

REGULAR MEETING OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

MARCH 8, 2004

The Redevelopment Agency of the City of Costa Mesa, California met in a regular meeting on March 8, 2004, in Conference Room 1A of City Hall, 77 Fair Drive, Costa Mesa. The meeting was called to order at 6:30 p.m. by Chairperson Steel, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag led by Executive Director Lamm.

ROLL CALL

Agency Members Present: Chairperson Steel
Vice-Chairperson Mansoor
Agency Member Monahan
Agency Member Scheafer

Agency Members Absent: Agency Member Cowan

Officials Present: Executive Director Lamm
Agency Attorney Wood
Neighborhood Improvement Mgr. Ullman
Management Analyst Veturis
Executive Secretary Rosales

POSTING The Redevelopment Agency meeting agenda was posted at the City Council Chambers, Headquarters Police Department, Postal Office and Mesa Verde Public Library on Thursday, March 4, 2004.

MINUTES On a motion by Agency Member Monahan, seconded by Agency Member Mansoor and carried 4-0, the minutes of January 12, 2004, were approved with corrections to Page 8 where on two instances the minutes read that a motion had passed 5-0 when in actuality the motion was passed 4-0 because Agency Member Cowan was not present at the meeting.

OLD BUSINESS None.

NEW BUSINESS Executive Director Lamm reported that New Business Items 1 and 2 were all implementing recommendations and agreements concerning 1901 Newport Residential Project known as the Newport Plaza Condominiums, and that said items covered only the implementation of the twelve (12) affordable housing units which the City had imposed via the Redevelopment Plan. Executive Director Lamm stated that there was a written request for a continuance from Mr. Dave Eadie of Rutter Development Company as they did not have the full opportunity to review all the documents in the Redevelopment packet and because they wished to have all members of the Agency present for the actual vote. Executive Director Lamm further stated that the enclosed housing agreement was Staff's proposal prepared in conjunction with Agency Counsel, as well as, Agency consulting members. In addition, no revisions had been made to the housing agreement because no recommendations from Rutter Development had been received, making it difficult to know if Rutter Development would be in agreement with the provisions the City and Agency were imposing. Executive Director Lamm recommended a continuance, but added that if the Agency wished to go through all the staff reports and take public testimony, Staff were present to address questions and concerns along with any requested changes that Rutter Development might have with the proposed documents.

Vice-Chairperson Mansoor stated that although he was not in support of the project, if the continuance was honored, the April meeting would be the appropriate time for discussion because if by chance the item went to vote and it was a tie vote, it would have to be continued anyway. Therefore, he would save his questions and comments for the April Redevelopment meeting

**PUBLIC
HEARING**

Robin Leffler, 3025 Samoa Place, Costa Mesa stated that as a representative of the Costa Mesa Citizen's for Responsible Growth (CMCRG) she supported a continuance. She felt it would be best if everyone was completely prepared because the 1901 Newport Residential Project deserved to be heard by all members.

Mildred Nicholson, 3026 Republic Avenue, Costa Mesa stated the 1901 Newport Residential Project is going to be detrimental to the Westside because the traffic would destroy the nice neighborhood.

**MOTION
Approved
Carried**

On a motion by Agency Member Monahan, seconded by Chairperson Steel, and carried 4-0, Items 1 and 2 were continued to the next regularly scheduled Redevelopment meeting to be held April 12, 2004.

REPORTS

None.

**WARRANT
RESOLUTIONS
CMRA-320 AND
CMRA-321**

On a motion by Agency Member Monahan, seconded by Chairperson, and carried 4-0, Warrants Resolutions CMRA-320 and CMRA-321 were approved.

**ORAL
COMMUNICATION**

None.

**AGENCY
MEMBERS
COMMENTS AND
SUGGESTIONS**

None.

ADJOURN

There being no further business for discussion, Chairperson Steel adjourned the meeting at 6:38 p.m.