

**COSTA MESA  
LITIGATION STATUS REPORT**

**First Quarter 2018**

**AmeriCare MedServices, Inc. v. City of Costa Mesa and CARE Ambulance Services**

<b>Case Name</b>	AmeriCare MedServices, Inc. v. City of Costa Mesa and CARE Ambulance Services	<b>Case Number</b>	<b>District Court:</b> 8:16-cv-01804  <b>Court of Appeals:</b> 17-55565																						
<b>Judge</b>	Hon. Josephine L. Staton Magistrate: Hon. Alexander F. MacKinnon	<b>Venue</b>	<b>District Court:</b> United States District Court for Central District of California <b>Court of Appeals:</b> Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals																						
<b>Attorney(s) for City</b>	James R. Touchstone Melissa M. Ballard Bruce A. Lindsay Kendall H. MacVey – Best, Best & Krieger	<b>Opposing Attorney(s)</b>	Jarod Michael Bona Bona Law PC																						
<b>Date of Loss</b>	Not applicable.	<b>Complaint Filed</b>	09/28/2016																						
<b>Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 03/31/18</b>	\$30,210.02																								
<b>Causes of Action</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Monopolization – 15 U.S.C. § 2</li> <li>2. Attempted Monopolization – 15 U.S.C. § 2</li> <li>3. Conspiracy to Monopolize – 15 U.S.C. § 2</li> <li>4. Conspiracy to Restrain Trade – 15 U.S.C. § 1</li> <li>5. Declaration of Rights – Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 1060</li> <li>6. Declaratory Judgment – 28 U.S.C. § 2201; 15 U.S.C. § 26</li> </ol>																								
<b>Summary</b>	Antitrust claim by AmeriCare MedServices that City created unlawful monopoly with CARE Ambulance Services.																								
<b>Status</b>	The case is on appeal to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals following the district court's granting of defendants' motions to dismiss.																								
<b>Next Hearing Date</b>	No hearings are scheduled at this time.																								
<b>Court of Appeals Docket</b>	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>05/09/17</td> <td>Plaintiff's Motion to Expedite Briefing and Hearing on Appeal</td> </tr> <tr> <td>05/15/17</td> <td>Opposition to Motion to Expedite Briefing and Hearing on Appeal Filed by Cities of Anaheim, Laguna Beach, and Newport Beach</td> </tr> <tr> <td>05/17/17</td> <td>City's Joinder to Opposition to Motion to Expedite Briefing and Hearing on Appeal Filed by Cities of Anaheim, Laguna Beach, and Newport Beach</td> </tr> <tr> <td>05/23/17</td> <td>Plaintiff's Response to Oppositions to Motion to Expedite Briefing and Hearing on Appeal</td> </tr> <tr> <td>05/23/17</td> <td>Plaintiff's Motion for Order Requiring Cities to File a Joint Brief on Appeal</td> </tr> <tr> <td>05/26/17</td> <td>City's Response to Plaintiff's Motion for Order Requiring Cities to File a Joint Brief on Appeal</td> </tr> <tr> <td>06/02/17</td> <td>Court's Order Denying Motion to Expedite and Motion for Order Requiring Cities to File a Joint Brief</td> </tr> <tr> <td>09/11/17</td> <td>Plaintiff's Requested for Extension of Time to File Opening Brief Filed</td> </tr> <tr> <td>09/11/17</td> <td>Court's Order Approving Plaintiff's Request for Extension of Time to File Opening Brief</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11/01/17</td> <td>Appellant's Opening Brief Filed</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11/07/17</td> <td>Amicus Brief for Review and Motion to Become Amicus Curiae Filed by California Emergency Medical Services Authority</td> </tr> </table>			05/09/17	Plaintiff's Motion to Expedite Briefing and Hearing on Appeal	05/15/17	Opposition to Motion to Expedite Briefing and Hearing on Appeal Filed by Cities of Anaheim, Laguna Beach, and Newport Beach	05/17/17	City's Joinder to Opposition to Motion to Expedite Briefing and Hearing on Appeal Filed by Cities of Anaheim, Laguna Beach, and Newport Beach	05/23/17	Plaintiff's Response to Oppositions to Motion to Expedite Briefing and Hearing on Appeal	05/23/17	Plaintiff's Motion for Order Requiring Cities to File a Joint Brief on Appeal	05/26/17	City's Response to Plaintiff's Motion for Order Requiring Cities to File a Joint Brief on Appeal	06/02/17	Court's Order Denying Motion to Expedite and Motion for Order Requiring Cities to File a Joint Brief	09/11/17	Plaintiff's Requested for Extension of Time to File Opening Brief Filed	09/11/17	Court's Order Approving Plaintiff's Request for Extension of Time to File Opening Brief	11/01/17	Appellant's Opening Brief Filed	11/07/17	Amicus Brief for Review and Motion to Become Amicus Curiae Filed by California Emergency Medical Services Authority
05/09/17	Plaintiff's Motion to Expedite Briefing and Hearing on Appeal																								
05/15/17	Opposition to Motion to Expedite Briefing and Hearing on Appeal Filed by Cities of Anaheim, Laguna Beach, and Newport Beach																								
05/17/17	City's Joinder to Opposition to Motion to Expedite Briefing and Hearing on Appeal Filed by Cities of Anaheim, Laguna Beach, and Newport Beach																								
05/23/17	Plaintiff's Response to Oppositions to Motion to Expedite Briefing and Hearing on Appeal																								
05/23/17	Plaintiff's Motion for Order Requiring Cities to File a Joint Brief on Appeal																								
05/26/17	City's Response to Plaintiff's Motion for Order Requiring Cities to File a Joint Brief on Appeal																								
06/02/17	Court's Order Denying Motion to Expedite and Motion for Order Requiring Cities to File a Joint Brief																								
09/11/17	Plaintiff's Requested for Extension of Time to File Opening Brief Filed																								
09/11/17	Court's Order Approving Plaintiff's Request for Extension of Time to File Opening Brief																								
11/01/17	Appellant's Opening Brief Filed																								
11/07/17	Amicus Brief for Review and Motion to Become Amicus Curiae Filed by California Emergency Medical Services Authority																								

11/08/17	Amicus Brief for Review and Motion to Become Amicus Curiae Filed by Emergency Medical Services Administrators Association of California
12/05/17	City Appellees' Joint Request for Extension of Time to File Answering Briefs Filed
12/05/17	Care Ambulance's Motion for Extension of Time to File Answering Brief Filed
12/07/17	Court's Order Granting Extension of Time to File Answering Briefs
01/22/18	City Appellees' Joint Answering Brief Filed
01/22/18	Appellee Care's Answering Brief Filed
01/23/18	AmeriCare's Request for Extension of Time to File Reply Brief Filed
01/24/18	Court's Order Granting Extension of Time to File Reply Brief
01/29/18	Amicus Curiae Brief for Review Filed by California Fire Chiefs Association
01/29/18	Amicus Curiae Brief for Review Filed by International Association of Fire Fighters
01/29/18	Amicus Curiae Brief for Review Filed by League of California Cities and International Municipal Lawyers Association
02/01/18	AmeriCare's Response to Care's Motion for Judicial Notice
02/08/18	Care's Reply to Response re Motion for Judicial Notice
02/16/18	Notice from Court re Consideration of Placement of Matter on June 2018 Oral Argument Calendar in Pasadena
02/20/18	Letter from Counsel for Care re Unavoidable Conflict
03/14/18	AmeriCare's Reply Brief Filed

**Casa Capri Recovery, Inc. v. City of Costa Mesa**

<b>Case Name</b>	Casa Capri Recovery, Inc. v. City of Costa Mesa	<b>Case Number</b>	8:18-cv-00329
<b>Judge</b>	Hon. Judge James Selna Magistrate: Hon. Judge Patrick Walsh	<b>Venue</b>	United States District Court for the Central District of California
<b>Attorney(s) for City</b>	Bruce A. Lindsay	<b>Opposing Attorney(s)</b>	Isaac R. Zfaty Garrett M. Prybylo  Zfaty Burns Steven Polin Law Offices of Steven G. Polin
<b>Date of Loss</b>	Not applicable.	<b>Complaint Filed</b>	02/26/2018
<b>Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 03/31/18</b>	None to date.		
<b>Causes of Action</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Violation of the Federal Housing Act</li> <li>2. Violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act</li> <li>3. Violation of the Rehabilitation Act</li> <li>4. Violation of the Civil Rights Act of 1871</li> <li>5. Violation of California Fair Employment and Housing Act</li> <li>6. Violation of State Zoning Powers</li> </ol>		
<b>Summary</b>	Plaintiffs, sober living operators, allege the City engages in a pattern or practice of discrimination against them on the basis of disability in violation of various federal and state laws.		
<b>Status</b>	The case is in the pleading stage.		
<b>Next Hearing Date</b>	No hearings are scheduled at this time.		
<b>Trial Date</b>	Not yet set.		
<b>Docket</b>	02/26/18	Complaint Filed	
	02/27/18	Summons Filed	
<b>Written Discovery</b>		Not yet exchanged.	

**Timothy Dadey v. City of Costa Mesa**

<b>Case Name</b>	Timothy Dadey v. City of Costa Mesa	<b>Case Number</b>	30-2014-00757962
<b>Judge</b>	Hon. Sheila Fell	<b>Venue</b>	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
<b>Attorney(s) for City</b>	Monica Choi Arredondo Bruce A. Lindsay	<b>Opposing Attorney(s)</b>	Mark Erickson Matthew Costello Christopher Maciel Haynes and Boone  Kenneth Babcock Lili Graham Richard Walker Public Law Center  Navneet Grewal Stephanie Haffner S. Lynn Martinez Richard Rothschild Western Center on Law and Poverty
<b>Date of Loss</b>	Not applicable.	<b>Complaint Filed</b>	11/24/2014
<b>Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 03/31/18</b>	\$1,039,717.53		
<b>Causes of Action</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Land Use Discrimination – Cal. Gov’t Code § 65008</li> <li>2. Disability Discrimination in Violation of the Fair Housing Act (FHA) – 42 U.S.C. § 3604</li> <li>3. Disability Discrimination in Violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) – 42 U.S.C. § 12132</li> <li>4. Disability Discrimination in Violation of the Fair Employment and Housing Act (FEHA) – Cal. Gov’t Code §§ 12920, 12927, 12955</li> <li>5. Familial Status Discrimination in Housing in Violation of the FHA – 42 U.S.C. § 3604</li> <li>6. Familial Status Discrimination in Housing in Violation of FEHA – Cal. Gov’t Code §§ 12920, 12927, 12955</li> <li>7. Source of Income Discrimination in Violation of FEHA – Cal. Gov’t Code §§ 12920, 12927, 12955</li> <li>8. Violation of Duty to Affirmatively Further Fair Housing – 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 3608€(5)</li> <li>9. Violation of Constitutional Right to Travel</li> <li>10. Violation of Constitutional Right to Privacy</li> <li>11. Violation of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 – 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 5301 <i>et seq.</i>, 24 C.F.R. §§ 570.600 <i>et seq.</i>, 24 C.F.R. §§ 42 <i>et seq.</i></li> <li>12. Violation of the California Relocation Assistance Act – Cal. Gov’t Code §§ 7260 <i>et seq.</i>, 25 C.C.R. § 6010</li> </ol>		
<b>Summary</b>	Plaintiffs challenge the City’s adoption of Ordinance No. 14-11. Plaintiffs allege discrimination based on mental/physical disability, income level, source of income, and/or		

	familial status under state and federal housing discrimination statutes, as well as related state and federal civil rights violations.	
<b>Status</b>	The parties are attempting to settle the case.	
<b>Next Hearing Date</b>	April 13, 2018 – Pre-Trial Conference	
<b>Trial Date</b>	April 16, 2018	
<b>Docket</b>		
	11/24/14	Summons and Complaint
	11/24/14	Plaintiffs’ Ex Parte Application; Proposed Order
	11/24/14	Plaintiffs’ Petition for Writ of Mandate
	11/25/14	City’s Opposition
	11/25/14	Hearing on Plaintiff’s Ex Parte Application
	11/26/14	Plaintiffs’ Peremptory Challenge Pursuant to CCP 170.6
	12/11/14	Peremptory Challenge Under CCP 170.6
	12/30/14	Plaintiffs’ First Amended Complaint
	01/08/15	Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction; Proposed Order
	01/08/15	Plaintiffs’ Ex Parte Application
	01/09/15	Hearing on Plaintiffs’ Ex Parte Application; Order
	02/04/15	City’s Demurrer; Request for Judicial Notice
	02/10/15	City’s Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction
	02/18/15	Plaintiffs’ Reply to City’s Opposition
	02/25/15	Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Injunction
	03/24/15	Order Granting Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction
	04/30/15	Plaintiffs’ Opposition to City’s Demurrer to Writ of Mandate
	05/06/15	City’s Reply to Plaintiffs’ Opposition
	05/13/15	Hearing on Demurrer to Complaint
	05/14/15	Notice of Ruling
	05/26/15	City’s Answer to Petition for Writ of Mandate
	05/28/15	City’s Answer to Plaintiffs’ Amended Complaint
	06/10/15	Plaintiffs’ Demurrer to City’s Answer
	06/10/15	Plaintiffs’ Demurrer to City’s Answer
	08/03/15	Plaintiffs’ Case Management Statement Filed
	08/04/15	City’s Case Management Statement Filed
	08/07/15	Plaintiffs’ Ex Parte Application
	08/07/15	Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel Deposition
	08/10/15	Hearing on Plaintiffs’ Ex Parte Application
	08/18/15	Case Management Conference
	08/28/15	City’s First Amended Answer
	09/14/15	Plaintiffs’ Case Management Statement Filed
	09/15/15	City’s Opposition to Motion to Compel
	09/29/15	Case Management Conference
	10/06/15	Report and Recommendation of Discovery Referee Filed
	10/26/15	Plaintiffs’ Case Management Statement Filed
	11/05/15	City’s Case Management Statement Filed
	11/10/15	Case Management Conference
	12/31/15	City’s Ex Parte Application
	01/04/16	Hearing on City’s Ex Parte Application
	01/04/16	Plaintiffs’ Opposition
	01/15/16	City’s Motion for Summary Judgment/Adjudication; Request for Judicial Notice
	01/21/16	Report and Recommendation of Discovery Referee

02/02/16	City's Objection to Report and Recommendation of Discovery Referee
03/02/16	Dadey's Request for Dismissal with Prejudice
03/04/16	Rose's Request for Dismissal with Prejudice
03/07/16	City's Motion to Bifurcate
03/14/16	Stipulation and Protective Order Filed by Plaintiffs
03/18/16	City's Ex Parte Application to Continue Trial Date
03/21/16	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Ex Parte
03/21/16	Hearing on City's Ex Parte Application
03/21/16	Plaintiffs' Request for Dismissal with Prejudice as to Wimberly
03/22/16	Stay Order Filed by Fourth District Court of Appeal
03/25/16	City's Request for Judicial Notice
03/30/16	Plaintiffs' Opposition; Request for Judicial Notice
04/01/16	Order on Report and Recommendation of Discovery Referee
04/04/16	City's Ex Parte Application re Court Conference re Stay
04/05/16	Hearing on City's Ex Parte Application
04/06/16	Plaintiffs' Response to City's Objection
04/11/16	Court of Appeal's Order Clarifying Stay
11/18/16	Court of Appeal Opinion Filed
02/23/17	Plaintiffs' Status Conference Statement Filed
02/24/17	City's Status Conference Statement Filed
02/28/17	Status Conference
03/03/17	City's Amended Notice of Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative, Summary Adjudication
03/10/17	Stipulation and Proposed Order re Submission of Documents to Discovery Referee
03/20/17	Court's Entry of Order re Stipulation for Submission of Documents to Discovery Referee
04/10/17	Report and Recommendation of Discovery Referee
05/02/17	Notice of Continuance of Hearing on City's Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative, Summary Adjudication
06/07/17	Plaintiffs' Objections to City's Amended Notice of Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative, Summary Adjudication
06/07/17	Opposition of Plaintiffs to City's Motion for Summary Judgment
06/07/17	Plaintiffs' Request for Judicial Notice and Consideration of Certain Documents
06/16/17	City's Reply to Plaintiffs' Opposition to City's Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative, Summary Adjudication
06/16/17	City's Objections to Plaintiffs' Evidence Submitted in Opposition to City's Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative, Summary Adjudication
06/16/17	City's Objections to Plaintiffs' Evidentiary Objections Referenced Within Their Response to City's Separate Statement of Undisputed Facts in Support of City's Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative, Summary Adjudication
06/16/17	City's Notice of Motion and Motion to Sever/Trifurcate; Proposed Order
06/20/17	Court's Tentative Ruling, Denying Motion for Summary Judgment/Adjudication
06/22/17	City's Notice of Related Case
06/27/17	Plaintiffs' Response in Opposition to City's Notice of Related Case
06/30/17	City's Reply to Plaintiffs' Response in Opposition to City's Notice of Related Case
08/02/17	ADR Review Hearing
08/03/17	Plaintiffs' Opposition

	08/08/17	City's Notice of Withdrawal of Motion
	08/15/17	City's Ex Parte Application for Temporary Stay of Proceedings; Proposed Order
	08/15/17	Plaintiffs' Opposition to City's Ex Parte Application
	08/16/17	Hearing on City's Ex Parte Application for Temporary Stay of Proceedings
	08/17/17	Plaintiffs' Notice of Court's Ruling Denying City's Ex Parte Application
	09/11/17	City's Ex Parte Application to Continue Trial
	09/12/17	Plaintiffs' Opposition to City's Ex Parte Application
	09/12/17	Hearing on City's Ex Parte Application
	09/14/17	Stipulation to Continue Trial; Proposed Order Filed
	10/10/17	Stipulation to Continue Trial; Proposed Order Filed
	02/22/18	Stipulation and Order to Continue Trial Filed
<b>Written Discovery</b>	03/27/15	Dadey's Form Interrogatories (ROGS) to City, Set One
	03/27/15	Dadey's Special ROGS to City, Set One
	03/27/15	Dadey's Requests for Admission (RFAS) to City, Set One
	03/30/15	Dadey's Requests for Production (RFPS) to City, Set One
	03/30/15	Dadey's Special ROGS to City, Set Two
	03/30/15	Christopher's Special ROGS to City, Set One
	03/30/15	Rose's Special ROGS to City, Set One
	03/30/15	Wimberly's Special ROGS to City, Set One
	06/24/15	CMMRA's Form ROGS to City, Set One
	11/13/15	City's RFAS to Christopher, Set One
	11/13/15	City's RFAS to Dadey, Set One
	11/13/15	City's Form ROGS to CMMRA, Set One
	11/13/15	City's Form ROGS to Christopher, Set One
	11/13/15	City's Form ROGS to Dadey, Set One
	11/13/15	City's Form ROGS to Rose, Set One
	11/13/15	City's Form ROGS to Wimberly, Set One
	11/13/15	City's RFAS to Dadey, Set One
	11/13/15	City's RFAS to Rose, Set One
	11/13/15	City's RFAS to Wimberly, Set One
	11/13/15	City's RFPS to CMMRA, Set One
	11/13/15	City's RFPS to Christopher, Set One
	11/13/15	City's RFPS to Dadey, Set One
	11/13/15	City's RFPS to Rose, Set One
	11/13/15	City's RFPS to Wimberly, Set One
	11/13/15	City's Special ROGS to CMMRA, Set One
	11/13/15	City's Special ROGS to Christopher, Set One
	11/13/15	City's Special ROGS to Dadey, Set One
	11/13/15	City's Special ROGS to Rose, Set One
	11/13/15	City's Special ROGS to Wimberly, Set One
	12/11/15	City's RFPS to Dadey, Set Two
	12/11/15	City's RFPS to Christopher, Set Two
	12/11/15	City's RFPS to Rose, Set Two
	12/11/15	City's RFPS to Wimberly, Set Two
	12/11/15	City's RFPS to CMMRA, Set Two
	12/11/15	City's Special ROGS to Dadey, Set Two
	12/11/15	City's Special ROGS to Christopher, Set Two
	12/11/15	City's Special ROGS to Rose, Set Two
	12/11/15	City's Special ROGS to Wimberly, Set Two



06/03/15	City's Responses to Dadey's Form ROGS, Set One
06/03/15	City's Responses to Dadey's Special ROGS, Set One
06/03/15	City's Responses to Dadey's Special ROGS, Set Two
06/03/15	City's Responses to Christopher's Special ROGS, Set One
06/03/15	City's Responses to Rose's Special ROGS, Set One
06/03/15	City's Responses to Wimberly's Special ROGS, Set One
06/03/15	City's Responses to Dadey's RFPS, Set One
06/03/15	City's Responses to Dadey's RFAS, Set One
07/20/15	City's Supplemental Responses to Dadey's Form ROGS, Set One
07/20/15	City's Supplemental Responses to Dadey's RFAS, Set One
07/20/15	City's Supplemental Responses to Dadey's RFPS, Set One
07/20/15	City's Supplemental Responses to Christopher's Special ROGS, Set One
07/20/15	City's Supplemental Responses to Dadey's Special ROGS, Set Two
07/20/15	City's Supplemental Responses to Rose's Special ROGS, Set One
07/20/15	City's Supplemental Responses to Wimberly's Special ROGS, Set One
07/28/15	City's Responses to CMMRA's Form ROGS, Set One
10/23/15	City's Amended Responses to CMMRA's Form ROGS, Set One
10/23/15	City's Further Supplemental Responses to Dadey's RFPS, Set One
12/24/15	Dadey's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
12/24/15	Dadey's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
12/24/15	Dadey's Responses to City's RFAS, Set One
12/24/15	Dadey's Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
12/24/15	Christopher's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
12/24/15	Christopher's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
12/24/15	Christopher's Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
12/24/15	Christopher's Responses to City's RFAS, Set One
12/24/15	Wimberly's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
12/24/15	Wimberly's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
12/24/15	Wimberly's Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
12/24/15	Wimberly's Responses to City's RFAS, Set One
12/24/15	CMMRA's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
12/24/15	CMMRA's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
12/24/15	CMMRA's Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
12/24/15	CMMRA's Responses to City's RFAS, Set One
12/30/15	Rose's Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
12/30/15	Rose's Responses to City's RFAS, Set One
12/30/15	Rose's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
12/30/15	Rose's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
01/13/16	Rose's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Two
01/13/16	Rose's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Two
01/13/16	Wimberly's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Two
01/13/16	Wimberly's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Two
01/13/16	CMMRA's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Two
01/13/16	CMMRA's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Two
01/13/16	Dadey's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Two
01/13/16	Dadey's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Two
01/13/16	Christopher's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Two
01/13/16	Christopher's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Two
01/13/16	CMMRA's Amended Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One

01/13/16	CMMRA's Amended Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
01/13/16	Dadey's Amended Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
01/13/16	Dadey's Amended Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set one
01/20/16	City's RFAS to CMMRA, Set Two
01/20/16	City's RFAS to Dadey, Set Two
01/20/16	City's RFAS to Christopher, Set Two
01/20/16	City's RFAS to Wimberly, Set Two
01/20/16	City's RFAS to Rose, Set Two
01/21/16	City's Form ROGS to CMMRA, Set Two
01/21/16	City's Form ROGS to Dadey, Set Two
01/21/16	City's Form ROGS to Christopher, Set Two
01/21/16	City's Form ROGS to Wimberly, Set Two
01/21/16	City's Form ROGS to Rose, Set Two
02/05/16	Dadey's RFPS to City, Set Two
02/05/16	CMMRA's Special ROGS to City, Set Two
02/05/16	CMMRA's RFAS to City, Set One
02/23/16	Dadey's Responses to City's RFAS, Set Two
02/23/16	Christopher's Responses to City's RFAS, Set Two
02/23/16	CMMRA's Responses to City's RFAS, Set Two
02/23/16	Rose's Responses to City's RFAS, Set Two
02/23/16	Wimberly's Responses to City's RFAS, Set Two
02/24/16	Wimberly's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set Two
02/24/16	Christopher's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set Two
02/24/16	Dadey's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set Two
02/24/16	CMMRA's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set Two
03/03/16	City's Special ROGS to CMMRA, Set Two
03/03/16	City's Special ROGS to Christopher, Set Three
03/03/16	City's Special ROGS to Dadey, Set Three
03/03/16	City's Special ROGS to Wimberly, Set Three
03/03/16	City's RFPS to CMMRA, Set Three
03/03/16	City's RFPS to Christopher, Set Three
03/03/16	City's RFPS to Dadey, Set Three
03/03/16	City's RFPS to Wimberly, Set Three
03/04/16	Dadey's Supplemental Amended Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
03/04/16	Dadey's Supplemental Amended Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
03/04/16	Dadey's RFPS to City, Set Three
03/04/16	Dadey's Supplemental RFPS to City
03/04/16	Christopher's Supplemental ROGS to City
03/04/16	Wimberly's Supplemental ROGS to City
03/04/16	CMMRA's Supplemental ROGS to City
03/07/16	City's Responses to Dadey's RFPS, Set Two
03/07/16	City's Responses to CMMRA's Form ROGS, Set Two
03/07/16	City's Responses to CMMRA's RFAS, Set One
03/07/16	City's Responses to CMMRA's Special ROGS, Set One
03/10/16	CMMRA's Supplemental Amended Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
03/10/16	CMMRA's Supplemental Amended Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
03/11/16	Christopher's Supplemental Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
03/11/16	Christopher's Supplemental Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Two
03/11/16	Christopher's Supplemental Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One

03/11/16	Dadey's Supplemental Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Two
03/31/17	CMMRA's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Three
03/31/17	CMMRA's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Two
03/31/17	Dadey's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Three
03/31/17	Dadey's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Three
04/04/17	Christopher's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Three
04/04/17	Christopher's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Three
04/04/17	City's Response to Dadey's RFPS, Set Three
04/04/17	City's Supplemental Response to Dadey's RFPS, Set Two
04/04/17	City's Supplemental Response to CMMRA's RFAS, Set One
04/04/17	City's Supplemental Response to CMMRA's Special ROGS, Set One
04/04/17	City's Amended Response to CMMRA's Form ROGS, Set Two
07/12/17	City's Amended Supplemental Response to Plaintiff CMMRA's RFAS, Set One (No. 4)

**James Davis v. South Coast Plaza; City of Costa Mesa**

<b>Case Name</b>	James Davis v. South Coast Plaza; City of Costa Mesa	<b>Case Number</b>	30-2018-00978892
<b>Judge</b>	Hon. James Crandall	<b>Venue</b>	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
<b>Attorney(s) for City</b>	Carmen Vasquez	<b>Opposing Attorney(s)</b>	Steven L. Mazza Carpenter, Zuckerman & Rowley
<b>Date of Loss</b>	02/26/2017	<b>Complaint Filed</b>	03/12/2018
<b>Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 03/31/18</b>	\$1,309.80		
<b>Causes of Action</b>	1. General Negligence 2. Premises Liability		
<b>Summary</b>	Plaintiff alleges he slipped and fell in a common area of South Coast Plaza, which Plaintiff alleges was a result of a dangerous condition on Defendants' property, repaired, inspected and/or maintained by defendants' agents in a negligent fashion.		
<b>Status</b>	The case is in the pleading stage.		
<b>Next Hearing Date</b>	July 18, 2018 – Case Management Conference		
<b>Trial Date</b>	Not yet set.		
<b>Docket</b>	03/12/18	Summons and Complaint	
<b>Written Discovery</b>		Not yet exchanged.	

**James Faulkner v. City of Costa Mesa; County of Orange; State of California**

<b>Case Name</b>	James Faulkner v. City of Costa Mesa; County of Orange; State of California	<b>Case Number</b>	30-2017-00926083
<b>Judge</b>	Hon. Martha K. Gooding	<b>Venue</b>	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
<b>Attorney(s) for City</b>	Melissa M. Ballard	<b>Opposing Attorney(s)</b>	Steven L. Mazza Paul S. Zuckerman Carpenter, Zuckerman & Rowley, LLP
<b>Date of Loss</b>	05/22/2016	<b>Complaint Filed</b>	06/15/2017
<b>Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 03/31/18</b>	\$29,767.30		
<b>Causes of Action</b>	1. Premises Liability 2. General Negligence		
<b>Summary</b>	Plaintiff alleges he tripped and fell in an empty tree well that is owned and maintained by the City. Plaintiff sued the City, the County of Orange, and the State of California.		
<b>Status</b>	Discovery is ongoing.		
<b>Next Hearing Date</b>	August 24, 2018 – Mandatory Settlement Conference		
<b>Trial Date</b>	September 10, 2018		
<b>Docket</b>	06/15/17	Summons and Complaint	
	07/18/17	City's Answer to Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial Filed	
	07/27/17	State's Answer to Complaint	
	08/03/17	Plaintiff's Request for Dismissal as to Defendant State of California	
	08/30/17	Case Management Statement Filed by Plaintiff	
	09/26/17	Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order; Proposed Order Filed	
	09/29/17	City's Case Management Statement Filed	
	10/16/17	Case Management Conference	
	10/30/17	Demurrer Filed by County of Orange	
	11/06/17	City's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order Filed	
	11/13/17	County of Orange's Cross-Complaint Against City Filed	
	11/13/17	Plaintiff's Reply to City's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order Filed	
	11/13/17	Case Management Conference	
	11/16/17	Plaintiff's Amendment to Complaint Filed	
	11/20/17	Hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order – Motion Denied	
	11/27/17	Request for Dismissal of County of Orange Filed by Plaintiff	
	12/14/17	Request for Dismissal of Cross-Complaint Filed by County of Orange	
	12/18/17	Case Management Conference – Continued to January 22, 2018	
	01/05/18	City's Case Management Statement Filed	
	01/08/18	West Coast Arborists' Case Management Statement Filed	
	01/22/18	Case Management Conference	
<b>Written Discovery</b>	07/03/17	Plaintiff's Form Interrogatories (ROGS) to City, Set One	
	07/03/17	Plaintiff's Special ROGS to City, Set One	
	07/03/17	Plaintiff's Requests for Admission (RFAS) to City, Set One	

07/03/17	Plaintiff's Request for Production of Documents (RFPS) to City, Set One
07/03/17	Plaintiff's Form ROGS to County, Set One
07/03/17	Plaintiff's Special ROGS to County, Set One
07/03/17	Plaintiff's RFAS to County, Set One
07/03/17	Plaintiff's RFPS to County, Set One
08/14/17	City's Responses to Plaintiff's Form ROGS, Set One
08/14/17	City's Responses to Plaintiff's Special ROGS, Set One
08/14/17	City's Responses to Plaintiff's RFPS, Set One
08/14/17	City's Responses to Plaintiff's RFAS, Set One
08/23/17	City's Form ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One
08/23/17	City's Special ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One
08/23/17	City's RFPS to Plaintiff, Set One
10/02/17	Plaintiff's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
10/02/17	Plaintiff's Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
10/13/17	Plaintiff's Supplemental RFPS to City, Set One
10/13/17	Plaintiff's Supplemental RFPS to County, Set One
10/13/17	Plaintiff's Supplemental ROGS to City, Set One
10/13/17	Plaintiff's Supplemental ROGS to County, Set One
10/31/17	County's RFAS to Plaintiff, Set One
10/31/17	County's RFPS to Plaintiff, Set One
10/31/17	County's Form ROGS to City, Set One
10/31/17	County's Form ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One
10/31/17	County's RFAS to City, Set One
10/31/17	County's RFPS to City, Set One
11/01/17	County's Amended RFAS to City, Set One
11/01/17	County's Amended RFAS to Plaintiff, Set One
11/01/17	County's Special ROGS to City, Set One
11/01/17	County's Special ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One
11/09/17	County's Responses to Plaintiff's Supplemental RFPS, Set One
11/09/17	County's Responses to Plaintiff's Supplemental ROGS, Set One
11/13/17	City's Further Responses to Form ROGS, Set One
11/17/17	City's Responses to Plaintiff's RFPS, Set One
11/17/17	City's Responses to Plaintiff's ROGS, Set One
12/14/17	Plaintiff's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
12/14/17	Plaintiff's RFPS to West Coast Arborists, Set One
12/14/17	Plaintiff's Special ROGS to West Coast Arborists, Set One
12/14/17	Plaintiff's RFAS to West Coast Arborists, Set One
12/15/17	Plaintiff's Form ROGS to West Coast Arborists, Set One
01/08/18	West Coast Arborists' Form ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One
01/08/18	West Coast Arborists' Special ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One
01/08/18	West Coast Arborists' RFPS to Plaintiff, Set One
01/22/18	West Coast Arborists' Responses to Plaintiff's Form ROGS, Set One
01/22/18	West Coast Arborists' Responses to Plaintiff's RFPS, Set One
01/22/18	West Coast Arborists' Responses to Plaintiff's RFAS, Set One
01/22/18	West Coast Arborists' Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set One

**Cheryl Giacomino v. City of Costa Mesa; Jean Sola**

<b>Case Name</b>	Cheryl Giacomino v. City of Costa Mesa; Jean Sola	<b>Case Number</b>	30-2017-00963795
<b>Judge</b>	Hon. Gregory Lewis	<b>Venue</b>	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
<b>Attorney(s) for City</b>	Melissa M. Ballard	<b>Opposing Attorney(s)</b>	W. Douglas Easton Matthew D. Easton Travis R. Easton Easton & Easton, LLP
<b>Date of Loss</b>	03/11/2016	<b>Complaint Filed</b>	12/27/2017* *City served on 01/31/2018
<b>Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 03/31/18</b>	\$708.00		
<b>Causes of Action</b>	1. Dangerous Condition of Public Property 2. Negligence		
<b>Summary</b>	Plaintiff alleges that she tripped and fell on a City-owned sidewalk.		
<b>Status</b>	Discovery is ongoing.		
<b>Next Hearing Date</b>	June 4, 2018 – Case Management Conference		
<b>Trial Date</b>	Not yet set.		
<b>Docket</b>	12/27/17	Summons and Complaint	
	02/16/18	Sola's Answer to Complaint Filed	
	03/05/18	City's Answer to Complaint Filed	
<b>Written Discovery</b>	03/20/18	Plaintiff's Special Interrogatories (ROGS) to Sola, Set One	
	03/20/18	Plaintiff's Form ROGS to Sola, Set One	
	03/20/18	Plaintiff's Requests for Production (RFPS) to Sola, Set One	
	03/20/18	Plaintiff's Special ROGS to City, Set One	
	03/20/18	Plaintiff's Form ROGS to City, Set One	
	03/20/18	Plaintiff's RFPS to City, Set One	

**Paula Jameson v. Segerstrom Center for the Arts; City of Costa Mesa**

<b>Case Name</b>	Paula Jameson v. Segerstrom Center for the Arts; City of Costa Mesa	<b>Case Number</b>	30-2016-00886449
<b>Judge</b>	Hon. Craig Griffin	<b>Venue</b>	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
<b>Attorney(s) for City</b>	Carmen Vasquez	<b>Opposing Attorney(s)</b>	Christopher E. Russell Russell & Lazarus
<b>Date of Loss</b>	03/22/2016	<b>Complaint Filed</b>	11/14/2016* *City served on 03/21/2017
<b>Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 03/31/18</b>	\$30,631.92		
<b>Causes of Action</b>	1. Premises Liability 2. Negligence		
<b>Summary</b>	Plaintiff alleges she tripped and fell on an uneven sidewalk/walkway.		
<b>Status</b>	Discovery is ongoing.		
<b>Next Hearing Date</b>	August 17, 2018 – Mandatory Settlement Conference		
<b>Trial Date</b>	September 17, 2018		
<b>Docket</b>	11/14/16 Summons and Complaint 03/21/17 City Served with Complaint 03/28/17 Plaintiff's Case Management Statement Filed 04/04/17 County of Orange's Cross-Complaint Against City and Segerstrom Center for the Arts 04/10/17 City's Case Management Statement Filed 04/10/17 City's Cross-Complaint Against Segerstrom Center for the Arts 04/14/17 Case Management Conference 05/24/17 City's Answer to County of Orange's Cross-Complaint 06/16/17 City's Amendment to Cross-Complaint 06/23/17 Cross-Complainant Center Tower Associates, LLC's Answer Filed 06/23/17 Cross-Complainant Center Tower Associates, LLC's Case Management Statement Filed 06/28/17 County of Orange's Case Management Statement Filed 07/10/17 City's Request for Dismissal of Cross-Complaint Against Segerstrom Center for the Arts 07/14/17 Case Management Conference 08/18/17 Plaintiff's Case Management Statement Filed 08/21/17 City's Case Management Statement Filed 08/22/17 County of Orange's Case Management Statement Filed 09/01/17 Case Management Conference 09/14/17 Plaintiff's Amended Complaint Filed 10/18/17 County of Orange's Case Management Statement Filed 10/26/17 City's Case Management Statement Filed 10/31/17 Cross-Complainant Center Tower Associates, LLC's Answer Filed 11/01/17 Plaintiff's Request for Dismissal as to State of California 11/08/17 County of Orange's Notice of Motion and Motion for Summary Judgment, or, in		



	01/11/18 01/29/18 01/29/18 01/30/18	the Alternative, Summary Adjudication Plaintiff's Notice of Non-Opposition Filed Hearing on County's Motion for Summary Judgment Court's Minute Order Denying County's Motion for Summary Judgment County of Orange's Motion for Summary Judgment/Summary Adjudication Filed
<b>Written Discovery</b>	04/13/17 04/13/17 04/13/17 04/13/17 05/09/17 05/09/17 05/09/17 05/09/17 05/09/17 05/09/17 05/09/17 06/06/17 06/06/17 06/06/17 06/16/17 06/16/17 06/22/17 06/22/17 06/22/17 06/22/17 06/22/17 06/22/17 06/29/17 06/29/17 07/06/17 07/06/17 08/23/17 08/23/17 09/19/17 11/01/17	City's Form Interrogatories (ROGS) to Plaintiff, Set One City's Special ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One City's Requests for Admission (RFAS) to Plaintiff, Set One City's Requests for Production (RFPS) to Plaintiff, Set One Plaintiff's RFAS to City, Set One Plaintiff's Form ROGS to City, Set One Plaintiff's RFAS to Segerstrom, Set One Plaintiff's Form ROGS to Segerstrom, Set One Plaintiff's RFAS to County of Orange, Set One Plaintiff's Form ROGS to County of Orange, Set One Plaintiff's Responses to County of Orange's Form ROGS, Set One Plaintiff's Responses to County of Orange's Special ROGS, Set One Plaintiff's Responses to County of Orange's RFPS, Set One County of Orange's Response to Plaintiff's Form ROGS, Set One County of Orange's Response to Plaintiff's RFAS, Set One Plaintiff's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One Plaintiff's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One Plaintiff's Responses to City's RFAS, Set One Plaintiff's Responses to City's RFPS, Set One Plaintiff's Objection to Declaration of Carmen Vasquez City's Responses to Plaintiff's Form ROGS, Set One City's Responses to Plaintiff's RFAS, Set One Center Tower Associates' RFPS to City, Set One Center Tower Associates' Special ROGS to City, Set One City's Responses to Center Tower Associates' RFPS, Set One City's Responses to Center Tower Associates' Special ROGS, Set One City's Supplemental Responses to Center Tower Associates' Special ROGS, Set One Plaintiff's Responses to Center Tower Associates' Form ROGS, Set One

**Arthur Lopez v. Costa Mesa Police Department; City of Costa Mesa; Christopher Walk; Isidro Gallardo**

<b>Case Name</b>	Arthur Lopez v. Costa Mesa Police Department; City of Costa Mesa; Christopher Walk; Isidro Gallardo	<b>Case Number</b>	<b>District Court:</b> 8:17-cv-00297  <b>Court of Appeals:</b> 17-55795
<b>Judge</b>	Hon. Valerie Baker Fairbank Magistrate: Hon. Michael R. Wilner	<b>Venue</b>	<b>District Court:</b> United States District Court for Central District of California  <b>Court of Appeals:</b> Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals
<b>Attorney(s) for City</b>	Carmen Vasquez James R. Touchstone	<b>Opposing Attorney(s)</b>	Pro per
<b>Date of Loss</b>	02/19/2015	<b>Complaint Filed</b>	02/17/17* *City served on 04/10/2017
<b>Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 03/31/18</b>	\$80,890.27		
<b>Causes of Action</b>	1. 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – Fourth Amendment, Fifth Amendment, and Fourteenth Amendment		
<b>Summary</b>	Plaintiff alleges his constitutional rights were violated during a traffic stop that occurred on February 19, 2015.		
<b>Status</b>	The City is awaiting the Court’s ruling on its Motion for Summary Judgment.		
<b>Next Hearing Date</b>	No hearings are scheduled at this time.		
<b>Trial Date</b>	Not yet set.		
<b>District Court Docket</b>	02/17/17	Summons and Complaint	
	04/10/17	City Served with Complaint	
	05/02/17	Answer Filed on Behalf of City, Costa Mesa Police Department, Christopher Walk, and Isidro Gallardo	
	05/03/17	Court’s Notice of Clerical Error re Notice of Assignment	
	05/04/17	Plaintiff’s Motion Objecting to Clerical Error re Judges	
	05/05/17	Court’s Order Denying Plaintiff’s Motion Challenging Reassignment of Case	
	05/05/17	Court’s Scheduling Order Issued	
	05/08/17	Plaintiff’s Notice of Motion and Motion to Disqualify Presiding Judge and Magistrate Judge	
	05/10/17	Order Denying Plaintiff’s Motion to Disqualify Presiding Judge and Magistrate	
	05/18/17	Joint Rule 26(f) Report Filed	
	05/31/17	Case Management Conference	
	05/31/17	Court’s Scheduling Order Issued	
	06/02/17	Plaintiff’s Notice of Appeal Filed	
	06/05/17	Notification from Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals re Case Number and Briefing Schedule	
	06/13/17	Order from Ninth Circuit Dismissing Appeal	
	06/30/17	Plaintiff’s Notice of Motion and Motion to Amend Complaint	

07/10/17	City's Opposition to Notice of Motion and Motion to Amend Complaint
07/17/17	Plaintiff's Request for Court to Serve Subpoena on California Department of Motor Vehicles Legal Affairs
07/18/17	Court's Notice of Discrepancy and Order Rejecting Plaintiff's Request for Service of Subpoena
07/25/17	Plaintiff's Reply to City's Opposition
07/27/17	Magistrate's Report and Recommendation re Notice of Motion and Motion to Amend Complaint Filed
08/10/17	Plaintiff's Objection to Report and Recommendation Filed
08/15/17	City's Reply to Plaintiff's Objection
08/29/17	Court's Order Overruling Plaintiff's Objection, Adopting the Magistrate's Report and Recommendation, and Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Amend the Complaint
09/15/17	Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal to Ninth Circuit re Order on Motion to Amend Filed
09/18/17	Notification from Ninth Circuit re Case Number and Briefing Schedule
10/04/17	Case Management Conference
10/11/17	Order from Ninth Circuit Dismissing Appeal re Motion to Amend
10/17/17	Plaintiff's Objections to Defendants' Discovery Requests
10/19/17	Court's Minute Order Denying Plaintiff's Request re Discovery
10/20/17	Stipulation re Selection of Panel Mediator Filed
10/30/17	Plaintiff's Motion for Court-Appointed Expert Witness Filed
11/01/17	Court's Minute Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Court Appointed Witnesses
11/06/17	City's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Amend Complaint to Add 42 U.S.C. § 1985 Claim
11/06/17	Plaintiff's Motion to Disallow Interrogatories, Admissions, and Document Productions Requests and Responses
11/07/17	Court's Minute Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion to Exclude and Denying Plaintiff's Request for Entry of Default Judgment of \$2 Million as Frivolous
11/09/17	Plaintiff's Reply to Opposition to Motion to Add Filed
11/29/17	Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation Filed
11/29/17	Plaintiff's Notice of Lodging of Proposed Amended Complaint Filed
11/29/17	Hearing on Plaintiff's Motion to Amend Complaint
11/30/17	Court's Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion to Amend Complaint
12/04/17	Mediation Report Filed by Mediator
01/04/18	Court's Order Adopting Magistrate's Report and Recommendation
01/17/18	City's Notice of Motion and Motion for Summary Judgment, or, in the Alternative, Partial Summary Judgment Filed
01/18/18	Court's Minute Order re Summary Judgment Motion
01/31/18	Plaintiff's Response to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment
02/07/18	City's Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment; Evidentiary Objections to Plaintiff's Exhibits and Declaration
02/16/18	Plaintiff's Supplemental Document in Support of Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment
02/21/18	Hearing on Motion for Summary Judgment
02/21/18	Plaintiff's Response to Evidentiary Objections/Opposition
02/23/18	Magistrate's Report and Recommendation re Motion for Summary Judgment Filed
03/09/18	Plaintiff's Objection to Magistrate's Report and Recommendation Filed

	03/15/18	City's Reply to Plaintiff's Objection to Magistrate's Report and Recommendation Filed
	03/21/18	Magistrate's Recommendation Filed; Proposed Order Forwarded to District Judge for Approval
<b>Written Discovery</b>	09/15/17	City's Request for Admissions (RFAS) to Plaintiff, Set One
	09/15/17	CMPD's RFAS to Plaintiff, Set One
	09/15/17	Officer Gallardo's RFAS to Plaintiff, Set One
	09/15/17	Officer Walk's RFAS to Plaintiff, Set One
	09/15/17	City's Requests for Production of Documents (RFPS) to Plaintiff, Set One
	09/15/17	CMPD's RFPS to Plaintiff, Set One
	09/15/17	Officer Gallardo's RFPS to Plaintiff, Set One
	09/15/17	Officer Walk's RFPS to Plaintiff, Set One
	09/15/17	City's Interrogatories (ROGS) to Plaintiff, Set One
	09/15/17	CMPD's ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One
	09/15/17	Officer Gallardo's ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One
	09/15/17	Officer Walk's ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One
	10/15/17	Plaintiff's Responses to City's RFAS, Set One
	10/15/17	Plaintiff's Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
	10/15/17	Plaintiff's Responses to Officer Gallardo's RFPS, Set One
	10/15/17	Plaintiff's Responses to Officer Walk's RFPS, Set One
	10/15/17	Plaintiff's Responses to CMPD's RFPS, Set One
	10/15/17	Plaintiff's Responses to Officer Gallardo's ROGS, Set One
	10/15/17	Plaintiff's Responses to Officer Walk's ROGS, Set One
	10/15/17	Plaintiff's Responses to City's ROGS, Set One
	10/15/17	Plaintiff's Responses to CMPD's ROGS, Set One
	11/14/17	Plaintiff's RFAS to Gallardo, Set One
	11/14/17	Plaintiff's RFAS to City, Set One
	11/14/17	Plaintiff's RFAS to CMPD, Set One
	11/14/17	Plaintiff's RFAS to Walk, Set One
	11/14/17	Plaintiff's RFPS to City, Set One
	11/14/17	Plaintiff's RFPS to CMPD, Set One
	11/14/17	Plaintiff's ROGS to City, Set One
	11/14/17	Plaintiff's ROGS to CMPD, Set One
	11/14/17	Plaintiff's ROGS to Gallardo, Set One
	11/14/17	Plaintiff's ROGS to Walk, Set One
	12/06/17	City's Supplemental Rule 26 Disclosures
	12/15/17	City's Responses to Plaintiff's RFAS, Set One
	12/15/17	CMPD's Responses to Plaintiff's RFAS, Set One
	12/15/17	Gallardo's Responses to Plaintiff's RFAS, Set One
	12/15/17	Walk's Responses to Plaintiff's RFAS, Set One
	12/15/17	City's Responses to Plaintiff's RFPS
	12/15/17	CMPD's Responses to Plaintiff's RFPS, Set One
	12/15/17	City's Responses to Plaintiff's ROGS, Set One
	12/15/17	CMPD's Responses to Plaintiff's ROGS, Set One
	12/15/17	Gallardo's Responses to Plaintiff's ROGS, Set One
	12/15/17	Walk's Responses to Plaintiff's ROGS, Set One

**Ivin Mood v. City of Costa Mesa; City of Newport Beach**

<b>Case Name</b>	Ivin Mood v. City of Costa Mesa; City of Newport Beach	<b>Case Number</b>	<b>District Court:</b> 8:15-cv-01154  <b>Court of Appeals:</b> 18-55184
<b>Judge</b>	Hon. Stephen V. Wilson Magistrate: Hon. Kenly Kiya Kato	<b>Venue</b>	<b>District Court:</b> United States District Court for the Central District of California  <b>Court of Appeals:</b> Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals
<b>Attorney(s) for City</b>	James R. Touchstone Carmen Vasquez	<b>Opposing Attorney(s)</b>	Pro per
<b>Date of Loss</b>	04/05/2014	<b>Complaint Filed</b>	07/22/2015
<b>Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 03/31/18</b>	\$77,077.52		
<b>Causes of Action</b>	1. 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – Fourth Amendment, Fourteenth Amendment		
<b>Summary</b>	Plaintiff alleges various incidents of false arrest and use of excessive force.		
<b>Status</b>	The case is on appeal to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals following the district court's granting of the City's Motion for Summary Judgment.		
<b>Next Hearing Date</b>	No hearings are scheduled at this time.		
<b>Court of Appeals Docket</b>	02/12/18 Notice of Appeal Filed 02/14/18 Court's Time Schedule Order Issued 02/15/18 Appellant's Informal Opening Brief Filed 02/15/18 Appellant's Notice of Motion and Motion to Vacate Judgment 02/27/18 Court's Order Granting Motion for Leave to Appeal in Forma Pauperis 03/29/18 Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time Filed		

**OneSource Distributors, LLC v. Old Republic Surety Company; City of Costa Mesa;  
City of Buena Park**

<b>Case Name</b>	OneSource Distributors, LLC v. Old Republic Surety Company; City of Costa Mesa; City of Buena Park	<b>Case Number</b>	30-2016-00884879
<b>Judge</b>	Hon. Nathan Scott	<b>Venue</b>	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
<b>Attorney(s) for City</b>	Gary S. Kranker	<b>Opposing Attorney(s)</b>	Pamela Scholefield Scholefield P.C.
<b>Date of Loss</b>	Not applicable.	<b>Complaint Filed</b>	11/03/2016
<b>Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 03/31/18</b>	\$5,115.30		
<b>Causes of Action</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Recovery on Stop Payment Notices</li> <li>2. Recovery on Payment Bond</li> </ol>		
<b>Summary</b>	OneSource alleges Smart Tech, the former contractor for the City's Placentia Avenue Bicycle Signal Improvement Project, purchased electrical materials, equipment and services for the project and failed to pay OneSource for the materials.		
<b>Status</b>	The parties are attempting to settle the matter.		
<b>Next Hearing Date</b>	February 2, 2019 – Mandatory Settlement Conference		
<b>Trial Date</b>	March 18, 2019		
<b>Docket</b>	11/03/16	Summons and Complaint	
	04/12/17	Case Management Statement Filed by OneSource	
	05/01/17	Case Management Conference	
	05/04/17	Answer to Complaint Filed by Old Republic	
	05/05/17	Notice of Continued Case Management Conference	
	07/11/17	Case Management Statement Filed by Old Republic	
	07/14/17	Case Status Statement Filed by OneSource Distributors	
	07/31/17	Case Management Conference	
	11/06/17	Case Management Conference	
	12/13/17	Request for Dismissal of Buena Park Filed by OneSource Distributors	
	02/05/18	Case Management Conference	
<b>Written Discovery</b>		Not yet exchanged.	

**Orange County Catholic Worker et al. v. Orange County, City of Anaheim, City of Costa Mesa, and City of Orange**

<b>Case Name</b>	Orange County Catholic Worker et al. v. Orange County, City of Anaheim, City of Costa Mesa, and City of Orange	<b>Case Number</b>	8:18-cv-00155
<b>Judge</b>	Hon. David O. Carter Magistrate: Karen E. Scott	<b>Venue</b>	United States District Court for the Central District of California
<b>Attorney(s) for City</b>	James R. Touchstone Krista MacNevin Jee Gary Kranker Denise Rocawich	<b>Opposing Attorney(s)</b>	Brooke Weitzman William Wise Elder Law and Disability Rights Center  Carol A. Sobel Monique Alarcon Avneet Chattha Law Office of Carol A. Sobel  Paul L. Hoffman Catherine Sweetser Colleen M. Mullen Schonbrun, Seplow, Harris & Hoffman
<b>Date of Loss</b>	Not applicable.	<b>Complaint Filed</b>	01/29/2018
<b>Legal Fees and Costs Incurred to Date</b>	\$69,394.29		
<b>Causes of Action</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – Eighth Amendment, Fourteenth Amendment; Art. 7 § 17 California Constitution</li> <li>2. 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – First Amendment, Fourteenth Amendment</li> <li>3. 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – Right to Due Process of Law – Fourteenth Amendment</li> <li>4. California Civil Code § 52.1</li> </ol>		
<b>Summary</b>	Plaintiffs seek to enjoin and restrain Orange County from closing the Santa Ana Riverbed bike path area from habitation as to the 800-1200 homeless people that are currently living there. Plaintiffs further seek to enjoin and restrain Orange County, and the cities of Costa Mesa, Anaheim, and Orange from enforcing various anti-camping, trespassing, and loitering laws.		
<b>Status</b>	The parties are attempting to settle the case.		
<b>Next Hearing Date</b>	No hearings are scheduled at this time.		
<b>Trial Date</b>	Not yet set.		
<b>Docket</b>	01/29/18	Complaint Filed	
	01/29/18	Plaintiffs' Notice of Related Case Filed	
	02/01/18	Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application for Temporary Restraining Order re	

	Enforcement of Various Anti-Camping, Trespass, and Loitering Laws Filed
02/01/18	Court's Initial Standing Order
02/02/18	City's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application Filed
02/02/18	Orange County's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application Filed
02/02/18	Anaheim's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application Filed
02/02/18	Orange's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application Filed
02/04/18	Court's Minute Order Setting Hearing re Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application
02/06/18	Plaintiffs' Emergency Stay Request Filed
02/06/18	Court's Order Granting Temporary Restraining Order re Temporary Stay Request
02/07/18	County's Motion for Modification and/or Clarification of Terms of Temporary Restraining Order
02/07/18	Plaintiffs' Response to County's Motion for Clarification
02/08/18	City of Orange's Supplemental Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application for Temporary Restraining Order
02/08/18	City of Anaheim's Supplemental Briefing in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Application for Temporary Restraining Order
02/08/18	City's Supplemental Opposition to Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application for a Temporary Restraining Order
02/09/18	Amicus Curiae Brief of Public Law Center and The Kennedy Commission in Support of Plaintiffs' Application Filed
02/09/18	Court's Minute Order Denying County's Motion for Clarification or Modification
02/09/18	Amicus Letter of Legal Aid Society of Orange County in Support of Preliminary Injunction Filed
02/09/18	Amicus Curiae Brief of ACLU of Southern California in Support of Plaintiffs' Application for Preliminary Injunction Filed
02/11/18	Amicus Curiae Brief of Colette's Children's Home in Support of Plaintiffs' Application for Preliminary Injunction Filed
02/12/18	Plaintiffs' Response in Support of OSC re Preliminary Injunction Filed
02/12/18	City of Santa Ana's Amicus Letter re Preliminary Injunction
02/12/18	Amicus Curiae Letter of National Law Center on Homelessness and Poverty in Support of Emergency Stay Request Filed
02/12/18	Amicus Curiae Brief of Orange County Poverty Alleviation Coalition in Support of Plaintiffs' Application for a Temporary Restraining Order Filed
02/12/18	City's Joinder to Defendants' Opposition and Other Supporting Documents to Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application for a Temporary Restraining Order
02/13/18	Hearing on Temporary Restraining Order
02/13/18	Court's Minute Order re Extension of Temporary Restraining Order through February 14, 2018
02/14/18	Continued Hearing on Temporary Restraining Order
02/14/18	Court's Minute Order re Extension of Temporary Restraining Order through February 15, 2018
02/14/18	Parties' Stipulation re Ex Parte Application for Temporary Restraining Order
02/15/18	Court's Minute Order re Extension of Temporary Restraining Order through February 20, 2018
02/16/18	Hearing re Logistics of Riverbed Cleanup Scheduled for February 20, 2018
02/17/18	Court's Minute Order re Parties Request for Case Management Conference re Stipulation
02/17/18	County's Notice of Issues Filed



	02/17/18	Notice to Court re Issues Relating to Implementation of Stipulation in Related Case Filed
	02/17/18	Court's Minute Order re Request for Case Management Conference
	02/18/18	County's Update re Stipulation Between Parties
	02/20/18	Court's Minute Order Lifting Temporary Restraining Order
	02/20/18	Plaintiffs' Notice to Court re Reimposition of Temporary Restraining Order in Related <i>Orange County Catholic Worker, et al. v. County of Orange, et al.</i> , Due to Ongoing Issues re Implementation of Stipulation
	03/11/18	Minute Order Setting Status Conference for April 3, 2018
	03/11/18	Plaintiffs' Request to Advance Status Conference Filed
	03/12/18	Minute Order Denying Request to Advance Status Conference and Requiring Parties to Meet and Confer on March 14, 2018
	03/14/18	Joint Status Report re Request to Advance Hearing Filed
	03/14/18	Minute Order Advancing Status Conference to March 17, 2018
	03/14/18	Plaintiffs' Supplement to Request to Continue Advance Status Conference
	03/15/18	Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application for Temporary Restraining Order to Stay Motel Evictions Pending Status Conference Filed
	03/15/18	Ex Parte Application to Intervene Filed by City of Santa Ana
	03/15/18	County's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application for Temporary Restraining Order to Stay Motel Evictions Filed
	03/15/18	Court's Minute Order Denying Ex Parte Application for Temporary Restraining Order to Stay Motel Evictions
	03/16/18	Plaintiffs' Status Report re First Day of Motel Evictions Filed
	03/16/18	Minute Order Setting Mandatory Settlement Conference and Hearing on Santa Ana's Ex Parte Application to Intervene for March 17, 2018
	03/17/18	Status/Settlement Conference
	03/19/18	Status Conference
	03/22/18	Status Conference
	03/22/18	Minute Order Setting Status Conference for April 3, 2018
	03/23/18	Letter from Public Law Center and The Kennedy Commission in Support of Efforts in Lawsuit
	03/24/18	Amicus Brief Filed by Amicus Irvine Residents Against Tent City
	03/25/18	Minute Order Directing City of Anaheim to Appear for a Conference with the Special Master, the County, and Plaintiffs
	03/29/18	Minute Order Setting Mandatory Settlement Conference for April 3, 2018
	03/29/18	Court's Scheduling Notice Setting Status Conference for March 30, 2018 to Discuss Civic Center Area with County, Plaintiffs, and Santa Ana, and Ordering that Various County and Santa Officials, and Plaintiffs' Counsel, Be Present (Orange, Anaheim, and Costa Mesa not required to attend)
	03/30/18	Letter from City of Orange City Manager to Judge Carter
<b>Written Discovery</b>		Not yet exchanged.

**Cecil Patterson v. Michael Beltran; City of Costa Mesa**

<b>Case Name</b>	Cecil Patterson v. Michael Beltran; City of Costa Mesa	<b>Case Number</b>	30-2017-00954620
<b>Judge</b>	Hon. Craig Griffin	<b>Venue</b>	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
<b>Attorney(s) for City</b>	Melissa M. Ballard	<b>Opposing Attorney(s)</b>	Pro per
<b>Date of Loss</b>	09/24/2016	<b>Complaint Filed</b>	11/08/2017
<b>Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 03/31/18</b>	\$5,432.59		
<b>Causes of Action</b>	1. General Negligence 2. Motor Vehicle Negligence		
<b>Summary</b>	Plaintiff alleges he was involved in an automobile collision with a former City employee.		
<b>Status</b>	The case is in the pleading stage.		
<b>Next Hearing Date</b>	July 13, 2018 – Case Management Conference		
<b>Trial Date</b>	Not yet set.		
<b>Docket</b>	11/08/17 03/28/18	Complaint Filed Summons Issued	
<b>Written Discovery</b>		Not yet exchanged.	

**Sanderson J. Ray Development v. City of Costa Mesa**

<b>Case Name</b>	Sanderson J. Ray Development v. City of Costa Mesa	<b>Case Number</b>	30-2017-00930947
<b>Judge</b>	Hon. Martha K. Gooding	<b>Venue</b>	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
<b>Attorney(s) for City</b>	Krista MacNevin Jee Bruce A. Lindsay	<b>Opposing Attorney(s)</b>	D. Wayne Brechtel Worden Williams LLP  Ronald R. Ball Law Offices of Ronald R. Ball
<b>Date of Loss</b>	Not applicable.	<b>Complaint Filed</b>	07/11/2017
<b>Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 03/31/18</b>	\$6,935.50		
<b>Causes of Action</b>	1. Declaratory Relief		
<b>Summary</b>	Plaintiff seeks a judicial declaration that the provisions of Measure Y, which requires voter approval of certain development projects, cannot be retroactively applied to approvals it received to redevelop and improve a commercial site it owns.		
<b>Status</b>	Case dismissed.		

**Juan Villeda v. Erick Fricke; Costa Mesa Police Department**

<b>Case Name</b>	Juan Villeda v. Erick Fricke; Costa Mesa Police Department	<b>Case Number</b>	30-2018-00980935
<b>Judge</b>	Hon. James Di Cesare	<b>Venue</b>	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
<b>Attorney(s) for City</b>	Melissa M. Ballard	<b>Opposing Attorney(s)</b>	Pro per
<b>Date of Loss</b>	Not identified.	<b>Complaint Filed</b>	03/21/2018
<b>Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 03/31/18</b>	\$106.20		
<b>Causes of Action</b>	1. Wrongful Arrest 2. Violation of Civil Rights		
<b>Summary</b>	Plaintiff filed a state court action alleging wrongful arrest and violation of his civil rights.		
<b>Status</b>	The case is in the pleading stage.		
<b>Next Hearing Date</b>	August 29, 2018 – Case Management Conference		
<b>Trial Date</b>	Not yet set.		
<b>Docket</b>	03/21/18	Complaint Filed	
<b>Written Discovery</b>		Not yet exchanged.	

## Yellowstone v. City of Costa Mesa

<b>Case Name</b>	Yellowstone v. City of Costa Mesa	<b>Case Number</b>	8:14-cv-01852																						
<b>Judge</b>	Hon. James Selna Magistrate: Hon. Jay Gandhi	<b>Venue</b>	United States District Court for the Central District of California																						
<b>Attorney(s) for City</b>	James R. Touchstone Bruce A. Lindsay Monica Choi Arredondo  David Palmer, Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth  Jennifer L. Keller Jesse Asher Gessin Chase Scolnick Keller Anderle	<b>Opposing Attorney(s)</b>	Steven Polin Law Offices of Steven G. Polin  Christopher Brancart Elizabeth Brancart Brancart & Brancart  Isaac Raymond Zfaty Garrett M. Prybylo Zfaty Burns																						
<b>Date of Loss</b>	Not applicable.	<b>Complaint Filed</b>	11/20/2014																						
<b>Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 03/31/18</b>	\$1,140,795.94																								
<b>Causes of Action</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Violation of Fair Housing Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 3601 <i>et seq.</i></li> <li>2. Violation of Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12131 <i>et seq.</i></li> <li>3. Violation of Rehabilitation Act, 29 U.S.C. § 794</li> <li>4. Violation of Civil Rights Act of 1871, 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985(3) and 1986</li> <li>5. Violation of California Fair Employment and Housing Act, Cal. Gov. Code §§ 12626, 12627, and 12955, <i>et seq.</i></li> <li>6. Violation of Cal. Gov. Code §§ 11135 and 65008</li> </ol>																								
<b>Summary</b>	Plaintiffs challenge the City's adoption of Ordinance No. 14-13, alleging that the ordinance violates state and federal law and the state and federal constitutions.																								
<b>Status</b>	Discovery is ongoing.																								
<b>Next Hearing Date</b>	April 26, 2018 – Hearing on Motion for Protective Order																								
<b>Trial Date</b>	November 6, 2018																								
<b>Docket</b>	<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15%;">11/20/14</td> <td>Summons and Complaint</td> </tr> <tr> <td>01/16/15</td> <td>Application to Appear Pro Hac Vice</td> </tr> <tr> <td>01/22/15</td> <td>Order Granting Leave for Christopher Brancart to Act as Local Counsel</td> </tr> <tr> <td>03/13/15</td> <td>Initial Order Following Filing of Complaint</td> </tr> <tr> <td>03/16/15</td> <td>Request for Order for Extending Time to Serve Complaint</td> </tr> <tr> <td>03/17/15</td> <td>Order Granting Request for Order Extending Time for Service of Complaint</td> </tr> <tr> <td>05/04/15</td> <td>Stipulation Extending Time to Answer Complaint</td> </tr> <tr> <td>05/15/15</td> <td>Second Stipulation Extending Time to Answer Complaint</td> </tr> <tr> <td>05/29/15</td> <td>Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Case Filed by City; Request for Judicial Notice</td> </tr> <tr> <td>06/15/15</td> <td>First Application for Extension of Time to File Response to City's Motion to Dismiss</td> </tr> <tr> <td>06/16/15</td> <td>Order Granting Application Extending Time to Respond to City's Motion to</td> </tr> </table>			11/20/14	Summons and Complaint	01/16/15	Application to Appear Pro Hac Vice	01/22/15	Order Granting Leave for Christopher Brancart to Act as Local Counsel	03/13/15	Initial Order Following Filing of Complaint	03/16/15	Request for Order for Extending Time to Serve Complaint	03/17/15	Order Granting Request for Order Extending Time for Service of Complaint	05/04/15	Stipulation Extending Time to Answer Complaint	05/15/15	Second Stipulation Extending Time to Answer Complaint	05/29/15	Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Case Filed by City; Request for Judicial Notice	06/15/15	First Application for Extension of Time to File Response to City's Motion to Dismiss	06/16/15	Order Granting Application Extending Time to Respond to City's Motion to
11/20/14	Summons and Complaint																								
01/16/15	Application to Appear Pro Hac Vice																								
01/22/15	Order Granting Leave for Christopher Brancart to Act as Local Counsel																								
03/13/15	Initial Order Following Filing of Complaint																								
03/16/15	Request for Order for Extending Time to Serve Complaint																								
03/17/15	Order Granting Request for Order Extending Time for Service of Complaint																								
05/04/15	Stipulation Extending Time to Answer Complaint																								
05/15/15	Second Stipulation Extending Time to Answer Complaint																								
05/29/15	Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Case Filed by City; Request for Judicial Notice																								
06/15/15	First Application for Extension of Time to File Response to City's Motion to Dismiss																								
06/16/15	Order Granting Application Extending Time to Respond to City's Motion to																								

	Dismiss
06/29/15	Joint Application to Continue Scheduling Conference
06/29/15	Notice of Motion and Motion to Amend Complaint
06/29/15	Memorandum in Opposition to Motion to Dismiss
06/30/15	Order Continuing Scheduling Conference Pursuant to Joint Application of the Parties
07/07/15	Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Amend Complaint and Denying as Moot Defendant's Motion to Dismiss
07/07/15	First Amended Complaint Filed
07/08/15	Joint Stipulation for Extension of Time to File Response to First Amended Complaint and to Continue Scheduling Conference
07/09/15	Order re Joint Application and Stipulation for Extension of Time to File Response/Reply
07/17/15	Joint Stipulation to Continue Scheduling Conference
07/20/15	Order Continuing Scheduling Conference
08/03/15	Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint; Request for Judicial Notice
08/07/15	Stipulation for Extension of time to File Response as to Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint
08/07/15	Order Extending Time to Response to City's Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint
08/12/15	Ex Parte Application to Expedite Rule 26(f) Conference or Discovery
08/13/15	Opposition to Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application
08/18/15	Joint Application for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint and First Supplemental Complaint
08/18/15	Order Filing Second Amended and First Supplemental Complaint and Setting Date for Response
08/19/15	Order Denying Application to Compel Rule 26(f) Conference and Commencement of Discovery
08/19/15	Second Amended Complaint and First Supplemental Amended Complaint
08/24/15	Plaintiffs' Request for Judicial Notice
08/24/15	Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended and First Supplemental Complaint; Request for Judicial Notice
08/31/15	Objection Opposition re: Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended and First Supplemental Complaint
08/31/15	Opposition to Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended and First Supplemental Complaint
09/04/15	Reply in Support of Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended and First Supplemental Complaint
09/04/15	City's Response to Plaintiffs' Objection to City's Request for Judicial Notice re Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended and First Supplemental Complaint
09/21/15	Hearing on Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint and Motion to Dismiss Second Amended Complaint and First Supplemental Complaint
10/08/15	Order Granting Motion to Dismiss Second Amended Complaint and Denying as Moot Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint
10/22/15	First Application for Extension of Time to Amend
10/29/15	Order Extending Time to File Third Amended Complaint
11/13/15	Third Amended Complaint

11/30/15	Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Third Amended Complaint; Request for Judicial Notice
12/07/15	Plaintiffs' Request for Judicial Notice re Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint
12/07/15	Objection to Defendant's Request for Judicial Notice re: Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint
12/07/15	Opposition to Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint
12/10/15	Order to Show Cause Why Case Should Not Be Stayed
12/17/15	City's Brief in Support of Stay
12/17/15	Plaintiffs' Response to Order to Show Cause
12/18/15	Order Directing City to File a Response
12/23/15	City's Response to Order to Show Cause
01/05/16	Order Staying Action Pending Solid Landings Appeal
01/22/16	Order Removing Action from Active Caseload and Directing Parties to File Status Report
05/03/16	Joint Status Report
06/14/16	Joint Status Report
07/15/16	Joint Status Report
08/15/16	Status Report
09/02/16	Order Lifting Stay of Action and Setting Scheduling Conference
09/13/16	Status Report/Joint Scheduling Report
09/26/16	Opposition to Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint
10/03/16	Reply to Plaintiffs' Amended Opposition
10/03/16	City's Objection to Plaintiffs' Second Request for Judicial Notice in Opposition to City's Motion to Dismiss
10/03/16	Request for Judicial Notice and Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint
10/05/16	Plaintiffs' Response to City's Second Request for Judicial Notice
10/05/16	Plaintiffs' Request for Judicial Notice
10/10/16	Objection to Plaintiffs' Second Request for Judicial Notice and Confession of Error Filed in Opposition to City's re: Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint
10/17/16	Hearing on Motion to Dismiss Third Amended Complaint
10/20/16	Stipulation for Protective Order
10/25/16	Order Granting Stipulated Confidentiality Order
11/07/16	Fourth Amended Complaint
11/21/16	Answer to Amended Complaint/Petition
03/09/17	Stipulation to Continue Status Conference
03/10/17	Order Continuing Interim Status Conference
04/10/17	Plaintiffs' Status Report and Request to Continue Status Conference
04/11/17	Order Continuing Status Conference
05/10/17	Plaintiffs' Status Report and Request to Continue Status Conference
05/10/17	Order Continuing Status Conference
05/30/17	Stipulation to Continue Deadline for Completion of Settlement Discussions
06/01/17	Order Continuing Deadline to Complete Settlement Discussions
06/09/17	Joint Stipulation to Continue Trial, Pre-Trial Conference and Related Cut-Off Dates

	06/09/17	Order Modifying Court's Scheduling Order to Continue Trial, Pre-Trial Conference and Related Cut-Off Dates
	08/10/17	Joint Stipulation to Continue Deadline to Complete Settlement Discussions
	08/11/17	Order Continuing Deadline to Complete Settlement Discussions
	10/11/17	Joint Application and Stipulation to Amend Scheduling Order; Proposed Order Filed
	10/13/17	Court's Amended Scheduling Order Issued
	12/13/17	Joint Stipulation to Amend Scheduling Order Filed
	12/14/17	Court's Order Modifying Scheduling Order
	02/01/18	Court's Order Granting Stipulation Modifying Scheduling Order
	03/05/18	Notice of Motion and Motion for Protective Order re Depositions of Jim Rigeimer and Tom Hatch
	03/05/18	Joint Stipulation re Motion for Protective Order Filed
	03/06/18	City's Notice of Motion and Motion for Summary Judgment Against Plaintiff CWR
	03/07/18	CWR's First Stipulation for Extension of Time to File Response
	03/08/18	Order Setting Scheduling Order re City's Motion for Summary Judgment
	03/09/18	CWR's Second Request for Extension of Time to File Response
	03/12/18	City's Notice of Motion and Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Certain Claim's Made by Plaintiff Yellowstone
	03/14/18	Order Setting Revised Scheduling Order re City's Motion for Summary Judgment
	03/14/18	Joint Stipulation to Amend Scheduling Order
	03/15/18	Order Modifying Scheduling Order
	03/28/18	Stipulation to Continue Hearing on Motion for Protective Order
	03/29/18	Order Granting Request to Continue Hearing on Motion for Protective Order
<b>Written Discovery</b>	09/30/15	Plaintiffs' Interrogatories (ROGS) to City, Set One
	09/30/15	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Two
	10/01/15	Plaintiffs' Requests for Production (RFPS) to City, Set One
	11/20/15	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set One
	11/20/15	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Two
	11/23/15	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set One
	09/07/16	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Three
	09/20/16	City's ROGS to Plaintiff California Women's Recovery ("CWR"), Set One
	09/20/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff CWR, Set One
	09/20/16	City's ROGS to Plaintiff Sober Living Network ("SLN"), Set One
	09/20/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff SLN, Set One
	09/20/16	City's ROGS to Plaintiff Yellowstone, Set One
	09/20/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff Yellowstone, Set One
	09/20/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff CWR, Set Two
	09/29/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Two
	10/09/16	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Four
	10/09/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Three
	10/10/16	Plaintiffs' Initial Disclosures
	10/10/16	City's Initial Disclosures
	10/10/16	City's Supplemental Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set One
	10/10/16	City's Supplemental Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Two
	10/10/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Three
	10/10/16	City's Supplemental Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set One
	10/11/16	Plaintiffs' Requests for Admissions (RFAS), Set One



10/16/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Four
10/19/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Five
10/28/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff SLN, Set Two
10/28/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff Yellowstone, Set Two
10/28/16	City's Supplemental Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Three
10/28/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Six
11/01/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Two
11/03/16	Plaintiff CWR's Objections and Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
11/03/16	Plaintiff CWR's Objections and Answers to City's ROGS, Set One
11/03/16	Plaintiff SLN's Objections and Answers to City's ROGS, Set One
11/03/16	Plaintiff SLN's Objections and Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
11/03/16	Plaintiff Yellowstone's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
11/03/16	Plaintiff Yellowstone's Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
11/04/16	Plaintiffs' First Supplemental Disclosures
11/06/16	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Five
11/06/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Seven
11/14/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Four
11/14/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set One
11/14/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Three
11/14/16	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Two
11/14/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Eight
11/17/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff CWR, Set Three
11/17/16	City's ROGS to Plaintiff CWR, Set Two
11/17/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff SLN, Set Three
11/17/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff Yellowstone, Set Three
11/17/16	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Six
11/17/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Nine
11/21/16	Plaintiffs' Second Supplemental Disclosures
11/21/16	Plaintiffs' Amended RFAS, Set One
11/30/16	Plaintiff Yellowstone's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Two
11/25/16	Plaintiff CWR's Objections and Answers to City's ROGS
12/02/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Five
12/02/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Six
12/02/16	City's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set One
12/04/16	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Seven
12/04/16	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Three
12/14/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff SLN, Set Four
12/14/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff Yellowstone, Set Four
12/15/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Five
12/15/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Seven
12/17/16	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Eight
12/17/16	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Four
12/17/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Ten
12/23/16	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Nine
01/06/17	City's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Five
01/06/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Six
01/06/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Seven
01/06/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Two
01/06/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Three

01/06/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Eight
01/16/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Eight
01/16/17	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Ten
01/16/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Four
01/16/17	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Five
01/16/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Ten
01/16/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Eleven
01/19/17	City's RFPS to Plaintiff CWR, Set Four
01/19/17	City's ROGS to Plaintiff CWR, Set Three
01/20/17	Plaintiff Yellowstone's Supplemental Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
01/20/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Twelve
01/20/17	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Six
01/25/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Nine
02/10/17	City's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Eight
02/10/17	City's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Nine
02/10/17	City's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Two
02/10/17	City's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Three
02/10/17	City's Supplemental Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Six
02/10/17	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Eleven
02/10/17	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Seven
02/14/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Thirteen
02/21/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Eleven
02/21/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Five
02/21/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Ten
02/21/17	Plaintiff CWR's Responses to City's ROGS
02/24/17	City's ROGS to Plaintiff SLN, Set Two
02/24/17	City's RFPS to Plaintiff SLN, Set Five
02/25/17	Plaintiff SLN's Supplemental Responses to City's ROGS
03/03/17	City's RFAS to Yellowstone, Set One
03/03/17	City's RFPS to Yellowstone, Set Five
03/03/17	City's ROGS to Yellowstone, Set Two
03/16/17	Plaintiff CWR's Corrections to Responses to ROGS
03/17/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Seven
03/17/17	City's Responses to Plaintiff SLN's ROGS, Set Eleven
03/17/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Fourteen
03/17/17	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Twelve
03/20/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Thirteen
03/27/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Fifteen
04/05/17	Plaintiff Yellowstone's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Two
04/05/17	Plaintiff Yellowstone's Responses to City's RFAS, Set One
04/09/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Sixteen
04/11/17	City's RFPS to Yellowstone, Set Six
04/19/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Fourteen
04/19/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Twelve
04/25/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Seventeen
04/25/17	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Thirteen
05/01/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Fifteen
05/12/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Sixteen
05/15/17	Plaintiff Yellowstone's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Six

05/30/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Thirteen
05/30/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Seventeen
06/05/17	City's RFPS to Yellowstone, Set Seven
06/14/17	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Fourteen
06/16/17	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Fifteen
06/16/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Eighteen
07/17/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Fourteen
07/19/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Fifteen
07/19/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Eighteen
07/21/17	City's RFPS to Plaintiff SLN, Set Six
07/21/17	City's ROGS to Plaintiff SLN, Set Three
07/31/17	Plaintiffs' Supplemental Answers to City's ROGS
08/16/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Nineteen
10/10/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Nineteen
10/18/17	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Eight
11/05/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Twenty
11/07/17	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Nine
11/07/17	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Ten
11/10/17	City's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Nineteen
11/10/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Twenty
11/20/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Eight
12/14/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Nine
12/14/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Ten
12/30/17	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Thirteen
12/30/17	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Seventeen
01/12/18	City's RFPS to Plaintiff CWR, Set Five
01/14/18	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Twenty-Two
01/15/18	City's Amended RFPS to Plaintiff CWR, Set Five
01/16/18	City's ROGS to Yellowstone, Set Nine
01/16/18	City's ROGS to Plaintiff SLN, Set Five
01/19/18	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Twenty-Three
01/19/18	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Twenty
01/21/18	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Twenty-One
01/22/18	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Eleven
01/22/18	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Twelve
01/22/18	City's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Nine
02/01/18	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Sixteen
02/02/18	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Thirteen
02/06/18	Yellowstone's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Eight
02/13/18	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Twenty-One
02/14/18	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Fourteen
02/15/18	City's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Eleven
02/16/18	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Fifteen
02/16/18	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Twenty-Two
02/23/18	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Twenty-Three
02/23/18	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Sixteen
02/25/18	Plaintiffs' Request for Supplementation to City

## **CASES BEING HANDLED BY OUTSIDE COUNSEL**

**City of Costa Mesa v. Michael Cohen in his official capacity as  
Director of the State of California Department of Finance; Jan E. Grimes in her  
official capacity as Orange County Auditor-Controller**

<b>Case Name</b>	City of Costa Mesa v. Michael Cohen in his official capacity as Director of the State of California Department of Finance; Jan E. Grimes in her official capacity as Orange County Auditor-Controller	<b>Case Number</b>	34-2013-80001675-CU-WM-GDS
<b>Judge</b>	Hon. Michael P. Kenny	<b>Venue</b>	Superior Court of California, County of Sacramento
<b>Attorney(s) for City</b>	David A. Robinson Benjamin P. Pugh Enterprise Counsel Group	<b>Opposing Attorney(s)</b>	Office of the Attorney General
<b>Date of Loss</b>	Not applicable.	<b>Complaint Filed</b>	10/28/2013
<b>Legal Fees and Costs Incurred to Date</b>	All attorneys' fees and costs were paid by the State of California as administrative expenses.		
<b>Causes of Action</b>	1. Declaratory Relief 2. Writ of Mandate		
<b>Summary</b>	City filed a lawsuit against the Director of the California Department of Finance (DOF) and the Orange County Auditor-Controller following the enactment of ABX1 26, which dissolved all redevelopment agencies (RDAs) effective October 1, 2011, prevented RDAs from engaging in new activities, and outlined a process for winding down a RDA's financial affairs, after the DOF disallowed two loan repayments from the former RDA to the City and ordered the City to repay these amounts to the Orange County Auditor-Controller.		
<b>Status</b>	Counsel for City obtained primary objective of lawsuit by reviving City's loan to its former redevelopment agency.		

**The Kennedy Commission, et al. v. City of Costa Mesa, et al.**

<b>Case Name</b>	The Kennedy Commission, et al. v. City of Costa Mesa, et al.	<b>Case Number</b>	30-2016-00832585
<b>Judge</b>	Hon. Mary H. Strobel, Dept. 86 (writ causes of action 1, 2, 3)	<b>Venue</b>	Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles
<b>Petition/Complaint Filed</b>	01/28/2016		
<b>Petitioners/Plaintiffs</b>	The Kennedy Commission, Mehrnoosh Barimani, Timothy Dadey, Denise Riddell and Anthony Wagner as successor-in-interest to Patricia Wagner (deceased) (together, “Petitioners”)	<b>Attorneys for Petitioners/Plaintiffs</b>	Jeremy D. Matz Julian C. Burns Bird, Marella, Boxer, Wolpert, Nessim, Drooks, Lincenberg & Rhow  Richard Walker Public Law Center  Lili V. Graham Legal Aid Society of Orange County  Navneet K. Grewal Richard A. Rothschild Western Center on Law & Poverty  Michael Rawson Deborah Collins Public Interest Law Project
<b>Respondents/Defendants</b>	City of Costa Mesa, Costa Mesa City Council (together, “Costa Mesa”)	<b>Attorneys for Costa Mesa</b>	Celeste Stahl Brady Allison E. Burns David C. Palmer Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, P.C. (“Stradling”)
<b>Real Parties in Interest</b>	Miracle Mile Properties, LP and Diamond Star Associates, Inc. (together, “RPIs”)	<b>Attorneys for Real Parties in Interest</b>	Elizabeth “Ellia” Thompson Allan Cooper Jeffrey Harlan Ervin Cohen & Jessup, LLP (“ECJ”)
<b>Legal Fees and Costs Incurred 02/01/16-02/28/18</b>	\$701,998.20—To date, all City legal fees and costs have been paid by Real Party in Interest, Miracle Mile Properties		
<b>Causes of Action and Summary</b>	Petition for Writ of Mandate challenged four land use actions by the City Council (together, “Development Approvals”): (1) General Plan Amendment (GP 14 04); (2) Rezone (R 14 04); (3) Zoning Code Amendment (CO-14-02); and		

	<p>(4) Master Plan (PA-14-27).</p> <p>Petition alleges the Development Approvals were adopted in violation of:</p> <p>(a) State Density Bonus Law (Government Code sections 65915 – 65917)</p> <p>(b) City’s General Plan, including the Housing Element;</p> <p>(c) Government Code section 65008 (alleged housing discrimination); and</p> <p>(d) State Relocation Assistance Act (CRAA, Gov’t Code section 7260, <i>et seq.</i>), which is the pending fourth cause of action.</p> <p>On May 11, the Court (i) ruled the Development Approvals were set aside <i>to the extent</i> development incentives or other density bonuses were provided in a manner inconsistent with the state density bonus law ((a) above); and, (ii) the Court denied the petition in all other respects ((b) and (c) above) including that no housing discrimination occurred since development of the subject commercial property was not intended to be protected by Government Code section 65008, and (iii) Petitioners’ CRAA claim ((d) above) was transferred to an individual calendar court (Dept. 71) because Petitioners had not shown they were entitled to a writ of mandate for the CRAA claim and that claim was not proper in the writ department.</p>
<b>Status</b>	At a status conference on September 12, 2017, Judge Kalin set the trial date on the fourth cause of action re the CRAA for September 11, 2018, Dept. 71 LASC.
<b>Trial Date</b>	September 11, 2018
<b>Summary of Proceedings/Docket</b>	<p>01/28/16 Petition Filed in Orange County Superior Court</p> <p>02/03/16 Order Transferring Case to Los Angeles County Superior Court</p> <p>04/01/16 Answer to Petition/Complaint Filed by RPIs</p> <p>04/04/16 Answer to Petition/Complaint Filed by Costa Mesa</p> <p>04/26/16 Petitioners’ Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (TRO)</p> <p>04/28/16 Hearing on TRO (Denied)</p> <p>05/05/16 Petitioners’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>05/11/16 RPI’s Opposition to Petitioners’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>05/11/16 Costa Mesa’s Opposition to Petitioners’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>05/13/16 Petitioners’ Reply Brief in Support of Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>05/18/16 First Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>06/05/16 Petitioner’s Amended Reply to Opposition</p> <p>06/08/16 Second Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>06/08/16 Petitioners’ Request for Judicial Notice</p> <p>06/22/16 Costa Mesa and MMP’s Opposition to Request for Judicial Notice</p> <p>06/22/16 Petitioners’ Amended Reply to Opposition</p> <p>06/22/16 Third Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Injunction (Granted)</p> <p>07/29/16 Petitioners’ Motion for Order to Stop MMP from Vacating Motel</p> <p>07/29/16 Hearing on Motion for Order to Stop MMP Vacating Motel (Denied)</p> <p>07/29/16 Costa Mesa’s Request for Correction</p> <p>07/29/16 Petitioners’ Opposition to Motion for Correction</p> <p>08/12/16 Petitioners’ Motion for Second Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>08/12/16 Costa Mesa’s and RPIs’ Opposition to Second Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>08/12/16 Hearing on Motion for Second Preliminary Injunction (Denied)</p> <p>08/15/16 Order Denying Petitioners’ Motion for Second Injunction</p> <p>08/19/16 Costa Mesa and MMP’s Notices of Appeal of Preliminary Injunction</p>

		Filed with Court of Appeal
08/22/16		Petitioners' Notice of Appeal of Denial of Second Preliminary Injunction filed with Court of Appeal
09/02/16		Petitioners' Motion to Court of Appeal for Emergency Stay, TRO and Second Preliminary Injunction
09/07/16		Costa Mesa's Opposition to Petitioners/Appellants' Motion for Emergency Stay, TRO and Second Preliminary Injunction
09/07/16		Order by Court of Appeal with Denial of Petitioners' Motion for Emergency Stay
09/20/16		Petitioners' Additional Application for Second Injunction
10/03/16		Costa Mesa and RPI's Opposition to Second Injunction
10/13/16		Hearing on Petitioners' Motion for Second Injunction (Denied)
11/14/16		Parties' and Court Stipulation re Briefing Schedule and Date for Hearing on Petitioners' Writ of Mandate of May 11, 2017
01/24/17		Parties' Stipulation to Dismiss Appeals Pending at Court of Appeal
02/03/17		Petitioners' Opening Brief Filed
03/03/17		Costa Mesa and RPI's Opposition Brief Filed
03/23/17		Stipulation and Order to Set Aside and Vacate Order On Petitioners' Ex Parte Application for Stay Pending Appeal and to Enter a New Order Filed
03/24/17		Petitioners' Reply Brief Filed
04/03/17		Conference with Judge Strobel re Joint Appendix
04/10/17		Joint Appendix Filed
04/26/17		Ex Parte Notice of Motion by Petitioners to Substitute and Add as a Plaintiff/Petitioner "Patricia Wagner, deceased, appearing by her son and legal successor-in-interest, Anthony Wagner (CCP 377.70)"
05/02/17		Motion Resolved by Filing Joint Stipulation
05/11/17		Trial/Writ Hearing; Court's Decision Entered as to First Three Causes of Action; As to Fourth Cause of Action, Case Transferred from Dept. 86 Writ Department
06/06/17		Notice from Court that Judge Rescheduled Mandatory Status Conference (MSC) from June 15 to August 10, in Dept. 71
06/22/17		Notice of Related Case Filed in <i>Dadey v. City</i> (Rule 3.300(f))
06/27/17		Plaintiffs' Counsel in <i>Dadey v. City</i> Filed Opposition to Notice of Related Case
06/30/17		Jones & Mayer Filed Reply to Plaintiffs' Opposition to Notice of Related Case
08/10/17		Status conference Judge Kalin, Dept. 71; notice of related case and dates; status conference continued to 09/12/17
09/12/17		Status Conference Dept. 71, case set for trial 09/11/18
12/15/17		MMP Motion to Dissolve Preliminary Injunction Filed
02/13/18		Hearing on MMP's Motion to Dissolve Preliminary Injunction