	
	06/06/17	Plaintiff's Responses to County of Orange's Form ROGS, Set One	
	06/06/17	Plaintiff's Reponses to County of Orange's Special ROGS, Set One	
	06/06/17	Plaintiff's Responses to County of Orange's RFPS, Set One	
	06/16/17	County of Orange's Response to Plaintiff's Form ROGS, Set One	
	06/16/17	County of Orange's Response to Plaintiff's RFAS, Set One	
	06/22/17	Plaintiff's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One	
	06/22/17	Plaintiff's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One	
	06/22/17	Plaintiff's Responses to City's RFAS, Set One	
	06/22/17	Plaintiff's Responses to City's RFPS, Set One	
	06/22/17	Plaintiff's Objection to Declaration of Carmen Vasquez	
	06/29/17	City's Responses to Plaintiff's Form ROGS, Set One	
	06/29/17	City's Responses to Plaintiff's RFAS, Set One	
	07/06/17	Center Tower Associates' RFPS to City, Set One	
	07/06/17	Center Tower Associates' Special ROGS to City, Set One	
	08/23/17	City's Responses to Center Tower Associates' RFPS, Set One	
	08/23/17	City's Responses to Center Tower Associates' Special ROGS, Set One	
	09/19/17	City's Supplemental Responses to Center Tower Associates' Special ROGS, Set	
		One	
	11/01/17	Plaintiff's Responses to Center Tower Associates' Form ROGS, Set One	

Arthur Lopez v. Costa Mesa Police Department; City of Costa Mesa; Christopher Walk; Isidro Gallardo

Case Name	Arthur Lo	pez v. Costa Mesa Police	Case Number	District Court: 8:17-cv-		
	_	nt; City of Costa Mesa;		00297-VBF-MRW		
		er Walk; Isidro Gallardo		Court of Appeals: 17-55795		
Judge		rie Baker Fairbank	Venue	District Court: United States		
	Magistrate	e: Hon. Michael R. Wilner		District Court for Central		
				District of California		
				Court of Appeals: Ninth		
				Circuit Court of Appeals		
Attorney(s) for City			Opposing	Pro per		
	James R.	Touchstone	Attorney(s)			
Date of Loss	02/19/201	5	Complaint Filed	02/17/17*		
Date of Loss	02/19/201			*City served on 04/10/2017		
Legal Fees and	\$52,156.9	9		1 223		
Costs Incurred	+,					
through 12/31/17						
Causes of Action	1. 42 U.S.	C. § 1983 – Fourth Amendr	nent, Fifth Amendmen	nt, and Fourteenth Amendment		
Summary	Plaintiff alleges his constitutional rights were violated during a traffic stop that occurred or			ing a traffic stop that occurred on		
	February 19, 2015.					
Status	Discovery is ongoing.					
Next Hearing Date	No hearings are scheduled at this time.					
Trial Date	Not yet set.					
District Court		02/17/17 Summons and Complaint				
Docket	04/10/17	1				
	05/02/17	Answer Filed on Behalf of City, Costa Mesa Police Department, Christopher				
	05/00/45	Walk, and Isidro Gallardo				
	05/03/17	Court's Notice of Clerical Error re Notice of Assignment				
	05/04/17	Plaintiff's Motion Objecting to Clerical Error re Judges Court's Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion Challenging Reassignment of Case				
	05/05/17	3 &		enging Reassignment of Case		
	05/05/17	Court's Scheduling Order		valify Dussiding Lydge and		
	05/08/17					
	05/10/17	Magistrate Judge	Motion to Disqualify I	Presiding Judge and Magistrate		
	05/10/17	Joint Rule 26(f) Report Fil	1 2	residing Judge and Magistrate		
	05/16/17	Case Management Conference				
	05/31/17	Court's Scheduling Order				
	06/02/17	Plaintiff's Notice of Appea				
	06/05/17			s re Case Number and Briefing		
		Schedule				
	06/13/17	Order from Ninth Circuit I	Dismissing Appeal			
	06/30/17	Plaintiff's Notice of Motio		nd Complaint		
	07/10/17	City's Opposition to Notic		-		
_	07/17/17			on California Department of		

	Motor Vehicles Legal Affairs
07/18/1	Court's Notice of Discrepancy and Order Rejecting Plaintiff's Request for Service
	of Subpoena
07/25/1	7 Plaintiff's Reply to City's Opposition
07/27/1	Magistrate's Report and Recommendation re Notice of Motion and Motion to
	Amend Complaint Filed
08/10/1	=
08/15/1	
08/29/1	3 1 3
	and Recommendation, and Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Amend the
	Complaint
09/15/1	
09/18/1	11
10/04/1	
10/04/1	
	U 11
10/17/1	
10/19/1	
10/20/1	1
10/30/1	11 1
11/01/1	J &
11/06/1	
	1985 Claim
11/06/1	Plaintiff's Motion to Disallow Interrogatories, Admissions, and Document
	Productions Requests and Responses
11/07/1	Court's Minute Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion to Exclude and Denying
	Plaintiff's Request for Entry of Default Judgment of \$2 Million as Frivolous
11/09/1	
11/29/1	
11/29/1	Plaintiff's Notice of Lodging of Proposed Amended Complaint Filed
11/29/1	
11/30/1	
12/04/1	, ,
Written Discovery 09/15/1	
09/15/1	
09/15/1	,
09/15/1	,
09/15/1	, '
09/15/1	
09/15/1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
09/15/1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	,
09/15/1	
09/15/1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
09/15/1	· ·
09/15/1	,
10/15/1	
10/15/1	
10/15/1	7 Plaintiff's Responses to Officer Gallardo's RFPS, Set One
10/15/1	- D1 1 100 D
10/15/1	· /

10/15/17	Digintiff's Degranges to Officer College's DOCS Set One
10/15/17	Plaintiff's Responses to Officer Gallardo's ROGS, Set One
10/15/17	Plaintiff's Responses to Officer Walk's ROGS, Set One
10/15/17	Plaintiff's Responses to City's ROGS, Set One
10/15/17	Plaintiff's Responses to CMPD's ROGS, Set One
11/14/17	Plaintiff's RFAS to Gallardo, Set One
11/14/17	Plaintiff's RFAS to City, Set One
11/14/17	Plaintiff's RFAS to CMPD, Set One
11/14/17	Plaintiff's RFAS to Walk, Set One
11/14/17	Plaintiff's RFPS to City, Set One
11/14/17	Plaintiff's RFPS to CMPD, Set One
11/14/17	Plaintiff's ROGS to City, Set One
11/14/17	Plaintiff's ROGS to CMPD, Set One
11/14/17	Plaintiff's ROGS to Gallardo, Set One
11/14/17	Plaintiff's ROGS to Walk, Set One
12/06/17	City's Supplemental Rule 26 Disclosures
12/15/17	City's Responses to Plaintiff's RFAS, Set One
12/15/17	CMPD's Responses to Plaintiff's RFAS, Set One
12/15/17	Gallardo's Responses to Plaintiff's RFAS, Set One
12/15/17	Walk's Responses to Plaintiff's RFAS, Set One
12/15/17	City's Responses to Plaintiff's RFPS
12/15/17	CMPD's Responses to Plaintiff's RFPS, Set One
12/15/17	City's Responses to Plaintiff's ROGS, Set One
12/15/17	CMPD's Responses to Plaintiff's ROGS, Set One
12/15/17	Gallardo's Responses to Plaintiff's ROGS, Set One
12/15/17	Walk's Responses to Plaintiff's ROGS, Set One
1	•

Ivin Mood v. City of Costa Mesa; City of Newport Beach

City of Newport Beach Hon. Stephen V. Wilson Magistrate: Hon. Kenly Kiya Kato Gor the Central District California					
Magistrate: Hon. Kenly Kiya Kato Attorney(s) for City Denise L. Rocawich Carmen Vasquez Date of Loss 1					
Attorney(s) for City James R. Touchstone Denise L. Rocawich Carmen Vasquez Date of Loss O4/05/2014 Costs Incurred through 12/31/17 Causes of Action Summary Plaintiff alleges various incidents of false arrest and use of excessive force. Status California California Copposing Attorney(s) Pro per O7/22/2015 Complaint Filed O7/22/2015 1. 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – Fourth Amendment, Fourteenth Amendment Plaintiff alleges various incidents of false arrest and use of excessive force. We are awaiting the Court's ruling on the City's Motion for Summary Judgment.					
Attorney(s) for CityJames R. Touchstone Denise L. Rocawich Carmen VasquezOpposing Attorney(s)Pro perDate of Loss04/05/2014Complaint Filed07/22/2015Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 12/31/17\$74,417.37Causes of Action1. 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – Fourth Amendment, Fourteenth AmendmentSummaryPlaintiff alleges various incidents of false arrest and use of excessive force.StatusWe are awaiting the Court's ruling on the City's Motion for Summary Judgment.					
Denise L. Rocawich Carmen Vasquez Date of Loss O4/05/2014 Complaint Filed O7/22/2015 Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 12/31/17 Causes of Action 1. 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – Fourth Amendment, Fourteenth Amendment Summary Plaintiff alleges various incidents of false arrest and use of excessive force. Status We are awaiting the Court's ruling on the City's Motion for Summary Judgment.					
Date of Loss04/05/2014Complaint Filed07/22/2015Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 12/31/17\$74,417.37Causes of Action1. 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – Fourth Amendment, Fourteenth AmendmentSummaryPlaintiff alleges various incidents of false arrest and use of excessive force.StatusWe are awaiting the Court's ruling on the City's Motion for Summary Judgment.					
Date of Loss04/05/2014Complaint Filed07/22/2015Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 12/31/17\$74,417.37Causes of Action1. 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – Fourth Amendment, Fourteenth AmendmentSummaryPlaintiff alleges various incidents of false arrest and use of excessive force.StatusWe are awaiting the Court's ruling on the City's Motion for Summary Judgment.					
Costs Incurred through 12/31/17 Causes of Action 1. 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – Fourth Amendment, Fourteenth Amendment Summary Plaintiff alleges various incidents of false arrest and use of excessive force. Status We are awaiting the Court's ruling on the City's Motion for Summary Judgment.					
through 12/31/17 Causes of Action 1. 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – Fourth Amendment, Fourteenth Amendment Summary Plaintiff alleges various incidents of false arrest and use of excessive force. Status We are awaiting the Court's ruling on the City's Motion for Summary Judgment.					
Causes of Action1. 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – Fourth Amendment, Fourteenth AmendmentSummaryPlaintiff alleges various incidents of false arrest and use of excessive force.StatusWe are awaiting the Court's ruling on the City's Motion for Summary Judgment.					
Causes of Action1. 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – Fourth Amendment, Fourteenth AmendmentSummaryPlaintiff alleges various incidents of false arrest and use of excessive force.StatusWe are awaiting the Court's ruling on the City's Motion for Summary Judgment.					
Status We are awaiting the Court's ruling on the City's Motion for Summary Judgment.					
Next Hearing Date No hearings are scheduled at this time.					
Trial Date Not yet set.					
Docket 07/22/15 Complaint					
07/22/15 Request to Proceed in Forma Pauperis					
	Order Granting Request to Proceed in Forma Pauperis				
07/27/15 Initial Civil Rights Case Order					
07/27/15 Order Dismissing Complaint with Leave to Amend					
08/24/15 First Amended Complaint					
08/26/15 Order Dismissing First Amended Complaint with Leave to Amend					
	Second Amended Complaint				
	Order Dismissing Second Amended Complaint with Leave to Amend				
	Notice of Voluntary Dismissal of Causes of Action				
	Minute Order Granting Voluntary Dismissal of Claims				
11/12/15 Plaintiff's Request for Extension					
11/13/15 Minute Order Granting Request for Extension of Time					
01/26/16 City's Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss					
01/27/16 Case Management and Scheduling Order					
02/05/16 Plaintiff's Request for Extension of Time to File Opposition 02/08/16 Minute Order Granting Extension of Time					
02/08/16 Willittle Order Granting Extension of Time 02/18/16 Plaintiff's Request for Extension					
02/16/16 Flamini s Request for Extension 02/22/16 Minute Order Granting Request of Extension of Time to File Opposition					
03/31/16 Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Motion to Dismis	2				
Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint	,				
04/14/16 City's Reply in Support of Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss					
04/14/16 City's Reply in Support of Notice of Motion and Motion an	ı to				
Dismiss					
05/18/16 City's Joinder to Newport Beach's Objection to Report and Recommendati	on				
05/31/16 Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's Objections to Report and Recommendation					
06/02/16 Judge Kato's Final Report and Recommendation re Notice of Motion and N					
to Dismiss case	- ,- ,- ,-				

06/27/16	City's Status Report
07/01/16	Plaintiff's Request for Extension of Time to File Status Report
07/05/16	Minute Order Granting Plaintiff's Request for Extension of Time to File Status
	Report
07/08/16	Plaintiff's Status Report
10/26/16	Plaintiff's Request for Order on Report and Recommendation and Revised
	Scheduling Order
11/22/16	Judge Wilson's Order Accepting Findings and Recommendations of Judge Kato
	and Denying City's Motion to Dismiss
11/30/16	City's Answer to Amended Complaint
02/23/17	Newport Beach's Notice of Motion and Motion to Compel Plaintiff's Answers to
	ROGS
03/07/17	Plaintiff's Opposition to Newport Beach's Motion to Compel
03/13/17	Newport Beach's Reply in Support of Motion to Compel
03/20/17	Order Granting Motion to Compel, Denying Request for Sanctions, and Vacating
	Hearing
04/11/17	Newport Beach's Motion to Compel Further Discovery Responses
04/27/17	Plaintiff's Opposition to Newport Beach's Motion to Compel Further Responses
05/01/17	Newport Beach's Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Motion to Compel
05/08/17	City's Status Report Filed
05/08/17	Newport Beach's Status Report Filed
05/18/17	Court's Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to
	Compel
05/25/17	Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration re Sanctions
06/01/17	Court's Order re Briefing Schedule re Motion for Reconsideration
06/01/17	Newport Beach's Opposition to Plaintiff's Application for Review of
	Magistrate's Order
06/01/17	Notice of Defendants' Joint Motion and Motion to Compel Plaintiff's Deposition
0 - 10 - 11 -	and Award of Monetary Sanctions
06/01/17	Defendants' Joint Stipulation re Motion to Compel Plaintiff's Deposition and
0 6 10 0 11 5	Award of Monetary Sanctions
06/02/17	Newport Beach's Notice of Joinder and Joinder in Motion to Compel Plaintiff's
06/00/17	Deposition and Award of Monetary Sanctions
06/08/17	Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Joint Stipulation to Compel Plaintiff to
06/12/17	Further Responses at Deposition
06/13/17	Plaintiff's Interrogatories to City (Set Two)
06/14/17	Plaintiff's Request for Appointment of Counsel
06/14/17	Plaintiff's Reply in Support of Motion for Reconsideration
06/15/17 06/15/17	Reply in Support of Motion to Compel Plaintiff's Deposition
06/15/17	Court's Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel Court's Order Striking Discovery Documents Filed by Plaintiff
06/13/17	Court's Order Granting Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiff's Deposition
00/22/17	
06/22/17	and Awarding Sanctions to City Court's Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration
06/22/17	Court's Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration Plaintiff's Request for Extension of Time to File Stipulated Protective Order,
01/11/11	Motion to Compel; Memorandum in Support of Motion for Protective Order
07/17/17	Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration
07/17/17	Court's Order Striking Documents from the Record
07/17/17	Court's Notice of Document Discrepancies and Order
01/17/11	Court of Foliation Discrepancies and Order

	07/19/17	Court's Order Granting of Plaintiff's Request for Extension of Time to Pay
	00/02/45	Sanctions
	08/02/17	Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application for Extension of Discovery Cutoff and Extension
	00/02/17	of Time to Bring Motion to Compel
	08/03/17	Newport Beach's Opposition to Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application
	08/03/17	City's Joinder to Newport Beach's Opposition to Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application
	08/07/17	Court's Order Denying Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application for Extension of
	00/00/45	Discovery Cutoff and Extension of Time to Bring Motion to Compel
	08/09/17	City's Notice of Motion and Motion for Summary Judgment
	08/09/17	Newport Beach's Notice of Motion and Motion for Summary Judgment
	08/09/17	Court's Order re Motions for Summary Judgment Briefing Schedule and Notice re Summary Judgment Procedures
	08/17/17	Plaintiff's Request for Extension of Time to File Opposition to Newport Beach's
		Motion for Summary Judgment
	08/18/17	Court's Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time to File
		Oppositions
	09/25/17	Plaintiff's Opposition to City's Motion for Summary Judgment Filed
	09/25/17	Plaintiff's Opposition to Newport Beach's Motion for Summary Judgment Filed
	10/05/17	Newport Beach's Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition Filed
	10/06/17	City's Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition Filed
	10/06/17	City's Evidentiary Objections to Plaintiff's Declaration in Support of Opposition
		Filed
	11/30/17	Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation re Motion for Summary
		Judgment Filed
	12/27/17	Plaintiff's Objections to Magistrate's Report and Recommendations Filed
Written Discovery	08/26/16	Plaintiff's Interrogatories (ROGS) to City, Set One
	09/14/16	City's Objection to Plaintiff's ROGS, Set One
	09/30/16	Defendant Newport Beach's Responses to Plaintiff's ROGS, Set One
	12/20/16	Defendant Newport Beach's Initial Disclosures
	12/20/16	Defendant Newport Beach's Requests for Admissions (RFAS) to Plaintiff, Set
		One
	12/20/16	Defendant Newport Beach's Requests for Production (RFPS) to Plaintiff, Set
		One
	12/20/16	Defendant Newport Beach's Special ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One
	01/12/17	
		Plaintiff's RFAS to City, Set One
	02/10/17	,
	02/10/17	City's RFPS to Plaintiff, Set One
	02/10/17	City's ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One
	02/13/17	Defendant Newport Beach's Responses to Plaintiff's RFPS, Set One
	02/14/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs RFPS, Set One
	03/08/17	,
	03/10/17	Newport Beach's Responses to Plaintiff's RFAS, Set One
	03/21/17	Plaintiff's Objection to City's Responses to RFPS, Set One
	04/11/17	Plaintiff's Responses and Objections to City's RFAS, Set One
	05/09/17	Plaintiff's Supplemental Responses to Newport Beach's RFPS and Special
	05/10/17	ROGS Plaintiff's Symplemental Responses to City's ROCS
	05/12/17	Plaintiff's Supplemental Responses to City's ROGS
	05/16/17	Plaintiff's Amended Responses to City's RFPS, Set One

05/19/17	Plaintiff's Supplemental Responses to Newport Beach's RFPS
05/26/17	Plaintiff's Interrogatories to Newport Beach, Set Two
06/12/17	Plaintiff's Interrogatories to City, Set Two
06/28/17	Newport Beach's Reponses to Plaintiff's Interrogatories, Set Two
07/17/17	City's Response to Plaintiff's ROGS, Set Two

OneSource Distributors, LLC v. Old Republic Surety Company; City of Costa Mesa; <u>City of Buena Park</u>

Case Name	OneSourc	e Distributors, LLC v.	Case Number	30-2016-00884879-CU-BC-		
	Old Repul	olic Surety Company;		CJC		
	City of Co	osta Mesa; City of Buena				
	Park					
Judge	Hon. Nath	an Scott	Venue	Superior Court of		
				California, County of		
				Orange		
Attorney(s) for City	Gary S. K	ranker	Opposing Attorney(s)	Pamela Scholefield		
				Scholefield P.C.		
Date of Loss	Not applic	cable.	Complaint Filed	11/03/2016		
Legal Fees and	\$3,787.80					
Costs Incurred						
through 12/31/17						
Causes of Action	1. Recov	. Recovery on Stop Payment Notices				
		very on Payment Bond				
Summary		ce alleges Smart Tech, the former contractor for the City's Placentia Avenue Bicycle				
				quipment and services for the		
		I failed to pay OneSource for the materials.				
Status		The parties are attempting to settle the matter.				
Next Hearing Date	February 5, 2018 – Case Management Conference					
Trial Date	Not yet se	t yet set.				
Docket	11/03/16	Summons and Complaint				
	04/12/17	Case Management Statem	•			
	05/01/17	Case Management Conference				
	05/04/17	Answer to Complaint Filed by Old Republic				
	05/05/17	Notice of Continued Case Management Conference				
	07/11/17	Case Management Statement Filed by Old Republic				
	07/14/17	Case Status Statement File		tors		
	07/31/17	Case Management Confer				
	11/06/17	Case Management Confer				
	12/13/17	Request for Dismissal of Buena Park Filed by OneSource Distributors				
Written Discovery		Not yet exchanged.				

Cecil Patterson v. Michael Beltran; City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	Cecil Patt	erson v. Michael Beltran;	Case Number	30-2017-00954620	
	City of Co	osta Mesa			
Judge	Hon. Crai	g Griffin	Venue	Superior Court of	
				California, County of	
				Orange	
Attorney(s) for City	Melissa M	I. Ballard	Opposing Attorney(s)	Pro per	
Date of Loss	09/24/201	6	Complaint Filed	11/08/2017	
Legal Fees and	\$3,301.49	\$3,301.49			
Costs Incurred					
through 12/31/17					
Causes of Action	1. Gener	1. General Negligence			
	2. Motor Vehicle Negligence				
Summary	Plaintiff was involved in an automobile collision with a former City employee.				
Status	The case is in the pleading stage.				
Next Hearing Date	November 6, 2018 – Case Management Conference				
Trial Date	Not yet set.				
Docket	11/08/17 Complaint Filed				
Written Discovery		Not yet exchanged.			

Sanderson J. Ray Development v. City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	Sanderson	J. Ray Development v.	Case Number	30-2017-00930947-CU-	
	City of Co	osta Mesa		CM-CJC	
Judge	Hon. Mar	tha K. Gooding	Venue	Superior Court of	
				California, County of	
				Orange	
Attorney(s) for City	Krista Ma	cNevin Jee	Opposing Attorney(s)	D. Wayne Brechtel	
	Bruce A.	Lindsay		Worden Williams LLP	
				Ronald R. Ball	
				Law Offices of Ronald R.	
				Ball	
Date of Loss	Not applic		Complaint Filed	07/11/2017	
City Employees	None identified.				
Involved					
Legal Fees and	\$6,202.30				
Costs Incurred					
through 12/31/17					
Causes of Action	1. Declaratory Relief				
Summary	Plaintiff seeks a judicial declaration that the provisions of Measure Y, which requires voter approval of certain development projects, cannot be retroactively applied to approvals it				
				vely applied to approvals it	
	received to redevelop and improve a commercial site it owns.				
Status	The case is in the pleading stage.				
Next Hearing	July 30, 2018				
Date/Trial Date					
Docket	07/11/17	_	for Declaratory Relief File	d	
	08/21/17	City's Answer to Compla			
	10/31/17	\mathcal{E}			
	11/13/17	11/13/17 Case Management Conference			
Written Discovery		Not yet exchanged.			

Maria Santos De Lucas v. City of Costa Mesa; Ryan C. Pilato

Case Name	Maria Santos De Lucas v. City	Case Number	30-2016-00880282-CU-PA-		
	of Costa Mesa; Ryan C. Pilato		CJC		
Judge	Hon. Ronald Bauer	Venue	Superior Court of California,		
			County of Orange		
Attorney(s) for City	James R. Touchstone	Opposing Attorney(s)	Stephen Fredkin		
	Melissa M. Ballard		Law Offices of Stephen Fredkin		
Date of Loss	04/25/2016	Complaint Filed	10/11/2016		
Legal Fees and	\$38,055.82				
Costs Incurred					
through 12/31/17					
Causes of Action	1. Motor Vehicle Negligence				
	2. General Negligence				
Summary	Plaintiff alleges defendants failed to properly and adequately inspect, service, maintain, and				
	repair the brakes of a 2002 GMC truck; that Mr. Pilato, while within the course of his scope				
	of employment, negligently and carelessly drove too close to the vehicles in front of him, and				
	rear ended the vehicles in front of him, including plaintiff's silver Honda. Plaintiff alleges she				
	sustained serious bodily injuries as a result of the defendants' negligence. City filed an				
	indemnity cross-complaint against Connell Chevrolet, which supplied and serviced the part of				
	the vehicle that caused the brakes to fail.				
Status	The parties agreed to settle the c	ase. The case has been disr	nissed in its entirety.		

Roya Tabarzad v. Sephora USA, Inc. et al.

Case Name	Roya Tabarzad v. Sephora USA,	Case Number	30-2016-00869348		
	Inc. et al.				
Judge	Hon. Ronald L. Bauer	Venue	Superior Court of California,		
			County of Orange		
Attorney(s) for City	Carmen Vasquez	Opposing Attorney(s)	Pro per		
	David R. Demurjian				
	James R. Touchstone				
Date of Loss	05/24/2016	Complaint Filed	08/12/2016*		
		_	*CMPD served on		
			03/03/2017		
Legal Fees and	\$32,965.83				
Costs Incurred					
through 12/31/17					
Causes of Action	1. Violation of Bane Civil Rights Act, Cal. Civil Code § 52.1				
	2. Violation of Ralph Civil Rights Act, Cal. Civil Code § 51.7				
	3. False Imprisonment				
	4. Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1985(2)				
	5. Fraud (Not as to Officer Tripp)				
Summary	Plaintiff alleges her constitutional rights were violated as a result of an alleged shoplifting				
	incident that occurred at Sephora. Plaintiff has named as defendants Sephora Inc., Michele				
	Lowrance, The Law Offices of M.	lichael Ira Asen (Sephora's	attorneys), Michael Asen, and		
	Costa Mesa Police Officer Jonatha	n C. Tripp.			
Status	On November 20, 2017, the Cou	art granted the City's Moti	on for Terminating Sanctions.		
	Judgment was entered on Decemb	er 6, 2017.			

Yellowstone v. City of Costa Mesa

ourt for				
alifornia				
G. Polin				
12626,				
6. Violation of Cal. Gov. Code §§ 11135 and 65008				
Plaintiffs challenge the City's adoption of Ordinance No. 14-13, alleging that the ordinance				
violates state and federal law and the state and federal constitutions.				
Discovery is ongoing.				
No hearings are scheduled at this time.				
June 12, 2018 11/20/14 Summons and Complaint				
laint				
T 1' ' 1				
r Judicial				
4				
on to				
on to				
п ю				
of the				

07/07/15	Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Amend Complaint and Denying as Moot
0-10-11-	Defendant's Motion to Dismiss
07/07/15	First Amended Complaint Filed
07/08/15	Joint Stipulation for Extension of Time to File Response to First Amended
07/00/117	Complaint and to Continue Scheduling Conference
07/09/15	Order re Joint Application and Stipulation for Extension of Time to File
05/15/15	Response/Reply
07/17/15	Joint Stipulation to Continue Scheduling Conference
07/20/15	Order Continuing Scheduling Conference
08/03/15	Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint;
00/07/15	Request for Judicial Notice
08/07/15	Stipulation for Extension of time to File Response as to Notice of Motion and
00/07/15	Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint
08/07/15	Order Extending Time to Response to City's Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint
08/12/15	±
	Ex Parte Application to Expedite Rule 26(f) Conference or Discovery
08/13/15 08/18/15	Opposition to Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application Loint Application for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint and First
08/18/13	Joint Application for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint and First Supplemental Complaint
08/18/15	Order Filing Second Amended and First Supplemental Complaint and Setting
00/10/13	Date for Response
08/19/15	Order Denying Application to Compel Rule 26(f) Conference and
00/17/13	Commencement of Discovery
08/19/15	Second Amended Complaint and First Supplemental Amended Complaint
08/24/15	Plaintiffs' Request for Judicial Notice
08/24/15	Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended and First
00,2 1,15	Supplemental Complaint; Request for Judicial Notice
08/31/15	Objection Opposition re: Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs'
	Second Amended and First Supplemental Complaint
08/31/15	Opposition to Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second
	Amended and First Supplemental Complaint
09/04/15	Reply in Support of Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second
	Amended and First Supplemental Complaint
09/04/15	City's Response to Plaintiffs' Objection to City's Request for Judicial Notice re
	Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended and First
	Supplemental Complaint
09/21/15	Hearing on Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint and Motion to Dismiss
	Second Amended Complaint and First Supplemental Complaint
10/08/15	Order Granting Motion to Dismiss Second Amended Complaint and Denying as
	Moot Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint
10/22/15	First Application for Extension of Time to Amend
10/29/15	Order Extending Time to File Third Amended Complaint
11/13/15	Third Amended Complaint
11/30/15	Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Third Amended Complaint; Request for
	Judicial Notice
12/07/15	Plaintiffs' Request for Judicial Notice re Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss
	Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint
12/07/15	Objection to Defendant's Request for Judicial Notice re: Notice of Motion and
	Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint

12/07/	11
	Amended Complaint
12/10/	
12/17/	
12/17/	<u> </u>
12/18/	
12/23/	' 1
01/05/	
01/22/	
	Status Report
05/03/	1
06/14/	<u> </u>
	6 Joint Status Report
08/15/	<u> </u>
09/02/	
09/13/	
09/26/	
	Amended Complaint
	Reply to Plaintiffs' Amended Opposition
10/03/	
	to City's Motion to Dismiss
10/03/	
	Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint
10/05/	
10/05/	1
10/10/	1
	Error Filed in Opposition to City's re: Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss
	Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint
10/17/	
10/20/	1
10/25/	
11/07/	1
11/21/	1
03/09/	1
03/10/	
04/10/	1
04/11/	
05/10/	1 1
05/10/	
05/30/	1
06/01/	
06/09/	<u>.</u>
	Dates
06/09/	,
2	Conference and Related Cut-Off Dates
08/10/	_
08/11/	
10/11/	
	Filed

	1	
	10/13/17	Court's Amended Scheduling Order Issued
	12/13/17	Joint Stipulation to Amend Scheduling Order Filed
	12/14/17	Court's Order Modifying Scheduling Order
Written Discovery	09/30/15	Plaintiffs' Interrogatories (ROGS) to City, Set One
	09/30/15	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Two
	10/01/15	Plaintiffs' Requests for Production (RFPS) to City, Set One
	11/20/15	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set One
	11/20/15	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Two
	11/23/15	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set One
	09/07/16	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Three
	09/20/16	City's ROGS to Plaintiff California Women's Recovery ("CWR"), Set One
	09/20/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff CWR, Set One
	09/20/16	City's ROGS to Plaintiff Sober Living Network ("SLN"), Set One
	09/20/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff SLN, Set One
	09/20/16	City's ROGS to Plaintiff Yellowstone, Set One
	09/20/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff Yellowstone, Set One
	09/20/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff CWR, Set Two
	09/20/10	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Two
	10/09/16	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Four
	10/09/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Three
	10/10/16	Plaintiffs' Initial Disclosures
	10/10/16	City's Initial Disclosures
	10/10/16	City's Supplemental Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set One
	10/10/16	City's Supplemental Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Two
	10/10/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Three
	10/10/16	City's Supplemental Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set One
	10/11/16	Plaintiffs' Requests for Admissions (RFAS), Set One
	10/16/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Four
	10/19/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Five
	10/28/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff SLN, Set Two
	10/28/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff Yellowstone, Set Two
	10/28/16	City's Supplemental Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Three
	10/28/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Six
	11/01/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Two
	11/03/16	Plaintiff CWR's Objections and Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
	11/03/16	Plaintiff CWR's Objections and Answers to City's ROGS, Set One
	11/03/16	Plaintiff SLN's Objections and Answers to City's ROGS, Set One
	11/03/16	Plaintiff SLN's Objections and Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
	11/03/16	Plaintiff Yellowstone's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
	11/03/16	Plaintiff Yellowstone's Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
	11/04/16	Plaintiffs' First Supplemental Disclosures
	11/06/16	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Five
	11/06/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Seven
	11/14/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Four
	11/14/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set One
	11/14/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Three
	11/14/16	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Two
	11/14/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Eight
	11/17/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff CWR, Set Three
	11/11/10	City of the formula Control

11/17/16	City's ROGS to Plaintiff CWR, Set Two
11/17/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff SLN, Set Three
11/17/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff Yellowstone, Set Three
11/17/16	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Six
11/17/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Nine
11/21/16	Plaintiffs' Second Supplemental Disclosures
11/21/16	Plaintiffs' Amended RFAS, Set One
11/30/16	Plaintiff Yellowstone's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Two
11/25/16	
12/02/16	
12/02/16	
12/02/16	
12/04/16	
	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Three
	City's RFPS to Plaintiff SLN, Set Four
12/14/16	
12/15/16	
12/15/16	
	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Eight
	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Four
	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Ten
	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Nine
01/06/17	
01/06/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Six
01/06/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Seven
01/06/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Two
01/06/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Three
01/06/17	
01/16/17	
01/16/17	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Ten
01/16/17	City's Reponses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Four
01/16/17	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Five
01/16/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Ten
01/16/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Eleven
01/19/17	City's RFPS to Plaintiff CWR, Set Four
01/19/17	City's ROGS to Plaintiff CWR, Set Three
01/20/17	Plaintiff Yellowstone's Supplemental Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
01/20/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Twelve
01/20/17	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Six
01/25/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Nine
02/10/17	City's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Eight
02/10/17	City's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Nine
02/10/17	City's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Two
02/10/17	City's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Three
02/10/17	City's Supplemental Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Six
02/10/17	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Eleven
02/10/17	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Seven
02/14/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Thirteen
02/21/17	

02/21/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Five
02/21/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Ten
02/21/17	Plaintiff CWR's Responses to City's ROGS
02/24/17	City's ROGS to Plaintiff SLN, Set Two
02/24/17	City's RFPS to Plaintiff SLN, Set Five
02/25/17	Plaintiff SLN's Supplemental Responses to City's ROGS
03/03/17	City's RFAS to Yellowstone, Set One
03/03/17	City's RFPS to Yellowstone, Set Five
03/03/17	City's ROGS to Yellowstone, Set Two
03/16/17	Plaintiff CWR's Corrections to Responses to ROGS
03/17/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Seven
03/17/17	City's Responses to Plaintiff SLN's ROGS, Set Elevent
03/17/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Fourteen
03/17/17	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Twelve
03/20/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Thirteen
03/27/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Fifteen
04/05/17	Plaintiff Yellowstone's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Two
04/05/17	Plaintiff Yellowstone's Responses to City's RFAS, Set One
04/09/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Sixteen
04/11/17	City's RFPS to Yellowstone, Set Six
04/19/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Fourteen
04/19/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Twelve
04/25/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Seventeen
04/25/17	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Thirteen
05/01/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Fifteen
05/12/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Sixteen
05/15/17	Plaintiff Yellowstone's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Six
05/30/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Thirteen
05/30/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Seventeen
06/05/17	City's RFPS to Yellowstone, Set Seven
06/14/17	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Fourteen
06/16/17	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Fifteen
06/16/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Eighteen
07/17/17	
07/19/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Fifteen
07/19/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Eighteen
07/21/17	City's RFPS to Plaintiff SLN, Set Six
07/21/17	City's ROGS to Plaintiff SLN, Set Three
07/31/17	Plaintiffs' Supplemental Answers to City's ROGS
08/16/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Nineteen
10/10/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Nineteen
10/18/17	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Eight
11/05/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Twenty
11/07/17	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Nine
11/07/17	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Ten
11/10/17	City's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Nineteen
11/10/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Twenty

CA	SES	BEIN	1C	HA	ND	LED	$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{Y}$	LSII	DE	CC	NIIC	SEI
				/		- / - / - /		 				

<u>City of Costa Mesa v. Michael Cohen in his official capacity as</u> <u>Director of the State of California Department of Finance; Jan E. Grimes in her official capacity as Orange County Auditor-Controller</u>

Case Name	City of Costa Mesa v. Michael	Case Number	34-2013-80001675-CU-WM-		
	Cohen in his official capacity as		GDS		
	Director of the State of				
	California Department of				
	Finance; Jan E. Grimes in her				
	official capacity as Orange				
	County Auditor-Controller				
Judge	Hon. Michael P. Kenny	Venue	Superior Court of California,		
			County of Sacramento		
Attorney(s) for City	David A. Robinson	Opposing Attorney(s)	Office of the Attorney General		
	Benjamin P. Pugh				
	Enterprise Counsel Group				
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	Complaint Filed	10/28/2013		
Legal Fees and	All attorneys' fees and costs were paid by the State of California as administrative expenses.				
Costs Incurred to					
Date					
Causes of Action	1. Declaratory Relief				
	2. Writ of Mandate				
Summary	City filed a lawsuit against the Director of the California Department of Finance (DOF) and				
	the Orange County Auditor-Controller following the enactment of ABX1 26, which dissolved				
	all redevelopment agencies (RDAs) effective October 1, 2011, prevented RDAs from				
	engaging in new activities, and outlined a process for winding down a RDA's financial affairs,				
		= -	mer RDA to the City and ordered		
	the City to repay these amounts to	· ·			
Status	1	ry objective of lawsuit by	reviving City's loan to its former		
	redevelopment agency.				

The Kennedy Commission, et al. v. City of Costa Mesa, et al.

Case Name	The Kennedy Commission,	Case Number	30-2016-00832585
	et al. v. City of Costa Mesa,		
	et al.		
Judge	Hon. Mary H. Strobel, Dept.	Venue	Superior Court of California,
	86 (writ causes of action 1, 2,		County of Los Angeles
	3)		
Petition/Complaint Filed	01/28/2016		
Petitioners/Plaintiffs	The Kennedy Commission,	Attorneys for	Jeremy D. Matz
	Mehrnoosh Barimani,	Petitioners/Plaintiffs	Julian C. Burns
	Timothy Dadey, Denise		Bird, Marella, Boxer,
	Riddell and Anthony Wagner		Wolpert, Nessim, Drooks,
	as successor-in-interest to Patricia Wagner (deceased)		Lincenberg & Rhow
	(together, "Petitioners")		Richard Walker
	(together, Tentioners)		Public Law Center
			Lili V. Graham
			Legal Aid Society of Orange
			County
			Navneet K. Grewal
			Richard A. Rothschild
			Western Center on Law &
			Poverty
			Michael Rawson
			Deborah Collins
			Public Interest Law Project
Respondents/Defendants	City of Costa Mesa,	Attorneys for Costa	Celeste Stahl Brady
respondence, 2 elements	Costa Mesa City Council	Mesa	Allison E. Burns
	(together, "Costa Mesa")	1,1000	David C. Palmer
			Stradling Yocca Carlson &
			Rauth, P.C. ("Stradling")
Real Parties in Interest	Miracle Mile Properties, LP	Attorneys for Real	Elizabeth "Ellia" Thompson
	and Diamond Star	Parties in Interest	Allan Cooper
	Associates, Inc. (together,		Jeffrey Harlan
	"RPIs")		Ervin Cohen & Jessup, LLP
			("ECJ")
Legal Fees and Costs		• 0	ave been paid by Real Party in
Incurred 2/1/16-11/30/17	Interest, Miracle Mile Properti		
Causes of Action and	Petition for Writ of Mandate c		actions by the City Council
Summary	(together, "Development Appr	,	
	(1) General Plan Amendmen	t (GP 14 04);	

	(0) D	(P. 14.04)		
	, ,	one (R 14 04);		
		ng Code Amendment (CO-14-02); and		
	(4) Mast	ter Plan (PA-14-27).		
	D 1			
		leges the Development Approvals were adopted in violation of:		
		Density Bonus Law (Government Code sections 65915 – 65917)		
		's General Plan, including the Housing Element;		
		ernment Code section 65008 (alleged housing discrimination); and		
		e Relocation Assistance Act (CRAA, Gov't Code section 7260, et seq.),		
	wnich is	the pending fourth cause of action.		
	On May 1	1, the Court (i) ruled the Development Approvals were set aside <i>to the extent</i>		
		ent incentives or other density bonuses were provided in a manner		
		nt with the state density bonus law ((a) above); and, (ii) the Court denied the		
		n all other respects ((b) and (c) above) including that no housing		
	_	ation occurred since development of the subject commercial property was not		
		to be protected by Government Code section 65008, and (iii) Petitioners'		
		aim ((d) above) was transferred to an individual calendar court (Dept. 71)		
		retitioners had not shown they were entitled to a writ of mandate for the		
		aim and that claim was not proper in the writ department.		
Status		s conference on September 12, 2017, Judge Kalin set the trial date on the		
Status		fourth cause of action re the CRAA for September 11, 2018, Dept. 71 LASC.		
Trial Date	September	1		
Summary of	01/28/16	Petition Filed in Orange County Superior Court		
Proceedings/Docket	02/03/16	Order Transferring Case to Los Angeles County Superior Court		
	04/01/16	Answer to Petition/Complaint Filed by RPIs		
	04/04/16	Answer to Petition/Complaint Filed by Costa Mesa		
	04/26/16	Petitioners' Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (TRO)		
	04/28/16	Hearing on TRO (Denied)		
	05/05/16	Petitioners' Motion for Preliminary Injunction		
	05/11/16	RPI's Opposition to Petitioners' Motion for Preliminary Injunction		
	05/11/16	Costa Mesa's Opposition to Petitioners' Motion for Preliminary		
		Injunction		
	05/13/16	Petitioners' Reply Brief in Support of Preliminary Injunction		
	05/18/16	First Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Injunction		
	06/05/16	Petitioner's Amended Reply to Opposition		
	06/08/16	Second Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Injunction		
	06/08/16	Petitioners' Request for Judicial Notice Costa Mass and MMP's Opposition to Request for Judicial Notice		
	06/22/16 06/22/16	Costa Mesa and MMP's Opposition to Request for Judicial Notice		
	06/22/16	Petitioners' Amended Reply to Opposition Third Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Injunction (Granted)		
	07/29/16	Petitioners' Motion for Order to Stop MMP from Vacating Motel		
	07/29/16	Hearing on Motion for Order to Stop MMP Vacating Motel (Denied)		
	07/29/16	Costa Mesa's Request for Correction		
	07/29/16	Petitioners' Opposition to Motion for Correction		
	08/12/16	Petitioners' Motion for Second Preliminary Injunction		
	00,12,10			

08/12/16	Costa Mesa's and RPIs' Opposition to Second Preliminary Injunction
08/12/16	Hearing on Motion for Second Preliminary Injunction (Denied)
08/15/16	Order Denying Petitioners' Motion for Second Injunction
08/19/16	Costa Mesa and MMP's Notices of Appeal of Preliminary Injunction
	Filed with Court of Appeal
08/22/16	Petitioners' Notice of Appeal of Denial of Second Preliminary Injunction
	filed with Court of Appeal
09/02/16	Petitioners' Motion to Court of Appeal for Emergency Stay, TRO and
	Second Preliminary Injunction
09/07/16	Costa Mesa's Opposition to Petitioners/Appellants' Motion for
	Emergency Stay, TRO and Second Preliminary Injunction
09/07/16	Order by Court of Appeal with Denial of Petitioners' Motion for
	Emergency Stay
09/20/16	Petitioners' Additional Application for Second Injunction
10/03/16	Costa Mesa and RPI's Opposition to Second Injunction
10/13/16	Hearing on Petitioners' Motion for Second Injunction (Denied)
11/14/16	Parties' and Court Stipulation re Briefing Schedule and Date for Hearing
	on Petitioners' Writ of Mandate of May 11, 2017
01/24/17	Parties' Stipulation to Dismiss Appeals Pending at Court of Appeal
02/03/17	Petitioners' Opening Brief Filed
03/03/17	Costa Mesa and RPI's Opposition Brief Filed
03/23/17	Stipulation and Order to Set Aside and Vacate Order On Petitioners'
	Ex Parte Application for Stay Pending Appeal and to Enter a New Order
	Filed
03/24/17	Petitioners' Reply Brief Filed
04/03/17	Conference with Judge Strobel re Joint Appendix
04/10/17	Joint Appendix Filed
04/26/17	Ex Parte Notice of Motion by Petitioners to Substitute and Add as a
	Plaintiff/Petitioner "Patricia Wagner, deceased, appearing by her son and
	legal successor-in-interest, Anthony Wagner (CCP 377.70)"
05/02/17	Motion Resolved by Filing Joint Stipulation
05/11/17	Trial/Writ Hearing; Court's Decision Entered as to First Three Causes of
	Action; As to Fourth Cause of Action, Case Transferred from Dept. 86
	Writ Department
06/06/17	Notice from Court that Judge Rescheduled Mandatory Status Conference
	(MSC) from June 15 to August 10, in Dept. 71
06/22/17	Notice of Related Case Filed in <i>Dadey v. City</i> (Rule 3.300(f)
06/27/17	Plaintiffs' Counsel in <i>Dadey v. City</i> Filed Opposition to Notice of Related
	Case
06/30/17	Jones & Mayer Filed Reply to Plaintiffs' Opposition to Notice of Related
	Case
08/10/17	Status conference Judge Kalin, Dept. 71; notice of related case and dates;
	status conference continued to 09/12/17
09/12/17	Status Conference Dept. 71, case set for trial 09/11/18
12/15/17	MMP Motion to Dissolve Preliminary Injunction Filed