COSTA MESA
LITIGATION STATUS REPORT

Third Quarter 2018

AmeriCare MedServices, Inc. v. City of Costa Mesa and CARE Ambulance Services

Case Name	AmeriCare MedServices, Inc. v. City of Costa Mesa and CARE Ambulance Services	**Case Number**	**District Court:** 8:16-cv-01804 **Court of Appeals:** 17-55565
Judge	Hon. Josephine L. Staton Magistrate: Hon. Alexander F. MacKinnon	**Venue**	**District Court:** United States District Court for Central District of California **Court of Appeals:** Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals
Attorney(s) for City	James R. Touchstone Melissa M. Ballard Bruce A. Lindsay Kendall H. MacVey – Best, Best & Krieger	**Opposing Attorney(s)**	Jarod Michael Bona Bona Law PC
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	**Complaint Filed**	09/28/2016
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/18	$33,825.92		
Causes of Action	1. Monopolization – 15 U.S.C. § 2 2. Attempted Monopolization – 15 U.S.C. § 2 3. Conspiracy to Monopolize – 15 U.S.C. § 2 4. Conspiracy to Restrain Trade – 15 U.S.C. § 1 5. Declaration of Rights – Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 1060 6. Declaratory Judgment – 28 U.S.C. § 2201; 15 U.S.C. § 26		
Summary	Antitrust claim by AmeriCare MedServices that City created unlawful monopoly with CARE Ambulance Services.		
Status	The Court of Appeals upheld the District Court's ruling granting the City's motion to dismiss.		
Next Hearing Date	No hearings are scheduled at this time.		
Court of Appeals Docket	05/09/17 05/15/17 05/17/17 05/23/17 05/23/17 05/26/17 06/02/17 09/11/17 09/11/17 11/01/17 11/07/17 11/08/17	Plaintiff's Motion to Expedite Briefing and Hearing on Appeal Opposition to Motion to Expedite Briefing and Hearing on Appeal Filed by Cities of Anaheim, Laguna Beach, and Newport Beach City's Joinder to Opposition to Motion to Expedite Briefing and Hearing on Appeal Filed by Cities of Anaheim, Laguna Beach, and Newport Beach Plaintiff's Response to Oppositions to Motion to Expedite Briefing and Hearing on Appeal Plaintiff's Motion for Order Requiring Cities to File a Joint Brief on Appeal City's Response to Plaintiff's Motion for Order Requiring Cities to File a Joint Brief on Appeal Court's Order Denying Motion to Expedite and Motion for Order Requiring Cities to File a Joint Brief Plaintiff's Requested for Extension of Time to File Opening Brief Filed Court's Order Approving Plaintiff's Request for Extension of Time to File Opening Brief Appellant's Opening Brief Filed Amicus Brief for Review and Motion to Become Amicus Curiae Filed by California Emergency Medical Services Authority Amicus Brief for Review and Motion to Become Amicus Curiae Filed by	

		Emergency Medical Services Administrators Association of California
	12/05/17	City Appellees' Joint Request for Extension of Time to File Answering Briefs Filed
	12/05/17	Care Ambulance's Motion for Extension of Time to File Answering Brief Filed
	12/07/17	Court's Order Granting Extension of Time to File Answering Briefs
	01/22/18	City Appellees' Joint Answering Brief Filed
	01/22/18	Appellee Care's Answering Brief Filed
	01/23/18	AmeriCare's Request for Extension of Time to File Reply Brief Filed
	01/24/18	Court's Order Granting Extension of Time to File Reply Brief
	01/29/18	Amicus Curiae Brief for Review Filed by California Fire Chiefs Association
	01/29/18	Amicus Curiae Brief for Review Filed by International Association of Fire Fighters
	01/29/18	Amicus Curiae Brief for Review Filed by League of California Cities and International Municipal Lawyers Association
	02/01/18	AmeriCare's Response to Care's Motion for Judicial Notice
	02/08/18	Care's Reply to Response re Motion for Judicial Notice
	02/16/18	Notice from Court re Consideration of Placement of Matter on June 2018 Oral Argument Calendar in Pasadena
	02/20/18	Letter from Counsel for Care re Unavoidable Conflict
	03/14/18	AmeriCare's Reply Brief Filed
	04/20/18	Notice from Court re Consideration of Placement of Matter on August 2018 Oral Argument Calendar in Pasadena
	05/30/18	Notice of Oral Argument Date
	06/08/18	Motion by California Emergency Medical Services Authority to Participate in Oral Argument
	06/11/18	Order Granting Motion of Amicus Curiae to Participate in Oral Argument
	08/07/18	Oral Argument
	08/27/18	Court's Decision Affirming District Court's Decision
	09/10/18	AmeriCare's Petition for Rehearing En Banc Filed

County of Orange, Orange County Flood Control District; City of Costa Mesa; City of Orange; and City of Anaheim v. California Department of Public Health; Karen L. Smith, Director; Orange County Needle Exchange Program

Case Name	County of Orange, Orange County Flood Control District; City of Costa Mesa; City of Orange; and City of Anaheim v. California Department of Public Health; Karen L. Smith, Director; Orange County Needle Exchange Program	**Case Number**	37-2018-00039176
Judge	Hon. Joel R. Wohlfeil	**Venue**	Superior Court of California, County of San Diego
Attorney(s) for City	James R. Touchstone Gary S. Kranker Krista MacNevin Jee	**Opposing Attorney(s)**	Jennifer M. Kim Chara L. Crane Office of the Attorney General of California Carrie Hempel Robert Solomon UCI Law School Community & Economic Development Clinic
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	**Complaint Filed**	08/03/2018
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/18	$43,809.30		
Causes of Action	1. Violation of California Waste Management Act 2. Writ of Mandate 3. Declaratory Relief 4. Public Nuisance 5. Writ of Mandate re Violation of California Environmental Quality Act		
Summary	Action by County, Costa Mesa, and other cities challenging approval by State Department of Public Health of Mobile Needle Exchange Program to operate within County, including within Costa Mesa.		
Status	Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Preliminary Injunction Motion. The Motion was heard on September 28, 2018. The Court scheduled an evidentiary hearing for November 13, 2018.		
Next Hearing Date	November 13, 2018 – Evidentiary Hearing		
Trial Date	Not yet set.		
Docket	08/03/18 08/15/18 08/21/18 08/31/18 09/05/18 09/07/18 09/17/18	Complaint Filed First Amended Complaint Filed Motion for Preliminary Injunction Filed Stipulation for Continuance of Hearing Filed California Department of Public Health's Opposition Filed Plaintiffs' Reply to California Department of Health's Opposition Filed OCNEP's Opposition Filed Stipulation to Extend Time for OCNEP to Respond to Plaintiffs' Fire	

		Amended Complaint Filed
	09/21/18	California Department of Public Health's Answer Filed
	09/21/18	County's Reply to Opposition Filed
	09/21/18	City's Joinder to Reply to Opposition Filed
	09/21/18	City's Reply to Opposition Filed
	09/21/18	City's Request for Judicial Notice in Support of Reply to Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction Filed
	10/01/18	Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Injunction
	10/01/18	Court's Tentative Ruling on Motion for Preliminary Injunction Published
Written Discovery		Not yet exchanged.

Casa Capri Recovery, Inc. v. City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	Casa Capri Recovery, Inc. v. City of Costa Mesa	**Case Number**	8:18-cv-00329
Judge	Hon. Judge James Selna Magistrate: Hon. Judge Patrick Walsh	**Venue**	United States District Court for the Central District of California
Attorney(s) for City	Bruce A. Lindsay	**Opposing Attorney(s)**	Isaac R. Zfaty Garrett M. Prybylo Zfaty Burns Steven Polin Law Offices of Steven G. Polin
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	**Complaint Filed**	02/26/2018
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/18	$15,722.74		
Causes of Action	1. Violation of the Federal Housing Act 2. Violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act 3. Violation of the Rehabilitation Act 4. Violation of the Civil Rights Act of 1871 5. Violation of California Fair Employment and Housing Act 6. Violation of State Zoning Powers		
Summary	Plaintiff, a sober living home operator, alleges the City engages in a pattern or practice of discrimination against it on the basis of disability in violation of various federal and state laws.		
Status	The case is in the discovery phase.		
Next Hearing Date	November 18, 2019 – Pre-Trial Conference		
Trial Date	December 3, 2019		
Docket	02/26/18	Complaint Filed	
	02/27/18	Summons Filed	
	04/16/18	Court's Initial Order Following Filing of Complaint	
	04/17/18	Court's Order Setting Rule 26(f) Conference	
	04/24/18	Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint Filed	
	05/10/18	Court's Order Advancing Scheduling Conference	
	05/29/18	City's Answer to Amended Complaint Filed	
	05/29/18	City's Notice of Interested Parties Filed	
	06/13/18	Stipulation to Continue Scheduling Conference Filed	
	06/14/18	Court's Order Continuing Scheduling Conference	
	06/19/18	Joint Rule 26(f) Report Filed	
	07/02/18	Scheduling Conference	
	07/02/18	Court's Order for Jury Trial; Preparation; Attorney Conduct	
	09/11/18	First Application to Produce Documents Filed by Plaintiff (Stricken by Court)	
	09/11/18	Notice to Filer of Deficiencies in Filing Discovery Requests	
	09/17/18	Response by the Court to Notice of Filer of Deficiencies (Striking Document)	
Written Discovery	09/11/18	Plaintiffs Requests for Production (RFPS) to City, Set One	

City of Costa Mesa v. Casa Capri, LLC et al.

Case Name	City of Costa Mesa v. Casa Capri, LLC et al.	**Case Number**	30-2018-01006156
Judge	Hon. James Crandall	**Venue**	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
Attorney(s) for City	Bruce A. Lindsay	**Opposing Attorney(s)**	Isaac R. Zfaty Garrett M. Prybylo Zfaty Burns
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	**Complaint Filed**	07/17/2018
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/18	$4,782.00		
Causes of Action	1. Public Nuisance 2. Maintenance of Public Nuisance by Violating Costa Mesa Municipal Code		
Summary	Nuisance abatement action against sober living home operator.		
Status	Case is in the pleading stage. The City filed a Motion for Preliminary Injunction.		
Next Hearing Date	November 29, 2018 – Case Management Conference and Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Injunction		
Trial Date	Not yet set.		
Docket	07/17/18 09/07/18	Complaint Filed City's Motion for Preliminary Injunction Filed	
Written Discovery		Not yet exchanged.	
Correspondence		None to date.	

City of Costa Mesa v. Clean Path Recovery, LLC

Case Name	City of Costa Mesa v. Clean Path Recovery, LLC	**Case Number**	30-2018-01015745
Judge	Hon. Theodore Howard	**Venue**	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
Attorney(s) for City	Gary S. Kranker Bruce A. Lindsay Monica Choi Arredondo	**Opposing Attorney(s)**	Kroesche Schindler LLP
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	**Complaint Filed**	08/30/2018
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/18	$1,610.79		
Causes of Action	1. Public Nuisance 2. Maintenance of Public Nuisance by Violating Costa Mesa Municipal Code		
Summary	Nuisance abatement action against sober living home operator.		
Status	The case is in the pleading stage.		
Next Hearing Date	December 14, 2018 – Case Management Conference		
Trial Date	Not yet set.		
Docket	08/30/18	Complaint Filed	
Written Discovery		Not yet exchanged.	

Jason Gardner v. City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	Jason Gardner v. City of Costa Mesa	**Case Number**	30-2018-01013117
Judge	Hon. Deborah Servino	**Venue**	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
Attorney(s) for City	Melissa M. Ballard	**Opposing Attorney(s)**	Pro per
Date of Loss	11/16/2017	**Complaint Filed**	08/20/2018
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/18	$1,906.80		
Causes of Action	Not specified in complaint.		
Summary	Plaintiff alleges he was falsely imprisoned by Costa Mesa Fire Department personnel.		
Status	The City filed a motion to dismiss the complaint. The motion is scheduled to be heard on November 30, 2018.		
Next Hearing Date	November 30, 2018 – Hearing on City's Motion to Dismiss		
Trial Date	Not yet set.		
Docket	08/20/18 10/03/18 10/10/18	Complaint Filed Plaintiff's Case Management Statement Filed City's Demurrer to Complaint Filed	
Written Discovery		Not yet exchanged.	

Cheryl Giacomino v. City of Costa Mesa; Jean Sola

Case Name	Cheryl Giacomino v. City of Costa Mesa; Jean Sola	**Case Number**	30-2017-00963795
Judge	Hon. Gregory Lewis	**Venue**	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
Attorney(s) for City	Melissa M. Ballard	**Opposing Attorney(s)**	W. Douglas Easton Matthew D. Easton Travis R. Easton Easton & Easton, LLP
Date of Loss	03/11/2016	**Complaint Filed**	12/27/2017* *City served on 01/31/2018
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/18	$18,055.20		
Causes of Action	1. Dangerous Condition of Public Property 2. Negligence		
Summary	Plaintiff alleges that she tripped and fell on a City-owned sidewalk.		
Status	The case is in the discovery phase.		
Next Hearing Date	July 30, 2018 – Case Management Conference		
Trial Date	Not yet set.		

Docket	12/27/17	Summons and Complaint
	02/16/18	Sola's Answer to Complaint Filed
	03/05/18	City's Answer to Complaint Filed
	05/15/18	Sola's Case Management Statement Filed
	05/18/18	City's Case Management Statement Filed
	05/18/18	Plaintiff's Case Management Statement Filed
	05/18/18	Plaintiff's Amendment to Complaint Filed
	06/04/18	Case Management Conference (Continued to July 9, 2018)
	07/09/18	Case Management Conference (Continued to July 30, 2018)
	07/30/18	Case Management Conference
	08/02/18	CJ Construction, Inc.'s Answer to Complaint Filed
Written Discovery	03/20/18	Plaintiff's Special Interrogatories (ROGS) to Sola, Set One
	03/20/18	Plaintiff's Form ROGS to Sola, Set One
	03/20/18	Plaintiff's Requests for Production (RFPS) to Sola, Set One
	03/20/18	Plaintiff's Special ROGS to City, Set One
	03/20/18	Plaintiff's Form ROGS to City, Set One
	03/20/18	Plaintiff's RFPS to City, Set One
	04/05/18	Plaintiff's Responses to Sola's RFPS, Set One
	04/05/18	Plaintiff's Responses to Sola's Form ROGS, Set One
	04/13/18	City's Form ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One
	04/13/18	City's Special ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One
	04/13/18	City's RFPS to Plaintiff, Set One
	05/08/18	Sola's Responses to Plaintiff's Form ROGS, Set One
	05/08/18	Sola's Responses to Plaintiff's Special ROGS, Set One

	05/08/18	Sola's Responses to Plaintiff's RFPS, Set One
	05/24/18	City's Responses to Plaintiff's Form ROGS, Set One
	05/24/18	City's Responses to Plaintiff's Special ROGS, Set One
	05/24/18	City's Responses to Plaintiff's RFPS, Set One
	06/22/18	Plaintiff's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One
	06/22/18	Plaintiff's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One
	06/22/18	Plaintiff's Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
	09/14/18	CJ Concrete's Form ROGS to City, Set One
	09/14/18	CJ Concrete's Special ROGS to City, Set One
	09/14/18	CJ Concrete's RFPS to City, Set One
	09/14/18	CJ Concrete's Form ROGS to Sola, Set One
	09/14/18	CJ Concrete's Special ROGS to Sola, Set One
	09/14/18	CJ Concrete's RFPS to Sola, Set One
	09/14/18	CJ Concrete's Form ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One
	09/14/18	CJ Concrete's Special ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One
	09/14/18	CJ Concrete's RFPS to Plaintiff, Set One
	09/20/18	Plaintiff's Form ROGS to CJ Concrete, Set One
	09/20/18	Plaintiff's Special ROGS to CJ Concrete, Set One
	09/20/18	Plaintiff's RFPS to CJ Concrete, Set One

Gayle Hickey v. City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	Gayle Hickey v. City of Costa Mesa	**Case Number**	30-2017-00951064
Judge	Hon. Craig Griffin	**Venue**	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
Attorney(s) for City	Melissa M. Ballard	**Opposing Attorney(s)**	Orlando J. Castaño, Jr. Law Offices of Orlando J. Castaño, Jr. Inc.
Date of Loss	10/20/2016	**Complaint Filed**	10/20/2017* *City served on 05/02/2018
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/18	$7,543.50		
Causes of Action	1. Negligence 2. Willful Failure to Warn 3. Dangerous Condition of Public Property		
Summary	This lawsuit arises out of a trip and fall on a City sidewalk. Plaintiff Hickey sued the City for a dangerous condition of public property.		
Status	The case is in the discovery phase.		
Next Hearing Date	March 15, 2019 – Mandatory Settlement Conference		
Trial Date	May 13, 2019		
Docket	10/20/17 05/16/18 06/08/18 06/08/18 06/19/18 06/21/18 08/02/18 08/03/18 08/17/18	Complaint Filed Proof of Services of Summons and Complaint Filed Joint Stipulation and Proposed Order Filed Case Management Conference (Continued to August 17, 2018) Stipulation and Order to Dismiss Plaintiff and Strike Allegation from Complaint Filed by City City's Answer to Complaint Filed City's Case Management Statement Filed Plaintiff's Cases Management Statement Filed Case Management Conference	
Written Discovery	08/07/18 08/07/18 08/07/18 09/20/18 09/20/18 09/20/18 09/20/18 09/20/18	City's Special Interrogatories (ROGS) to Plaintiff, Set One City's Form ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One City's Requests for Production (RFPS) to Plaintiff, Set One Plaintiff's Responses to City's Form ROGS, Set One Plaintiff's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One Plaintiff's Requests for Admissions (RFAS) to City, Set One Plaintiff's RFPS to City, Set One Plaintiff's Form ROGS to City, Set One	

Paula Jameson v. Segerstrom Center for the Arts; City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	Paula Jameson v. Segerstrom Center for the Arts; City of Costa Mesa	**Case Number**	30-2016-00886449
Judge	Hon. Craig Griffin	**Venue**	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
Attorney(s) for City	Carmen Vasquez	**Opposing Attorney(s)**	Christopher E. Russell Russell & Lazarus
Date of Loss	03/22/2016	**Complaint Filed**	11/14/2016* *City served on 03/21/2017
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/18	$58,915.80		
Causes of Action	1. Premises Liability 2. Negligence		
Summary	Plaintiff alleges she tripped and fell on an uneven sidewalk/walkway.		
Status	The parties recently settled the matter.		

Jayne Kacer v. City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	Jayne Kacer v. City of Costa Mesa	**Case Number**	30-2018-00993981
Judge	Hon. Theodore Howard	**Venue**	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
Attorney(s) for City	Carmen Vasquez Bruce A. Lindsay Harold W. Potter	**Opposing Attorney(s)**	Jeffrey J. Greenman GreenmanLaw, P.C. William M. Paoli Paoli & Purdy, P.C.
Date of Loss	08/29/2017	**Complaint Filed**	05/21/2018
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/18	$26,540.30		
Causes of Action	1. Dangerous Condition on Public Property		
Summary	Plaintiff alleges she tripped and fell on an uneven sidewalk.		
Status	The case is in the discovery phase.		
Next Hearing Date	April 19, 2019 – Mandatory Settlement Conference		
Trial Date	May 20, 2019		
Docket	05/21/18	Summons and Complaint	
	06/27/18	City's Answer to Complaint Filed	
	08/30/18	City's Case Management Statement Filed	
	09/04/18	Plaintiff's Case Management Statement Filed	
	09/21/18	Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint Filed	
	09/21/18	Case Management Conference	
Written Discovery	06/27/18	City's Special Interrogatories (ROGS) to Plaintiff, Set One	
	06/27/18	City's Form ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One	
	06/27/18	City's Requests for Production (RFPS) to Plaintiff, Set One	
	06/27/18	City's Requests for Admission (RFAS) to Plaintiff, Set One	
	06/27/18	City's Request for Statement of Damages	
	08/24/18	Plaintiff's Response to City's Special ROGS, Set One	
	08/24/18	Plaintiff's Response to City's RFPS, Set One	
	08/24/18	Plaintiff's Response to City's RFAS, Set One	
	08/27/18	Plaintiff's Response to City's Form ROGS, Set One	
	08/31/18	Plaintiff's First Amended Response to City's Form ROGS, Set One	
	08/31/18	Plaintiff's First Amended Response to City's Special ROGS, Set One	
	08/31/18	Plaintiff's First Amended Response to City's RFPS, Set One	
	09/14/18	City's Responses to Plaintiff's Form ROGS, Set One	
	09/14/18	City's Responses to Plaintiff's Special ROGS, Set One	
	09/14/18	City's Responses to Plaintiff's RFPS, Set One	
	09/14/18	City's Responses to Plaintiff's RFAS, Set One	

LAB Holding, LLC v. City of Costa Mesa; Costa Mesa City Council

Case Name	LAB Holding, LLC v. City of Costa Mesa	**Case Number**	30-2018-01021810
Judge	Hon. John Gastelum	**Venue**	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
Attorney(s) for City	Gary S. Kranker Krista MacNevin Jee	**Opposing Attorney(s)**	Peter J. Howell Rutan & Tucker
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	**Complaint Filed**	09/28/2018
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/18	$0.00		
Causes of Action	1. Writ of Mandate		
Summary	Plaintiff seeks a peremptory writ of mandate directing the City and the City Council to set aside City Council's decision to reverse the Planning Commission's approval of a mixed-use development project proposed at 765-767 Baker Street and 2972 Century Place.		
Status	Plaintiff filed its complaint on September 28, 2018.		
Next Hearing Date	April 25, 2019 – Case Management Conference		
Trial Date	Not yet set.		
Docket	09/28/18	Complaint Filed	
Written Discovery		Not yet exchanged.	

City of Costa Mesa, Cross-Complainant in Interpleader, v. Indtech Group, LLC; Lilley Planning Group; Jennifer Lilley

Case Name	City of Costa Mesa, Cross-Complainant in Interpleader, v. Indtech Group, LLC; Lilley Planning Group; Jennifer Lilley	**Case Number**	30-2017-00935893
Judge	Hon. Frederick Horn	**Venue**	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
Attorney(s) for City	Gary S. Kranker	**Opposing Attorney(s)**	Jayson M. Lorenzo Law Offices of Jayson M. Lorenzo Counsel for Jennifer Lilley Michaela L. Sozio Yvonne M. Schulte Tressler LLP Counsel for Surrendra Patel, Indtech Group, and Lilley Planning Group
Date of Loss	Not applicable	**Cross-Complaint Filed**	03/01/2018
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/18	$7,023.82		
Causes of Action	Not applicable.		
Summary	Underlying lawsuit is between former and current owners of Lilley Planning Group, which previously provided services to the City. Both parties allege to be entitled to amounts owed by the City for services rendered by Lilley Planning Group. The City filed a cross-complaint in interpleader seeking to deposit the funds with the Court and be discharged from liability to either party.		
Status	The City recently deposited the funds with the Court.		
Next Hearing Date	June 14, 2019 – Mandatory Settlement Conference		
Trial Date	July 15, 2019		
Docket	03/01/18 05/10/18 06/14/18 09/21/18 09/27/18 09/27/18	City's Cross-Complaint Filed Status Conference Case Management Conference Funds Deposited with Court City's Notice of Deposit Filed City's Notice of IRS Levy Filed	
Written Discovery		None exchanged.	

Arthur Lopez v. Costa Mesa Police Department; City of Costa Mesa; Christopher Walk; Isidro Gallardo

Case Name	Arthur Lopez v. Costa Mesa Police Department; City of Costa Mesa; Christopher Walk; Isidro Gallardo	**Case Number**	**District Court:** 8:17-cv-00297 **Court of Appeals:** 18-55520
Judge	Hon. Valerie Baker Fairbank Magistrate: Hon. Michael R. Wilner	**Venue**	**District Court:** United States District Court for Central District of California **Court of Appeals:** Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals
Attorney(s) for City	Carmen Vasquez James R. Touchstone	**Opposing Attorney(s)**	Pro per
Date of Loss	02/19/2015	**Complaint Filed**	02/17/17* *City served on 04/10/2017
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/18	$84,541.83		
Causes of Action	1. 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – Fourth Amendment, Fifth Amendment, and Fourteenth Amendment		
Summary	Plaintiff alleges his constitutional rights were violated during a traffic stop that occurred on February 19, 2015.		
Status	The case is on appeal to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals following the district court's granting of the City's Motion for Summary Judgment.		
Next Hearing Date	No hearings are scheduled at this time.		
Court of Appeals Docket	04/20/18 06/18/18 06/19/18 09/19/18	Court Docketed Cause and Set Briefing Schedule Appellant's Motion for Extension of Time to File Opening Brief Order Granting Appellant's Late Motion to Extend Time to File Opening Brief Appellant's Motion to Extend Time to File Opening Brief	

Ivin Mood v. City of Costa Mesa; City of Newport Beach

Case Name	Ivin Mood v. City of Costa Mesa; City of Newport Beach	**Case Number**	**District Court:** 8:15-cv-01154 **Court of Appeals:** 18-55184
Judge	Hon. Stephen V. Wilson Magistrate: Hon. Kenly Kiya Kato	**Venue**	**District Court:** United States District Court for the Central District of California **Court of Appeals:** Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals
Attorney(s) for City	James R. Touchstone Carmen Vasquez	**Opposing Attorney(s)**	Pro per
Date of Loss	04/05/2014	**Complaint Filed**	07/22/2015
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/18	$85,778.76		
Causes of Action	1. 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – Fourth Amendment, Fourteenth Amendment		
Summary	Plaintiff alleges various incidents of false arrest and use of excessive force.		
Status	The case is on appeal to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals following the district court's granting of the City's Motion for Summary Judgment.		
Next Hearing Date	No hearings are scheduled at this time.		

Court of Appeals Docket

02/12/18	Notice of Appeal Filed
02/14/18	Court's Time Schedule Order Issued
02/15/18	Appellant's Informal Opening Brief Filed
02/15/18	Appellant's Notice of Motion and Motion to Vacate Judgment
02/27/18	Court's Order Granting Motion for Leave to Appeal in Forma Pauperis
03/29/18	Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time Filed
04/17/18	Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time Entered by Court
04/17/18	Plaintiff's Opening Brief Received
04/20/18	Copy of Order on Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Appeal in Forma Pauperis Filed
05/01/18	Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration Requesting Permission to File Substitute or Supplemental Brief Filed
05/03/18	Appellate Commissioner's Order Denying Motion to Vacate District Court's Judgment, Denying Motion for Extension of Time to File Opening Brief as Moot, and Granting Motion to File a Substitute or Supplemental Brief
07/03/18	Request for Extension of Time to File Answering Brief Filed by Newport Beach
07/03/18	Amended Briefing Schedule Issued
07/25/18	Newport Beach's Answering Brief Filed
07/30/18	City's Answering Brief Filed
08/06/18	Letter Submitted by Appellant

National Therapeutic Services, Inc. dba Northbound Treatment Services v. City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	National Therapeutic Services, Inc. dba Northbound Treatment Services v. City of Costa Mesa	**Case Number**	8:18-cv-01080
Judge	Hon. James Selna Magistrate: Hon. Alicia Rosenberg	**Venue**	United States District Court for the Central District of California
Attorney(s) for City	Bruce A. Lindsay Monica Choi Arredondo	**Opposing Attorney(s)**	Isaac R. Zfaty Garrett M. Prybylo Zfaty Burns
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	**Complaint Filed**	06/15/2018
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/18	$4,333.80		
Causes of Action	1. Violation of Fair Housing Act 2. Violation of Americans with Disabilities Act 3. Violation of Rehabilitation Act 4. Violation of Civil Rights Act of 1871 5. Violation of California Fair Employment and Housing Act 6. Discrimination in Use of State Zoning Powers		
Summary	Plaintiff, a sober living home operator, alleges the City engages in a pattern of discrimination against it on the basis of disability in violation of various federal and state laws.		
Status	The case is in the discovery phase.		
Next Hearing Date	February 11, 2019 – Status Conference		
Trial Date	April 28, 2019		
Docket	06/15/18 06/15/18 07/03/18 07/18/18 07/27/18 07/27/18 09/11/18 09/11/18	Complaint Filed Plaintiff's Certification and Notice of Interested Parties Filed Court's Initial Order Order Setting Scheduling Conference City's Answer to Complaint Filed City's Certification and Notice of Interested Parties Filed Joint Stipulation to Continue Scheduling Conference Filed Order Continuing Scheduling Conference	
Written Discovery		Not yet exchanged.	

City of Costa Mesa v. Ohio House, LLC et al.

Case Name	City of Costa Mesa v. Ohio House, LLC et al.	**Case Number**	30-2018-01006173
Judge	Hon. Craig Griffin	**Venue**	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
Attorney(s) for City	Gary S. Kranker Monica Choi Arredondo	**Opposing Attorney(s)**	Isaac R. Zfaty Garrett M. Prybylo Zfaty Burns Steven Polin Law Offices of Steven G. Polin
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	**Complaint Filed**	07/17/2018
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/18	$7,065.55		
Causes of Action	1. Public Nuisance 2. Maintenance of Public Nuisance by Violating Costa Mesa Municipal Code		
Summary	Nuisance abatement action against sober living home operator.		
Status	The case is in the pleading stage.		
Next Hearing Date	December 7, 2018 – Case Management Conference		
Trial Date	Not yet set.		
Docket	07/17/18	Complaint Filed	
Written Discovery		Not yet exchanged.	

Orange County Catholic Worker et al. v. Orange County, City of Anaheim, City of Costa Mesa, and City of Orange

Case Name	Orange County Catholic Worker et al. v. Orange County, City of Anaheim, City of Costa Mesa, and City of Orange	**Case Number**	8:18-cv-00155
Judge	Hon. David O. Carter Magistrate: Karen E. Scott	**Venue**	United States District Court for the Central District of California
Attorney(s) for City	James R. Touchstone Krista MacNevin Jee Gary Kranker Denise Rocawich	**Opposing Attorney(s)**	Brooke Weitzman William Wise Elder Law and Disability Rights Center Carol A. Sobel Monique Alarcon Avneet Chattha Law Office of Carol A. Sobel Paul L. Hoffman Catherine Sweetser Colleen M. Mullen Schonbrun, Seplow, Harris & Hoffman
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	**Complaint Filed**	01/29/2018
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/18	$94,406.30		
Causes of Action	1. 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – Eighth Amendment, Fourteenth Amendment; Art. 7 § 17 California Constitution 2. 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – First Amendment, Fourteenth Amendment 3. 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – Right to Due Process of Law – Fourteenth Amendment 4. California Civil Code § 52.1		
Summary	Plaintiffs seek to enjoin and restrain Orange County from closing the Santa Ana Riverbed bike path area from habitation as to the 800-1200 homeless people that are currently living there. Plaintiffs further seek to enjoin and restrain Orange County, and the cities of Costa Mesa, Anaheim, and Orange from enforcing various anti-camping, trespassing, and loitering laws.		
Status	The parties are attempting to settle the matter.		
Next Hearing Date	October 29, 2018		
Trial Date	Not yet set.		
Docket	01/29/18 01/29/18 02/01/18 02/01/18	Complaint Filed Plaintiffs' Notice of Related Case Filed Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application for Temporary Restraining Order re Enforcement of Various Anti-Camping, Trespass, and Loitering Laws Filed Court's Initial Standing Order	

	02/02/18	City's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application Filed
	02/02/18	Orange County's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application Filed
	02/02/18	Anaheim's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application Filed
	02/02/18	Orange's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application Filed
	02/04/18	Court's Minute Order Setting Hearing re Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application
	02/06/18	Plaintiffs' Emergency Stay Request Filed
	02/06/18	Court's Order Granting Temporary Restraining Order re Temporary Stay Request
	02/07/18	County's Motion for Modification and/or Clarification of Terms of Temporary Restraining Order
	02/07/18	Plaintiffs' Response to County's Motion for Clarification
	02/08/18	City of Orange's Supplemental Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application for Temporary Restraining Order
	02/08/18	City of Anaheim's Supplemental Briefing in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Application for Temporary Restraining Order
	02/08/18	City's Supplemental Opposition to Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application for a Temporary Restraining Order
	02/09/18	Amicus Curiae Brief of Public Law Center and The Kennedy Commission in Support of Plaintiffs' Application Filed
	02/09/18	Court's Minute Order Denying County's Motion for Clarification or Modification
	02/09/18	Amicus Letter of Legal Aid Society of Orange County in Support of Preliminary Injunction Filed
	02/09/18	Amicus Curiae Brief of ACLU of Southern California in Support of Plaintiffs' Application for Preliminary Injunction Filed
	02/11/18	Amicus Curiae Brief of Colette's Children's Home in Support of Plaintiffs' Application for Preliminary Injunction Filed
	02/12/18	Plaintiffs' Response in Support of OSC re Preliminary Injunction Filed
	02/12/18	City of Santa Ana's Amicus Letter re Preliminary Injunction
	02/12/18	Amicus Curiae Letter of National Law Center on Homelessness and Poverty in Support of Emergency Stay Request Filed
	02/12/18	Amicus Curiae Brief of Orange County Poverty Alleviation Coalition in Support of Plaintiffs' Application for a Temporary Restraining Order Filed
	02/12/18	City's Joinder to Defendants' Opposition and Other Supporting Documents to Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application for a Temporary Restraining Order
	02/13/18	Hearing on Temporary Restraining Order
	02/13/18	Court's Minute Order re Extension of Temporary Restraining Order through February 14, 2018
	02/14/18	Continued Hearing on Temporary Restraining Order
	02/14/18	Court's Minute Order re Extension of Temporary Restraining Order through February 15, 2018
	02/14/18	Parties' Stipulation re Ex Parte Application for Temporary Restraining Order
	02/15/18	Court's Minute Order re Extension of Temporary Restraining Order through February 20, 2018
	02/16/18	Hearing re Logistics of Riverbed Cleanup Scheduled for February 20, 2018
	02/17/18	Court's Minute Order re Parties Request for Case Management Conference re Stipulation
	02/17/18	County's Notice of Issues Filed
	02/17/18	Notice to Court re Issues Relating to Implementation of Stipulation in Related Case Filed

	02/17/18	Court's Minute Order re Request for Case Management Conference
	02/18/18	County's Update re Stipulation Between Parties
	02/20/18	Court's Minute Order Lifting Temporary Restraining Order
	02/20/18	Plaintiffs' Notice to Court re Reimposition of Temporary Restraining Order in Related *Orange County Catholic Worker, et al. v. County of Orange, et al.*, Due to Ongoing Issues re Implementation of Stipulation
	03/11/18	Minute Order Setting Status Conference for April 3, 2018
	03/11/18	Plaintiffs' Request to Advance Status Conference Filed
	03/12/18	Minute Order Denying Request to Advance Status Conference and Requiring Parties to Meet and Confer on March 14, 2018
	03/14/18	Joint Status Report re Request to Advance Hearing Filed
	03/14/18	Minute Order Advancing Status Conference to March 17, 2018
	03/14/18	Plaintiffs' Supplement to Request to Continue Advance Status Conference
	03/15/18	Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application for Temporary Restraining Order to Stay Motel Evictions Pending Status Conference Filed
	03/15/18	Ex Parte Application to Intervene Filed by City of Santa Ana
	03/15/18	County's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application for Temporary Restraining Order to Stay Motel Evictions Filed
	03/15/18	Court's Minute Order Denying Ex Parte Application for Temporary Restraining Order to Stay Motel Evictions
	03/16/18	Plaintiffs' Status Report re First Day of Motel Evictions Filed
	03/16/18	Minute Order Setting Mandatory Settlement Conference and Hearing on Santa Ana's Ex Parte Application to Intervene for March 17, 2018
	03/17/18	Status/Settlement Conference
	03/19/18	Status Conference
	03/22/18	Status Conference
	03/22/18	Minute Order Setting Status Conference for April 3, 2018
	03/23/18	Letter from Public Law Center and The Kennedy Commission in Support of Efforts in Lawsuit
	03/24/18	Amicus Brief Filed by Amicus Irvine Residents Against Tent City
	03/25/18	Minute Order Directing City of Anaheim to Appear for a Conference with the Special Master, the County, and Plaintiffs
	03/29/18	Minute Order Setting Mandatory Settlement Conference for April 3, 2018
	03/29/18	Court's Scheduling Notice Setting Status Conference for March 30, 2018 to Discuss Civic Center Area with County, Plaintiffs, and Santa Ana, and Ordering that Various County and Santa Officials, and Plaintiffs' Counsel, Be Present (Orange, Anaheim, and Costa Mesa not required to attend)
	03/30/18	Letter from City of Orange City Manager to Judge Carter
	04/03/18	Mandatory Settlement Conference and Status Conference
	04/05/18	Court's Scheduling Notice re Status Conference with Plaintiffs and County of Orange
	04/26/18	Santa Ana's Cross-Complaint Filed Against All Orange County Cities
	05/17/18	Stipulation for Extension of Time to Respond to Cross-Complaint
	05/17/18	Court's Order Granting Extension of Time and Ordering Responses to Cross-Complaint to be Filed by July 23, 2018
	05/25/18	Status Conference
	06/13/18	Status Conference
	07/09/18	Amicus Curiae Brief In Support of Plaintiff's Application for Preliminary Injunction Filed by George P. Wolf III
	07/11/18	Stipulation for Extension of Time to Respond to Cross Complaint Filed by Santa

		Ana Filed by City of Orange
	07/16/18	Court's Order re Extension of Time to Answer Cross Complaint
	07/26/18	Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint Filed
	07/28/18	Order Setting Hearing for August 3, 2018
	08/02/18	City's Status Report Filed
	08/03/18	Hearing re Closing of Fullerton Armory
	09/05/18	Scheduling Notice from Court
	09/07/18	Status Conference/Settlement Conference
	09/19/18	Stipulation for Extension of Time to File Answer to Amended Complaint Filed
	09/26/18	Status Conference (Continued to October 2, 2018)
Written Discovery		Not yet exchanged.

Pacific Shores, LLC v. City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	Pacific Shores, LLC v. City of Costa Mesa	**Case Number**	8:18-cv-01170
Judge	Hon. James Selna Magistrate: Hon. Patrick Walsh	**Venue**	United States District Court for the Central District of California
Attorney(s) for City	Bruce A. Lindsay Monica Choi Arredondo	**Opposing Attorney(s)**	Isaac R. Zfaty Garrett M. Prybylo Zfaty Burns
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	**Complaint Filed**	07/02/2018
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/18	$6,148.20		
Causes of Action	1. Violation of Fair Housing Act 2. Violation of Americans with Disabilities Act 3. Violation of Rehabilitation Act 4. Violation of Civil Rights Act of 1871 5. Violation of California Fair Employment and Housing Act 6. Discrimination in Use of State Zoning Powers		
Summary	Plaintiff, a sober living home operator, alleges the City engages in a pattern of discrimination against it on the basis of disability in violation of various federal and state laws.		
Status	The case is in the discovery phase.		
Next Hearing Date	February 11, 2019 – Status Conference		
Trial Date	April 28, 2019		
Docket	07/02/18 07/02/18 07/23/18 08/02/18 08/08/18 08/08/18	Complaint Filed Plaintiff's Certification and Notice of Interested Parties Filed Court's Initial Order Order Setting Scheduling Conference City's Answer to Complaint Filed City's Certification and Notice of Interested Parties Filed	
Written Discovery		Not yet exchanged.	

Cecil Patterson v. Michael Beltran; City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	Cecil Patterson v. Michael Beltran; City of Costa Mesa	**Case Number**	30-2017-00954620
Judge	Hon. Craig Griffin	**Venue**	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
Attorney(s) for City	Melissa M. Ballard	**Opposing Attorney(s)**	Pro per
Date of Loss	09/24/2016	**Complaint Filed**	11/08/2017
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/18	$12,045.66		
Causes of Action	1. General Negligence 2. Motor Vehicle Negligence		
Summary	Plaintiff alleges he was involved in an automobile collision with a former City employee.		
Status	The case is in the pleading stage.		
Next Hearing Date	January 11, 2019 – Case Management Conference		
Trial Date	Not yet set.		
Docket	11/08/17 03/28/18 04/06/18 04/27/18 06/28/18 07/13/18 07/13/18	Complaint Filed Summons Issued Case Management Conference City's Answer to Complaint Filed City's Case Management Statement Filed Plaintiff's Case Management Statement Filed Case Management Conference (Continued to October 12, 2018)	
Written Discovery	09/18/18 09/18/18 09/18/18	City's Form Interrogatories (ROGS) to Plaintiff, Set One City's Special ROGS to Plaintiff, Set One City's Requests for Production (RFPS) to Plaintiff, Set One	

SoCal Recovery, LLC v. City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	SoCal Recovery, LLC v. City of Costa Mesa	**Case Number**	8:18-cv-01304
Judge	Hon. James Selna Magistrate: Hon. Patrick Walsh	**Venue**	United States District Court for the Central District of California
Attorney(s) for City	Bruce A. Lindsay Monica Choi Arredondo	**Opposing Attorney(s)**	Isaac R. Zfaty Garrett M. Prybylo Zfaty Burns
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	**Complaint Filed**	07/26/2018
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/18	$5,953.40		
Causes of Action	1. Violation of Fair Housing Act 2. Violation of Americans with Disabilities Act 3. Violation of Rehabilitation Act 4. Violation of Civil Rights Act of 1871 5. Violation of California Fair Employment and Housing Act 6. Discrimination in Use of State Zoning Powers		
Summary	Plaintiff, a sober living home operator, alleges the City engages in a pattern of discrimination against it on the basis of disability in violation of various federal and state laws.		
Status	The case is in the discovery phase.		
Next Hearing Date	February 11, 2019 – Status Conference		
Trial Date	April 28, 2019		
Docket	07/26/18 07/26/18 08/03/18 08/08/18 08/30/18 08/30/18	Complaint Filed Plaintiff's Certification and Notice of Interested Parties Filed Court's Initial Order Order Setting Scheduling Conference City's Answer to Complaint Filed City's Certificate of Interested Parties Filed	
Written Discovery		Not yet exchanged.	

Summit Coastal Living, Inc. v. City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	Summit Coastal Living, Inc. v. City of Costa Mesa	**Case Number**	8:18-cv-01369
Judge	Hon. James Selna Magistrate: Hon. Patrick Walsh	**Venue**	United States District Court for the Central District of California
Attorney(s) for City	Bruce A. Lindsay Monica Choi Arredondo	**Opposing Attorney(s)**	Isaac R. Zfaty Garrett M. Prybylo Zfaty Burns
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	**Complaint Filed**	08/03/2018
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/18	$5,751.30		
Causes of Action	1. Violation of Fair Housing Act 2. Violation of Americans with Disabilities Act 3. Violation of Rehabilitation Act 4. Violation of Civil Rights Act of 1871 5. Violation of California Fair Employment and Housing Act 6. Discrimination in Use of State Zoning Powers		
Summary	Plaintiff, a sober living home operator, alleges the City engages in a pattern of discrimination against it on the basis of disability in violation of various federal and state laws.		
Status	The case is in the pleading stage.		
Next Hearing Date	November 5, 2018 – Scheduling Conference		
Trial Date	Not yet set.		
Docket	08/03/18 08/03/18 08/10/18 08/30/18 08/30/18 09/17/18 09/28/18	Complaint Filed Plaintiff's Certification and Notice of Interested Parties Filed Court's Initial Standing Order (Judge Carter) City's Answer to Complaint Filed City's Certificate of Interested Parties Filed Court's Initial Order (Judge Selna) Court's Order Setting Scheduling Conference	
Written Discovery		Not yet exchanged.	

Juan Villeda v. Erick Fricke; Costa Mesa Police Department

Case Name	Juan Villeda v. Erick Fricke; Costa Mesa Police Department	**Case Number**	30-2018-00980935
Judge	Hon. James Di Cesare	**Venue**	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
Attorney(s) for City	Melissa M. Ballard	**Opposing Attorney(s)**	Pro per
Date of Loss	Not identified.	**Complaint Filed**	03/21/2018
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/18	$7,381.21		
Causes of Action	1. Wrongful Arrest 2. Violation of Civil Rights		
Summary	Plaintiff filed a state court action alleging wrongful arrest and violation of his civil rights.		
Status	The City prevailed on its motion to quash service of the summons.		
Next Hearing Date	No hearings are scheduled at this time.		
Trial Date	Not yet set.		
Docket	03/21/18 04/20/18 07/27/18 08/07/18 08/29/18	Complaint Filed City's Motion to Quash Service of Summons Filed Hearing on Motion to Quash Service of Summons Notice of Ruling Filed Case Management Conference	
Written Discovery		Not yet exchanged.	

Juan Villeda v. David Sevilla; Slawek Luczkiewicz; City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	Juan Villeda v. David Sevilla; Slawek Luczkiewicz; City of Costa Mesa	**Case Number**	30-2017-00909844
Judge	Hon. Gregory H. Lewis	**Venue**	Superior Court of California, County of Orange
Attorney(s) for City	Melissa M. Ballard	**Opposing Attorney(s)**	Pro per
Date of Loss	Not identified.	**Complaint Filed**	03/17/2017* *City served with First Amended Complaint on 06/11/2018
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/18	$3,090.10		
Causes of Action	1. Harassment 2. Violation of Civil Rights		
Summary	Plaintiff alleges civil rights violations and harassment.		
Status	The City filed a motion to quash service of the summons. The motion is scheduled to be heard on December 3, 2018.		
Next Hearing Date	December 3, 2018 – Hearing on City's Motion to Quash		
Trial Date	Not yet set.		
Docket	03/17/17 06/11/18 07/16/18 07/27/18 08/20/18	Complaint Filed; Summons Issued Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint Filed Case Management Conference (Continued) Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint Filed Case Management Conference (Continued)	
Written Discovery		Not yet exchanged.	

Yellowstone v. City of Costa Mesa

Case Name	Yellowstone v. City of Costa Mesa	**Case Number**	8:14-cv-01852
Judge	Hon. James Selna Magistrate: Hon. Jay Gandhi	**Venue**	United States District Court for the Central District of California
Attorney(s) for City	James R. Touchstone Bruce A. Lindsay Monica Choi Arredondo Jones & Mayer David Palmer Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth Jennifer L. Keller Jesse Asher Gessin Chase Scolnick Keller Anderle	**Opposing Attorney(s)**	Steven Polin Law Offices of Steven G. Polin Christopher Brancart Elizabeth Brancart Brancart & Brancart Isaac Raymond Zfaty Garrett M. Prybylo Zfaty Burns
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	**Complaint Filed**	11/20/2014
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred through 09/30/18	**Jones & Mayer:** $1,447,253.64 **Keller Anderle:** $406,542.50		
Causes of Action	1. Violation of Fair Housing Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 3601 *et seq.* 2. Violation of Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12131 *et seq.* 3. Violation of Rehabilitation Act, 29 U.S.C. § 794 4. Violation of Civil Rights Act of 1871, 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985(3) and 1986 5. Violation of California Fair Employment and Housing Act, Cal. Gov. Code §§ 12626, 12627, and 12955, *et seq.* 6. Violation of Cal. Gov. Code §§ 11135 and 65008		
Summary	Plaintiffs challenge the City's adoption of Ordinance No. 14-13, alleging that the ordinance violates state and federal law and the state and federal constitutions.		
Status	Trial is scheduled to commence on November 6, 2018.		
Trial Date	November 6, 2018		
Docket	11/20/14	Summons and Complaint	
	01/16/15	Application to Appear Pro Hac Vice	
	01/22/15	Order Granting Leave for Christopher Brancart to Act as Local Counsel	
	03/13/15	Initial Order Following Filing of Complaint	
	03/16/15	Request for Order for Extending Time to Serve Complaint	
	03/17/15	Order Granting Request for Order Extending Time for Service of Complaint	
	05/04/15	Stipulation Extending Time to Answer Complaint	
	05/15/15	Second Stipulation Extending Time to Answer Complaint	
	05/29/15	Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Case Filed by City; Request for Judicial Notice	
	06/15/15	First Application for Extension of Time to File Response to City's Motion to Dismiss	
	06/16/15	Order Granting Application Extending Time to Respond to City's Motion to	

		Dismiss
	06/29/15	Joint Application to Continue Scheduling Conference
	06/29/15	Notice of Motion and Motion to Amend Complaint
	06/29/15	Memorandum in Opposition to Motion to Dismiss
	06/30/15	Order Continuing Scheduling Conference Pursuant to Joint Application of the Parties
	07/07/15	Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Amend Complaint and Denying as Moot Defendant's Motion to Dismiss
	07/07/15	First Amended Complaint Filed
	07/08/15	Joint Stipulation for Extension of Time to File Response to First Amended Complaint and to Continue Scheduling Conference
	07/09/15	Order re Joint Application and Stipulation for Extension of Time to File Response/Reply
	07/17/15	Joint Stipulation to Continue Scheduling Conference
	07/20/15	Order Continuing Scheduling Conference
	08/03/15	Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint; Request for Judicial Notice
	08/07/15	Stipulation for Extension of time to File Response as to Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint
	08/07/15	Order Extending Time to Response to City's Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint
	08/12/15	Ex Parte Application to Expedite Rule 26(f) Conference or Discovery
	08/13/15	Opposition to Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application
	08/18/15	Joint Application for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint and First Supplemental Complaint
	08/18/15	Order Filing Second Amended and First Supplemental Complaint and Setting Date for Response
	08/19/15	Order Denying Application to Compel Rule 26(f) Conference and Commencement of Discovery
	08/19/15	Second Amended Complaint and First Supplemental Amended Complaint
	08/24/15	Plaintiffs' Request for Judicial Notice
	08/24/15	Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended and First Supplemental Complaint; Request for Judicial Notice
	08/31/15	Objection Opposition re: Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended and First Supplemental Complaint
	08/31/15	Opposition to Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended and First Supplemental Complaint
	09/04/15	Reply in Support of Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended and First Supplemental Complaint
	09/04/15	City's Response to Plaintiffs' Objection to City's Request for Judicial Notice re Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended and First Supplemental Complaint
	09/21/15	Hearing on Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint and Motion to Dismiss Second Amended Complaint and First Supplemental Complaint
	10/08/15	Order Granting Motion to Dismiss Second Amended Complaint and Denying as Moot Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint
	10/22/15	First Application for Extension of Time to Amend
	10/29/15	Order Extending Time to File Third Amended Complaint
	11/13/15	Third Amended Complaint

	11/30/15	Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Third Amended Complaint; Request for Judicial Notice
	12/07/15	Plaintiffs' Request for Judicial Notice re Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint
	12/07/15	Objection to Defendant's Request for Judicial Notice re: Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint
	12/07/15	Opposition to Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint
	12/10/15	Order to Show Cause Why Case Should Not Be Stayed
	12/17/15	City's Brief in Support of Stay
	12/17/15	Plaintiffs' Response to Order to Show Cause
	12/18/15	Order Directing City to File a Response
	12/23/15	City's Response to Order to Show Cause
	01/05/16	Order Staying Action Pending Solid Landings Appeal
	01/22/16	Order Removing Action from Active Caseload and Directing Parties to File Status Report
	05/03/16	Joint Status Report
	06/14/16	Joint Status Report
	07/15/16	Joint Status Report
	08/15/16	Status Report
	09/02/16	Order Lifting Stay of Action and Setting Scheduling Conference
	09/13/16	Status Report/Joint Scheduling Report
	09/26/16	Opposition to Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint
	10/03/16	Reply to Plaintiffs' Amended Opposition
	10/03/16	City's Objection to Plaintiffs' Second Request for Judicial Notice in Opposition to City's Motion to Dismiss
	10/03/16	Request for Judicial Notice and Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint
	10/05/16	Plaintiffs' Response to City's Second Request for Judicial Notice
	10/05/16	Plaintiffs' Request for Judicial Notice
	10/10/16	Objection to Plaintiffs' Second Request for Judicial Notice and Confession of Error Filed in Opposition to City's re: Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint
	10/17/16	Hearing on Motion to Dismiss Third Amended Complaint
	10/20/16	Stipulation for Protective Order
	10/25/16	Order Granting Stipulated Confidentiality Order
	11/07/16	Fourth Amended Complaint
	11/21/16	Answer to Amended Complaint/Petition
	03/09/17	Stipulation to Continue Status Conference
	03/10/17	Order Continuing Interim Status Conference
	04/10/17	Plaintiffs' Status Report and Request to Continue Status Conference
	04/11/17	Order Continuing Status Conference
	05/10/17	Plaintiffs' Status Report and Request to Continue Status Conference
	05/10/17	Order Continuing Status Conference
	05/30/17	Stipulation to Continue Deadline for Completion of Settlement Discussions
	06/01/17	Order Continuing Deadline to Complete Settlement Discussions
	06/09/17	Joint Stipulation to Continue Trial, Pre-Trial Conference and Related Cut-Off Dates

	06/09/17	Order Modifying Court's Scheduling Order to Continue Trial, Pre-Trial Conference and Related Cut-Off Dates
	08/10/17	Joint Stipulation to Continue Deadline to Complete Settlement Discussions
	08/11/17	Order Continuing Deadline to Complete Settlement Discussions
	10/11/17	Joint Application and Stipulation to Amend Scheduling Order; Proposed Order Filed
	10/13/17	Court's Amended Scheduling Order Issued
	12/13/17	Joint Stipulation to Amend Scheduling Order Filed
	12/14/17	Court's Order Modifying Scheduling Order
	02/01/18	Court's Order Granting Stipulation Modifying Scheduling Order
	03/05/18	Notice of Motion and Motion for Protective Order re Depositions of Jim Righeimer and Tom Hatch
	03/05/18	Joint Stipulation re Motion for Protective Order Filed
	03/06/18	City's Notice of Motion and Motion for Summary Judgment Against Plaintiff CWR
	03/07/18	CWR's First Stipulation for Extension of Time to File Response
	03/08/18	Order Setting Scheduling Order re City's Motion for Summary Judgment
	03/09/18	CWR's Second Request for Extension of Time to File Response
	03/12/18	City's Notice of Motion and Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Certain Claim's Made by Plaintiff Yellowstone
	03/14/18	Order Setting Revised Scheduling Order re City's Motion for Summary Judgment
	03/14/18	Joint Stipulation to Amend Scheduling Order
	03/15/18	Order Modifying Scheduling Order
	03/28/18	Stipulation to Continue Hearing on Motion for Protective Order
	03/29/18	Order Granting Request to Continue Hearing on Motion for Protective Order
	04/05/18	Court's Order Continuing Hearing on Motion for Summary Judgment to May 7, 2018
	04/06/18	Joint Stipulation to Continue Hearing from May 7, 2018 to June 11, 2018 re Motion for Summary Judgment
	04/09/18	Scheduling Notice re Motion for Protective Order
	04/09/18	Court's Order Granting Stipulation to Continue Hearing Date
	04/10/18	Notice of Withdrawal of Joint Stipulation re Motion for Protective Order
	04/25/18	Plaintiffs' Notice of Motion and Motion to Compel Documents
	05/03/18	Plaintiffs' Application to Exceed Page Limitation for Response to City's Motion for Summary Judgment
	05/03/18	Plaintiffs' Supplemental Brief in Support of Motion to Compel
	05/04/18	Court's Order Enlarging Page Limitation for Response to City's Motion for Summary Judgment
	05/07/18	Stipulation for Extension of Time to File Oppositions and Replies to City's Motions for Summary Judgment
	05/08/18	Court's Order Granting Extension of Time
	05/08/18	Plaintiffs' Compendium of Exhibits in Opposition to City's Motions
	05/08/18	Plaintiff California Women's Recovery's Opposition to Notice of Motion and Motion for Summary Judgment Against California Women's Recovery
	05/09/18	Plaintiff California Women's Recovery's Opposition to Motion for Partial Summary Judgment against Plaintiff Yellowstone
	05/09/18	Court's Order Granting in Part Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel
	05/23/18	City's Statement of Complaint with Order re Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel
	05/30/18	Joint Stipulation to Extend Discovery Cut-Off Date Filed

	05/30/18	City's Reply in Support of Notice of Motion and Motion For Summary Judgment Against California Women's Recovery Filed
	05/30/18	City's Reply in Support of Notice of Motion and Motion For Partial Summary Judgment Against Yellowstone Filed
	06/01/18	Plaintiffs' Application for Extension of Time to Notice of Need for Further Proceedings re Motion to Compel Filed
	06/04/18	Court's Order Granting Extension of Time to Meet and Confer re Remaining Disputes
	06/07/18	Plaintiffs California Women's Recovery and Sober Living Network's Notice of Motion and Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Against City Filed
	06/08/18	Court's Order Extending Deadline for Taking Expert and Fact Witness Depositions
	06/08/18	Plaintiffs' Status Report re Proceedings Pursuant to Discovery Orders Filed
	06/11/18	Hearing on City's Motions for Summary Judgment and Partial Summary Judgment
	06/12/18	Court's Order Requesting Additional Briefing from Yellowstone
	06/13/18	Joint Stipulation to Amending Hearing and Briefing Schedule
	06/14/18	Court's Order Resetting Hearing and Briefing Schedule
	06/19/18	Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Notice of Motion and Motion for Partial Summary Judgment
	06/21/18	City's Reply in Support of Notice of Motion and Motion for Partial Summary Judgment
	06/21/18	Plaintiff's Objection to City's Reply in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment
	06/25/18	Notice of Motion and Motion to Amend Scheduling Order Filed by City
	06/29/18	City's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment
	06/29/18	City's Objections to Plaintiffs' Request for Judicial Notice
	07/02/18	Plaintiffs' Opposition to City's Motion for Leave to Amend Scheduling Order
	07/09/18	City's Reply in Support of Notice of Motion and Motion to Amend Scheduling Order
	07/13/18	Court's Order Denying City's Motion for Summary Judgment Against Lynn House and Granting in Part and Denying in Part City's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Against Yellowstone
	07/13/18	Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Notice of Motion and Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Against the City
	07/16/18	Plaintiffs' Notice of Motion and Renewed Motion to Compel Documents
	07/19/18	Court's Order Continuing Hearing Date
	07/25/18	Stipulation to Amend Scheduling Order
	07/26/18	Court's Order Continuing Hearing Date
	07/26/18	Court's Order Extending Deadlines for Expert Depositions and In Limine Motions
	07/26/18	Court's Order Granting Defendant's Motion for Leave to Amend Scheduling Order and Add a New Witness
	07/27/18	Plaintiffs' Notice of Motion and Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Against the City
	08/03/18	Court's Order Denying Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion to Compel
	08/06/18	Joint Stipulation to Continue Hearing Date on Pending Motion for Partial Summary Judgment
	08/07/18	Court's Order Continuing Hearing Date

	08/13/18	Hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Denied)
	08/22/18	City's Opposition to Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Filed
	08/27/18	Stipulation to Extend Discovery Cut-Off Date
	08/28/18	Court's Order Extending Discovery Deadlines
	08/31/18	Plaintiff's Reply to City's Opposition to Motion for Partial Summary Judgment
	09/10/18	Court's Order Denying Plaintiff Sober Living Network's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment
	09/12/18	Plaintiff's Application to Continue Deadlines Filed
	09/12/18	Plaintiff Sober Living Network's Amendment to Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Setting New Hearing Date Filed
	09/18/18	Ex Parte Application to Shorten Time for Hearing on Motion for Partial Summary Judgment
	09/18/18	Order Granting Plaintiff's Application
Written Discovery	09/30/15	Plaintiffs' Interrogatories (ROGS) to City, Set One
	09/30/15	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Two
	10/01/15	Plaintiffs' Requests for Production (RFPS) to City, Set One
	11/20/15	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set One
	11/20/15	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Two
	11/23/15	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set One
	09/07/16	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Three
	09/20/16	City's ROGS to Plaintiff California Women's Recovery ("CWR"), Set One
	09/20/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff CWR, Set One
	09/20/16	City's ROGS to Plaintiff Sober Living Network ("SLN"), Set One
	09/20/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff SLN, Set One
	09/20/16	City's ROGS to Plaintiff Yellowstone, Set One
	09/20/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff Yellowstone, Set One
	09/20/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff CWR, Set Two
	09/29/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Two
	10/09/16	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Four
	10/09/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Three
	10/10/16	Plaintiffs' Initial Disclosures
	10/10/16	City's Initial Disclosures
	10/10/16	City's Supplemental Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set One
	10/10/16	City's Supplemental Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Two
	10/10/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Three
	10/10/16	City's Supplemental Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set One
	10/11/16	Plaintiffs' Requests for Admissions (RFAS), Set One
	10/16/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Four
	10/19/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Five
	10/28/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff SLN, Set Two
	10/28/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff Yellowstone, Set Two
	10/28/16	City's Supplemental Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Three
	10/28/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Six
	11/01/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Two
	11/03/16	Plaintiff CWR's Objections and Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
	11/03/16	Plaintiff CWR's Objections and Answers to City's ROGS, Set One
	11/03/16	Plaintiff SLN's Objections and Answers to City's ROGS, Set One
	11/03/16	Plaintiff SLN's Objections and Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
	11/03/16	Plaintiff Yellowstone's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set One

	11/03/16	Plaintiff Yellowstone's Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
	11/04/16	Plaintiffs' First Supplemental Disclosures
	11/06/16	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Five
	11/06/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Seven
	11/14/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Four
	11/14/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set One
	11/14/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Three
	11/14/16	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Two
	11/14/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Eight
	11/17/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff CWR, Set Three
	11/17/16	City's ROGS to Plaintiff CWR, Set Two
	11/17/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff SLN, Set Three
	11/17/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff Yellowstone, Set Three
	11/17/16	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Six
	11/17/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Nine
	11/21/16	Plaintiffs' Second Supplemental Disclosures
	11/21/16	Plaintiffs' Amended RFAS, Set One
	11/30/16	Plaintiff Yellowstone's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Two
	11/25/16	Plaintiff CWR's Objections and Answers to City's ROGS
	12/02/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Five
	12/02/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Six
	12/02/16	City's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set One
	12/04/16	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Seven
	12/04/16	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Three
	12/14/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff SLN, Set Four
	12/14/16	City's RFPS to Plaintiff Yellowstone, Set Four
	12/15/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Five
	12/15/16	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Seven
	12/17/16	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Eight
	12/17/16	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Four
	12/17/16	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Ten
	12/23/16	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Nine
	01/06/17	City's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Five
	01/06/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Six
	01/06/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Seven
	01/06/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Two
	01/06/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Three
	01/06/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Eight
	01/16/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Eight
	01/16/17	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Ten
	01/16/17	City's Reponses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Four
	01/16/17	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Five
	01/16/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Ten
	01/16/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Eleven
	01/19/17	City's RFPS to Plaintiff CWR, Set Four
	01/19/17	City's ROGS to Plaintiff CWR, Set Three
	01/20/17	Plaintiff Yellowstone's Supplemental Responses to City's RFPS, Set One
	01/20/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Twelve
	01/20/17	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Six

	01/25/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Nine
	02/10/17	City's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Eight
	02/10/17	City's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Nine
	02/10/17	City's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Two
	02/10/17	City's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Three
	02/10/17	City's Supplemental Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Six
	02/10/17	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Eleven
	02/10/17	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Seven
	02/14/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Thirteen
	02/21/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Eleven
	02/21/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Five
	02/21/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Ten
	02/21/17	Plaintiff CWR's Responses to City's ROGS
	02/24/17	City's ROGS to Plaintiff SLN, Set Two
	02/24/17	City's RFPS to Plaintiff SLN, Set Five
	02/25/17	Plaintiff SLN's Supplemental Responses to City's ROGS
	03/03/17	City's RFAS to Yellowstone, Set One
	03/03/17	City's RFPS to Yellowstone, Set Five
	03/03/17	City's ROGS to Yellowstone, Set Two
	03/16/17	Plaintiff CWR's Corrections to Responses to ROGS
	03/17/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Seven
	03/17/17	City's Responses to Plaintiff SLN's ROGS, Set Eleven
	03/17/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Fourteen
	03/17/17	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Twelve
	03/20/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Thirteen
	03/27/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Fifteen
	04/05/17	Plaintiff Yellowstone's Responses to City's Special ROGS, Set Two
	04/05/17	Plaintiff Yellowstone's Responses to City's RFAS, Set One
	04/09/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Sixteen
	04/11/17	City's RFPS to Yellowstone, Set Six
	04/19/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Fourteen
	04/19/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Twelve
	04/25/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Seventeen
	04/25/17	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Thirteen
	05/01/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Fifteen
	05/12/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Sixteen
	05/15/17	Plaintiff Yellowstone's Responses to City's RFPS, Set Six
	05/30/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Thirteen
	05/30/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Seventeen
	06/05/17	City's RFPS to Yellowstone, Set Seven
	06/14/17	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Fourteen
	06/16/17	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Fifteen
	06/16/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Eighteen
	07/17/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Fourteen
	07/19/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Fifteen
	07/19/17	City's Response to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Eighteen
	07/21/17	City's RFPS to Plaintiff SLN, Set Six
	07/21/17	City's ROGS to Plaintiff SLN, Set Three
	07/31/17	Plaintiffs' Supplemental Answers to City's ROGS

	08/16/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Nineteen
	10/10/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Nineteen
	10/18/17	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Eight
	11/05/17	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Twenty
	11/07/17	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Nine
	11/07/17	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Ten
	11/10/17	City's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Nineteen
	11/10/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Twenty
	11/20/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Eight
	12/14/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Nine
	12/14/17	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Ten
	12/30/17	Plaintiffs' RFAS to City, Set Thirteen
	12/30/17	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Seventeen
	01/12/18	City's RFPS to Plaintiff CWR, Set Five
	01/14/18	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Twenty-Two
	01/15/18	City's Amended RFPS to Plaintiff CWR, Set Five
	01/16/18	City's ROGS to Yellowstone, Set Nine
	01/16/18	City's ROGS to Plaintiff SLN, Set Five
	01/19/18	Plaintiffs' RFPS to City, Set Twenty-Three
	01/19/18	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Twenty
	01/21/18	Plaintiffs' ROGS to City, Set Twenty-One
	01/22/18	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Eleven
	01/22/18	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Twelve
	01/22/18	City's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Nine
	02/01/18	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' ROGS, Set Sixteen
	02/02/18	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Thirteen
	02/06/18	Yellowstone's Reponses to City's RFPS, Set Eight
	02/13/18	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Twenty-One
	02/14/18	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Fourteen
	02/15/18	City's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Eleven
	02/16/18	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Fifteen
	02/16/18	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Twenty-Two
	02/23/18	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFPS, Set Twenty-Three
	02/23/18	City's Responses to Plaintiffs' RFAS, Set Sixteen
	02/25/18	Plaintiffs' Request for Supplementation to City

CASES BEING HANDLED BY OUTSIDE COUNSEL

City of Costa Mesa v. Michael Cohen in his official capacity as Director of the State of California Department of Finance; Jan E. Grimes in her official capacity as Orange County Auditor-Controller

Case Name	City of Costa Mesa v. Michael Cohen in his official capacity as Director of the State of California Department of Finance; Jan E. Grimes in her official capacity as Orange County Auditor-Controller	**Case Number**	34-2013-80001675-CU-WM-GDS
Judge	Hon. Michael P. Kenny	**Venue**	Superior Court of California, County of Sacramento
Attorney(s) for City	David A. Robinson Benjamin P. Pugh Enterprise Counsel Group	**Opposing Attorney(s)**	Office of the Attorney General
Date of Loss	Not applicable.	**Complaint Filed**	10/28/2013
Legal Fees and Costs Incurred to Date	All attorneys' fees and costs were paid by the State of California as administrative expenses.		
Causes of Action	1. Declaratory Relief 2. Writ of Mandate		
Summary	City filed a lawsuit against the Director of the California Department of Finance (DOF) and the Orange County Auditor-Controller following the enactment of ABX1 26, which dissolved all redevelopment agencies (RDAs) effective October 1, 2011, prevented RDAs from engaging in new activities, and outlined a process for winding down a RDA's financial affairs, after the DOF disallowed two loan repayments from the former RDA to the City and ordered the City to repay these amounts to the Orange County Auditor-Controller.		
Status	Counsel for City obtained primary objective of lawsuit by reviving City's loan to its former redevelopment agency.		